SHEET 1 STATE OF WISCONSIN DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS In the Matter of Manual Code 3565.1 for the Approval Authorizing the Department of Natural Resources to Grade More Than 10,000 Square Feet on the Bank of North Lake, Install a Boat Ramp Structure and Two Outpost Structures on the Bed of North Lake, Install Four Culvert Crossings Over Wetlands, Fill Up To .16 Acres of Wetlands for Construction of a Public Boat Launch on North Lake and Adjacent Property Located in the Town of Merton, Waukesha County Case Nos. IP-SE-2009-68-05745 through 05750	1 APPEARANCES (Continued) 2 WISCONSIN WILDLIFE FEDERATION, by 3 George Meyer, Executive Director 4 5 WAUKESHA COUNTY CONSERVATION ALLIANCE, by 6 Ronald Gray, President LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 3
Jeffrey Boldt Administrative Law Judge, Presiding Hearing held September 21, 2011 Waukesha, Wisconsin LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 1	
1 APPEARANCES 2 WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, by 3 Attorney Edwina C. Kavanaugh 4 Attorney Megan E. Correll 5 101 South Webster Street 6 Madison, WI 53707-7921 7 8 8 NORTH LAKE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, by 9 Attorney Donald P. Gallo 10 Attorney Carolyn A. Sullivan 11 Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren, S.C. 13 Waukesha, WI 53187-2265 14 Baukesha, WI 53187-2265 15 REDLAND ROAD NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION, INC., by 16 Attorney William C. Gleisner 17 Law Offices of William C. Gleisner 18 4230 North Oakland Avenue, Suite 333 19 Milwaukee, WI 53211-2042 20 and by 21 Attorney William H. Harbeck 22 411 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 2040 24 Milwaukee, WI 53202-4426 25 LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 2 2	1 INDEX 2 Witnesses Examination by Page 3 Don Reinbold Attorney Gallo-Direct

SHEET	2		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ALJ BOLDT: Now we're on the record and today is Wednesday. I believe it's the 21st of September, 2011 and we're moved over to the Waukesha County Administration Building. We appreciate them letting us use it. And we're continuing on with Mr. Gallo's case. I'll just note for the record, the appearances are the same. Mr. Meyer indicated that he couldn't be here today and he is not with us. And I would also indicate for the record that last night we had a site inspection that lasted probably close to an hour out at the property and everybody had an opportunity to describe features. We had Mr. Gleisner brought his exhibit book and we had reference to Exhibit 2-002 as we were walking the property and everybody had an opportunity to show us features which we appreciate. Anything that was said there, obviously, is not evidence that we can consider. And does anybody want to say anything further with reference to the site inspection? Okay. Hearing none, then let's go ahead with Mr. Gallo's next witness. MR. GALLO: I'd like to call Don Reinbold. ALJ BOLDT: And also, it's a little warm in	1 Q 2 3 A 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	And can you describe for us your area of specialization with respect to civil engineering? I'm a registered professional civil engineer in the State of Wisconsin. I have been since the '60s. I've been employed by the Wisconsin Department of Transportation for 45 years. I worked in the Milwaukee, Waukesha and Madison offices. I was involved during my tenure at the Department, I was involved during my tenure at the Department, I was involved in planning which included environmental assessment analysis. I was involved in design of projects, construction of projects, the materials used, maintenance of projects and project development. I also worked in the bridge section to rate the strength of bridges. I worked on interstate highways and freeways and expressways. I built local urban arterials, town roads, railroads, parking lots and sometimes long driveways into in areas that we had to rebuild because of a highway relocation. I did some really unique things over the years, worked with the DNR on a case to relocate a trout stream. I also worked with them to remove a 10-story chemical plant in downtown Milwaukee. I've received recognition for developing design templates for highways and storm sewer drainage facilities. I've received recognition from five governors over my
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 5		LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 7
9 Q Mr. 10 A Yes 11 Q That 12 yout 13 A I h 14 in f 15 Q And 16 A And 17 eng 18 Q Oka 19 20 21 22 Q Can 23 A Nam 24 Q And	then I had several courses in business and ineering post-graduate.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ \end{array} $	career. I received recognition from five Secretaries of the Department of Transportation. I worked at SEWRPC on five different occasions. I developed a methodology used to oversee projects that were submitted to the Transportation Improvement Program and I developed the method for applying air quality standards to the Transportation Improvement Program and I co-authored a text that developed a new method to prioritize projects in the Improvement Program. My last ten years, I directed the most successful project in the Department of Transportation history. I developed consensus with adversaries through the EA and preliminary engineering process before we purchased real estate and then continued on in design and construction. The project was completed ahead of schedule, within the budget, with no deaths or serious injuries. We utilized DBE and MBE forces to maximize to way over 20 percent. I think we were at 23 or 24 percent which was unheard of in the State of Wisconsin so, overall, it was extremely successful. I was an instructor in the Civil Engineering Technology Program at MATC for almost 20 years. I think it was nineteen-and-a-half years. I taught four different survey courses over that period of time and that's with my civil engineering experience.
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 6 Legal Video Servi		LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 8

SHEET 3	
 1 Q Have you ever testified as an expert witness for the Department of Natural Resources? 3 A Yes, I have. 4 Q Have you worked on similar projects where you were involved in construction of a roadway through the Houghton muck or Roland muck-type of soils? 7 A Well, early early in our career we were always building highways in bad soils because nobody wanted farmlands to be disrupted. Later on, it was 10 determined that the wetlands had a much greater value so then we started building them through farmland after we learned the value of the wetlands. 13 Q Can you tell us about your personal experience with respect to the North Lake water levels over the years? 16 A I've been a visitor to North Lake since 1961 on weekends. I moved out there permanently five years ago. And as part of my being Commissioner of the North Lake Management District, I am working on the elevations of the lake so that we can determine when the water rises to the elevation that we've posted for no wake. That means all the speed boats must slow down. And the reason why we do that is because of shoreline erosion when it gets to be a certain elevation. So we I monitor that. The water 	the property and my project was to build the airport spur. And we started building our embankment up and we probably filled in 12 feet, 15 feet, and as the trucks were going over this fill it just started moving. The whole fill just shook, the whole area, and so we cut it out. We cut down probably a good three feet and put in all the broken concrete from South Howell Avenue to build it up and stabilize it. The trucks went over it and it still moved. This was scary and this was expensive. We ended up excavating down at 12 to 15 feet, taking out all the fill we placed, and got down into the muck that the previous contractors buried when they removed some buildings. And that's how important it is that the base you're building on, you take care of it from the ground up. You can't cover it up. It doesn't work. Do you have any experience with the use of geotextiles? A Oh, yes, yes, we use those on our projects. I would say we don't use a lot of it. It doesn't seem to be as effective as we would like, but it has its application. We even experimented with geotech layers between layers of asphalt and that didn't pan out to be so good, but we have used them to separate between subgrade and in the crushed aggregate base
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 9	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 11
 elevation on North Lake fluctuates. Over the years, I've seen it fluctuate three feet. Annually it probably fluctuates two feet. This year it was a little less than that, maybe a foot-and-a-half. It's pretty dry this summer, but every spring the water is up usually two feet. Q Okay. Thank you. When you're working when you were working with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation did you have occasion to work with geotechnical engineers and consultants? A Yes, I utilized a geotech engineer in the Department, both in the district office and the central office. I also utilized geotech engineers from several consulting firms that we hired. Q How about land surveyors? A Land surveyors. We used the Department staff or consultant staff on projects and I oversaw their work throughout my career. Q Are you experienced or have you worked on projects where there were roadway failures? A Yes. Q Can you elaborate on that? A Probably the most severe one was when we built the airport spur. I had the project, it was probably the removed some buildings and things on 	<pre>1 course, yes. 2 Q And whenever I ask you for your opinion, I want you 3 to make those opinions based on your professional 4 experience and knowledge and to a reasonable degree 5 of scientific certainty. 6 A Yes, sir. 7 Q Can you tell us more about geotextile fabrics and 8 where they are effective and where they are not? 9 A Well, usually it's to spread the load over some 10 unstable soils that aren't really as stable as they 11 should be. It's to kind of control the differential 12 settlement of soils in a small area, but not really a 13 long area. It's to separate the crushed gravel from 14 going into some really soft soils, but it doesn't 15 slow down the settlement or anything like that. It's 16 just to keep this road gravel separate from being 17 pushed into the muck and then you lose everything you 18 have because you kind of keep a because your 19 pavement is a structure and it consists of asphalt or 20 concrete and different thicknesses of gravel and you 21 want to keep that in good condition. If it starts 22 getting rutted up and the muck comes up into the 23 gravel base, you weaken your whole pavement structure 24 and then you'll really have a failure so sometimes 25 you use it to separate.</pre>
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 10	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 12

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 4	
1 Q So if I'm understanding you correctly, you would use it before you start building up your base? A Yes. Q Okay. How long have you been in you mentioned that you were currently a Commissioner with the North Lake Management District. How long have you been involved with the DNR proposed project from a standpoint of knowing about the project and reviewing jit? A I think I started even before I built year round, I would attend the annual meetings of North Lake Management District where they would talk about different issues and the boat launch issue came up as one of the issues, along with the (inaudible) dam removal. And I was interested in it so I used to attend the hearings that took place early on, even some right here in the County buildings. I can't remember the year. It goes back a number of years. Q And are you familiar and have you reviewed the design drawings for the proposed project? That would be Exhibit 3 the whole series of Kapur drawings. A Mich book is that in? I thould be in a white A Is that the plan that was dated 2010? Yeah.	<pre>1 Q It's in the black set of books, or I could MS. CORRELL: I apologize, I don't have a copy of that and I keep forgetting which is your copy of it, the 2 or the 3 dash something? MR. GALLO: I'll certainly look, Counsel, just give me a second. A 129? 8 Q Right, it's the Mark Powers survey. 9 ALJ BOLDT: 2-008, right? 10 MS. CORRELL: I know that, but I only got 11 one copy and I don't have 129. 2-00 12 MR. GLEISNER: That one, Counsel. 13 MS. CORRELL: - 8. 14 MR. GALLO: Thank you, Bill. 15 MR. GLEISNER: You're welcome. 16 MS. CORRELL: Thank you. 17 Q Don I'm sorry, I'll wait until you're ready. Don, 18 have you been to the DNR project site? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And were you present on September 2nd? I think I've 21 already asked you that. 22 A Yes and yes. 23 Q And during that meeting on site, did you walk the 24 proposed driveway route? 25 A Yes. I walked it in reverse though. 26 A Yes. I walked it in reverse though. 27 MS. I walked it in reverse though. 28 A Yes. I walked it in reverse though. 29 A Yes. I walked it in reverse though. 20 A set of the set of th</pre>
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 13	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 15
1 A Which book is it in? 2 Q I think it'd be in a blue book. Is that the RRNA book? 4 ALJ BOLDT: I think it's in the white book. 5 Q I'm sorry, the white book. It's Exhibit 2-007. 6 A Yes, yes, I saw the earlier proof and that was dated, I think, 2008. 9 So you have reviewed both sets of plans, the 2008 plans and the 2010 plans. And are you familiar with this exhibit, it's on the board, 2-007? 11 A Yes, I'm familiar with it. 12 Q Me're going to confine our discussion to the limits of that access road within the proposed roadway alignment. 18 A Uh-huh. 19 Q Were you present on site the day of the Lake Country Engineering survey? 11 A Yes, I was. 20 September 2nd, 2011? 21 A Yes, I was. 22 Q September 2nd, 2011? 23 A Yes, I was. 24 Q I'm going to refer to Exhibit 129. 25 A Is that in the same book?	<pre>1 Q Okay. Did you observe Rob Davey and Mark Powers 2 from 3 A Yes. 4 Q Lake Country doing the surveying? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And let's start from the lake end. 7 A Okay. 8 Q There were on this survey there were two channels 9 noted, a north channel and a mid channel 10 A Yes. 11 Q and also an end pipe which I believe was 12 submerged in the water? 13 A Yes, half submerged. 14 Q Did you observe the placement of the rod 15 A Yes. 16 Q in the 17 A In fact, I was in there stomping on the grass with 18 you to find the locations of those channels because, 19 as you saw yesterday on the night visit, there's very 20 thick grass in there. It's hard to see where the 21 channels are. It took a little bit of determination 22 where it appeared to be the channel flow. And that's 23 where we had Mark Powers' assistant, I forgot his 24 name, to pull the rod. 25 Q I believe it was Rob Davey. 24 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 25 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 26 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 27 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 28 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 29 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 20 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 20 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 21 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 22 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 23 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 24 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 25 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 25 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 26 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 27 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 28 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 29 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 20 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 20 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 20 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 21 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 22 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 23 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 24 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 25 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 25 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 26 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 27 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 28 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 29 Delieve it was Rob Davey. 20 Delieve it was Rob Davey.</pre>
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 14	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 16

1 A 2 Q	Deet 5 Okay. So is it your opinion, or an observation, that	1 A 2	They're labeled right here on the drawing. It gives an elevation. It says channel end, channel mid.
3 4 5 6 A 7	Rob Davey placed the rod in the north channel and mid channel at the highest point of the blockage between the unnamed stream and the lake? He set the rod in the two channels that MS. CORRELL: Objection, foundation. I'm a	3 4 A 5 6 7	MS. CORRELL: Okay. As the water breaks going through there, because there's points in between the channels and adjacent to the channels that are actually a little bit higher, by definition we shot the low points of the
8 9 10 11 12 13	little unclear as to are we talking about one particular survey point? MR. GALLO: Yes, we can take them one at a time. MS. CORRELL: Well, you were just referring to something being located in a specific area	8 9 10 11 12 13	channel where the water flowed. MS. CORRELL: North and mid. I see that with the magnifying glass here. Thank you. THE WITNESS: The bigger the bigger sheet you can read it. MS. CORRELL: Yep, got it.
14 15 16 17 Q 18	and I don't know where that is. MR. GALLO: Okay. Let's back up and we'll lay some foundation. On Exhibit 129 there's an elevation 897.46 Channel, dash, N, the north channel. When you when	14 15 16 17 18 Q	MR. GALLO: Okay. Are you satisfied with that? MS. CORRELL: Uh-huh. MR. GALLO: Okay. Thank you. As to the end pipe elevation, can you describe that?
19 20 A 21 Q 22 23 A 24	Rob Davey placed the rod in that channel Uh-huh. was that at a representative location and at the highest point of that channel? What we were looking to determine is where the water flowed over this dam at the shoreline which is formed	19 A 20 21 22 23 24	Well, the end pipe was half full of sand and the other part and then there was some water flowing over it and then there was some area above the water to the top of the pipe, but you can see the end of the pipe is quite low and that's why it's half submerged in water. Actually, the water in the lake
25	by ice and/or by people placing fill there over the LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	25	right now is quite low. LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 17		(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 19
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 10 0 11 8 12 13	culvert, we're not sure which. But there is kind of a dam there and, as everybody knows, ice pushes the soil up so there's kind of a dam there and there's a hump there and we wanted to see where the water flowed over that hump and these are the elevations of where the water flowed through that hump. So you're testifying as to your personal observation Yes and your went in there, determined where the water flowed, and had Mark shoot the elevations of the hump there where the water flowed.	1 2 3 4 5 6 2 7 8 9 10 11 2 2 2 4 13 14	MS. KAVANAUGH: I guess, is the pipe the culvert or a surveying pipe? THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a culvert pipe culvert pipe. MS. KAVANAUGH: Okay. Thank you. With regard to moving up this unnamed stream or tributary, could you describe MS. KAVANAUGH: And by up you mean west, right? MR. GALLO: I'm sorry, to the west. Could you describe this channel? Well, as you walk from the lake west, it's pretty defined as it goes adjacent to the Peters property and the Varue approach.
3 4 5 6 7 9 A 10 9 A 10 0 11 A 12 13 14 0 15 16 A 17 18 19 0 20 A 21 22 23 24	a dam there and, as everybody knows, ice pushes the soil up so there's kind of a dam there and there's a hump there and we wanted to see where the water flowed over that hump and these are the elevations of where the water flowed through that hump. So you're testifying as to your personal observation Yes and your went in there, determined where the water flowed, and had Mark shoot the elevations of the hump there where the water flowed. And you're testifying as to the north channel and the mid channel? That is correct. And actually went out and shot the pipe and I held the grass out of his way so he could shoot the elevation of the pipe. Okay. The sand in the pipe. And MS. CORRELL: Objection, I guess foundation. North channel, mid channel, could you clarify?	3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 11 Q 12 A 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>culvert or a surveying pipe?</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 9 A 10 0 11 A 12 13 14 0 15 16 A 17 18 19 0 20 A 21 0 22 23	a dam there and, as everybody knows, ice pushes the soil up so there's kind of a dam there and there's a hump there and we wanted to see where the water flowed over that hump and these are the elevations of where the water flowed through that hump. So you're testifying as to your personal observation Yes and your went in there, determined where the water flowed, and had Mark shoot the elevations of the hump there where the water flowed. And you're testifying as to the north channel and the mid channel? That is correct. And actually went out and shot the pipe and I held the grass out of his way so he could shoot the elevation of the pipe. Okay. The sand in the pipe. And MS. CORRELL: Objection, I guess foundation. North channel, mid channel, could	3 4 5 6 9 10 11 0 12 A 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>culvert or a surveying pipe?</pre>

SHE	GET 6		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<pre>think we observed that everybody observed that last night on our visit, but you can walk right along and there's kind of a depression which would you would define as like a channel. It's the last area of that wetland that would dry up because it seemed to be the low point and then it would drain to the lake.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<pre>bit west of it. It's a real, real defined ditch. Somebody came out and did contours. This wasn't available earlier, but the other topographic survey this must have been done much later and the contours were added and it shows a real defined drainage channel west of Silver Spring Drive or thereabouts. But we also walked further west from there along the Krause driveway and you can see the depression in the wetland which kind of defines you know, they use so many terms here, I'm afraid to use a term because I didn't look it up in the dictionary. You know, there's depressions, swales, lower areas in the ground, which obviously is where the water would collect and flow towards the lake, but the channel really follows the just about the Krause access road. It kind of bends right around here, which this is the Krause driveway, and it kind of follows it. Sometimes it's a little bit further away from the driveway, sometimes it's a little bit closer. Don, there's MR. GLEISNER: Counsel, I'm a little worried about the record, Your Honor. Let me just make can you maybe have him note on these exhibits what like he said it bends</pre>
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 21		LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 23
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 A 13 0 14 A 15 0 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 A 24 25	<pre>know what those are for the reference I can see on the exhibit. ALJ BOLDT: And I think you can do that on cross if you would like to. MR. GALLO: It might be helpful if we put up the exhibit where the two blue lines are. I think it was 16. It would be Exhibit 16 in the white book if you want to look at it, Don. MR. GALLO: And there's a 16A which is a clean copy. Can we pull that too? 16-001? Yes. And 16-002? 2 is not the clean copy. MR. GALLO: Do we have a clean copy of that? We marked it and it was admitted yesterday. ALJ BOLDT: That could be it. We could have the wrong book here. MR. GLEISNER: Is that the exhibit you mean, Counsel? 16A as shown on the screen shows a portion of the ditch or swale and this kind of this goes from the lake west to about Silver Spring Drive or a little</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 A 11 0 12 A 13 14 15 0 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<pre>down toward the Krause site, etcetera? MR. GALLO: I don't think we need to yet, do you? MR. GLEISNER: Okay. ALJ BOLDT: Yeah, I think it's fine for now. MR. GLEISNER: Okay, Judge, good. Don, when you were just describing this, you were referring to which exhibit? It's RRNA 16? Yeah, RRNA 16-002. Okay. And then I spoke going to the west of that exhibit also. It has a swale a low area followed that Krause driveway. Thank you very much. In that area next to the proposed access driveway or the existing access driveway under the proposed access driveway, can you describe a little bit more definitively this channel? Was there you know, I'm looking for a description as to the south</pre>
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 22		LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 24

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

	SHEET 7		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 20 21 4 22 23 24 25	experience professional experience with regard to waterways and storm water and surface water conveyance systems.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 2 15 16 17 8 19 20 21 22 2 2	on an urban street, you know, collecting storm sewers or if you're on a rural highway, there's really unique features to drainage. Sometimes the highway is straight, but the ditches flow. Some areas the farms have a lot of drain tiles and they actually siphon water out of ditches so that the water doesn't go through their cropland. So there's just a lot of variances with where water goes. Generally, when we build a highway, you collect the water along the highway or pass it right under the highway, but you don't want to ever stop it and create floods because then you flood farm fields so there's a lot to consider. With respect to your last answer, you referred to highways. Do you have similar experience with regard to driveways, parking lots, other smaller projects? Yes, every highway has many driveways on it. We look at the we size the culverts for those driveways. We build a lot of park and ride lots which have drainage issues, plus pavement issues, and soil issues. Thank you. With respect to the proposed project and our former discussion regarding the area that's noted as a channel on Exhibit 125, can you describe MS. KAVANAUGH: And, Don, just for
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 25		LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 27
1	the surrounding land. You have to determine the	1	clarification, you're talking about the areas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 (0 11 12 4 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 (2 23 24 25 7	<pre>can carry a lot more water in a rural ditch than you can in an urban pipe. You know, pipe is expensive and, generally, if you can use a ditch, you would use a ditch, but you have the cross-section of a ditch. It's much bigger. You have a much bigger cross-sectional area. Obviously, you can carry a lot more water, but that's also dependent upon the slope of the ditch. 2 On your with regard to your work experience on several DOT projects, did you encounter waterway issues and design requirements on a fairly regular basis or an occasional basis?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 20 21 A 22 Q 23 A 24 Q 25 A	<pre>that are marked in blue right now MS. CORRELL: No, he's talking about Exhibit 125. MS. KAVANAUGH: Oh, I'm sorry. MS. CORRELL: Can I get MR. GALLO: 129. MS. KAVANAUGH: 129. MS. CORRELL: Oh, you're still on okay. MS. KAVANAUGH: Okay. Are you just talking about the blue area now or are you talking about the areas to the left? MS. CORRELL: No, he's not on that, he's on this. MR. GALLO: Thank you, Edwina, let's clear some confusion. Don, with regard to the area adjacent to the access driveway, the current access driveway, in the area I'm referring to Exhibit 16. In the area that's not marked in blue Uh-huh. but to the west of the area marked in blue Uh-huh. can you describe, professionally Uh-huh.</pre>
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES		LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 8	
1 Q Can you give your professional opinion as to that 2 channel? 3 A As I said earlier, the channel 4 MR. GLEISNER: Wait a minute, I'm sorry, 5 which one did 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We changed the 7 drawing. We changed the drawing. 8 MR. GALLO: The former one. 9 MR. GLEISNER: Okay, I apologize. 10 MS. CORRELL: Objection, could you clarify 11 the question. I'm not sure I follow what he's 12 concluding to. 13 MR. GALLO: Sure, I'm happy to do that. 14 MS. KAVANAUGH: And then the other thing is 15 he said he observed the channel. Could he 16 describe depth, width and all that? 17 MR. GALLO: That's what we're trying to do. 18 MR. GALLO: That's what we're trying to do. 19 MR. GALLO: Thank you very much. I'm going 20 to point to this, if you don't mind so that 21 we're clear. 22 Q 24 I'm asking you to describe the channel along the 25 <	A Starting at the lake out to Silver Spring about Silver Spring Drive here, the end of the Peters property, this channel is very, very, very defined, very steep banks, and that's been identified by other speakers. As it gets to the west here, it goes into what's been called, I'm not sure of the right term here again, marsh, wetland, navigable areas. It spreads out a little bit, but as you follow this is the Krause driveway. Along this bank, there's a bank along the fill that he placed, on one side and then there's a depression and that's what I'm calling the channel and it varies in distance from here. Sometimes it's right adjacent to the bank, sometimes it's 10, 15 feet away. The channel varies in width, probably from 10 feet to 20 feet. This is that depression and that's what you look for as a watercourse because when we're out building any kind of highway, you're looking at where the water is going and where it's coming from. You talk to the farmers and neighbors to see what you have in addition to looking at the USGS maps and so forth and historical documents that our staff had acquired over years and keep records on, on all the highways going back to when they were first built in the early nineteen hundreds. But there is a watercourse along
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 29	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 31
<pre>1 A Uh-huh. 2 Q up until the culvert that goes under the existing 3 access driveway. First of all, do you have personal 4 knowledge of this channel? 5 A Uh-huh. 6 Q And you've walked this 7 ALJ BOLDT: I'm sorry, is that a yes? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm sorry. 9 ALJ BOLDT: Thank you. 10 Q And did you walk this area 11 A Yes, I did. 12 Q on September 2nd? 13 A Yes, I did. 14 Q So I'm asking you to do this in your professional 15 opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific 16 certainty. 17 MS. CORRELL: Objection, outside the scope 18 of his expertise. 19 ALJ BOLDT: Overruled. 10 Can you describe the channel? And I realize that 20 that channel is not uniform. 21 Q Can you describe the channel? And I realize that 22 that channel is not uniform. 23 A Right. 24 Q So if you to the best of your ability, could you 25 just kind of walk us from east to west? 20 Can you divertibe the comparison of the set of your ability and the scope of your ability and the scope of your ability and the you you you you have you have</pre>	 here, paralleling it, of variable widths and depths, and it goes all the way back to about Station 19 which is where the 90 degree bend is. And water feeds into that ditch. There's two culverts under the Krause driveway that feed water into that lower area and this side actually has kind of a defined low point too and the water actually goes back and forth. I've seen it go both ways. When I was shooting elevations for NRC on their monitoring well here, the water on this side of the Krause access Krause driveway, sometimes it was higher than the north side, sometimes it was lower which shows that the water actually backs up into the south wetland navigable areas as previous speakers spoke to it in previous days. Q Don, you referred to shooting elevations. Can you elaborate on that? MS. CORRELL: Objection, outside the scope of jurisdiction. And I understand it's a standing objection, but this question specifically goes to a jurisdictional issue. ALJ BOLDT: Okay. Noted and go ahead. A Shooting elevations is kind of a quick term that surveyors use to determine the elevation above sea level of any ground area and there's different datums
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 30	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 32

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 9 1 around the country they come from. In the Milwaukee	1 MR. GLEISNER: And what page?
<pre>area, you use the City of Milwaukee dam which is referred to. The national datum out here you use the SEWRPC datum which Dr. O'Reilly mentioned the other day. Don A And, actually, there's a (inaudible) corner on the property with an elevation on it. Don, when you were shooting these elevations, you referred to the water levels so you were shooting the elevation or measuring the elevation of the water level in the south wetlands and the north wetlands? A That is correct. A That is correct. D That is what you were referring to? A That is correct. A We determined the top surface elevation of the water. Q Okay. Let's go back to road construction. Don, in your professional opinion and to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty, have you reviewed the GESTRA report? And we'll pull out the GESTRA report. It's RRNA 7 A Yes. Q in the white book. And I believe you've stated that you have supervised geotechnical engineers and</pre>	 MR. GALLO: And Page 7-004. MR. GLEISNER: Okay. A Oh, here we go. Okay. All right, gotcha. MR. GALLO: Thank you, Bill. MR. GALLO: Thank you, Bill. MR. GLEISNER: You're welcome. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you back to the 16th? MR. GLEISNER: Sure. MR. GLEISNER: Sure. MR. GLEISNER: Whatever you want. MR. GLEISNER: Whatever you want. MR. GALLO: I think it was 16-002. MR. GLEISNER: Coming right up. Q Okay. Don, can you familiarize yourself with that figure and note on the exhibit itself, which would be 16-002, the stationing for the Houghton muck and the Roland muck? A What number is 16-002? Q It's in this book here the white book. A Okay. ALJ BOLDT: Yeah, we just had that one. Q Okay. I'd like you to mark the stationing of the Houghton muck area and the Roland muck area and then put your initials next to it. A This doesn't go all the way back to Station 19. It
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 33	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 35
1consultants on projects?2AYes, they've worked for me. We use in project3development in the Department of Transportation, we4have a geotechnical engineer in the district office5and we have a component in our central office in6Madison with several in there and I've worked with7both offices, depending upon the complexity of the8soil conditions or problems that we encountered. On9the market interchange, I had 35 (inaudible) staff10working for me, along with 120 consultant staff. On11there we had a DOT geological engineer that worked12directly for me and a couple of support staff for him13from a consultant.14ALJ BOLDT: Sir, everybody thanks you for15that project. That was a remarkable16accomplishment all the way around.17THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. I'm18very proud to be part of it. It was exciting.19Q10with regard to the GESTRA report, are you11that refers to the Houghton muck and the Roland muck?14ARBECK: And, Don, what exhibit number15MR. HARBECK: And, Don, what exhibit number26MR. GALLO: I'm sorry, Exhibit RNA 7.	1 doesn't show the whole wetland, it just shows the 2 parking lot area. 3 Q 4 We need to go all the way back. 5 ALJ BOLDT: Didn't we have somebody do that 6 already? 7 MR. GALLO: Yes, we did. 8 ALJ BOLDT: Can we reference that same one 9 and see if he agrees? 10 MR. GALLO: That'd be fine. 11 ALJ BOLDT: It was your witness. Do you 12 recall what number that was? 13 MR. GALLO: I think it might have been 14 ALJ BOLDT: Well, it's this one. It's up 15 here isn't it? 16 MR. GALLO: Yeah. 17 MR. GALLO: Yeah. 17 MR. GALLO: Yeah. 17 MR. GALLO: Yeah. 18 correct. 19 MR. HARBECK: He didn't draw on that one 20 You could draw on this, that'd be fine. 21 Q 22 You could draw on this, that'd be fine. 23 It shows the limits of the muck on the GESTRA report. 24 It shows the limits
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 34	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 36

SHEET 10	
1MR. GALLO: Right. The witness is2working or looking at Exhibit 7.3THE WITNESS: 7-010. It's a drawing that's4in the GESTRA report.5QAnd can you note for the record the limits?6A For the muck?7QYes, the Houghton muck and8A The Houghton muck goes from the bend in the road,9which is about Station 19 oh, I can't read the10stationings on that drawing. The Houghton muck is in11this area here and the other is in this area here.12ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're referencing13Exhibit 143 and where are you starting?14THE WITNESS: I'm going from about15Station 1975 or so up to about 21 and then you16have an area where there's a different17geological feature and then it picks up about182175 and goes up to 25 Station 25 plus 00.19MS. KAVANAUGH: And the first one is20Houghton and the second one is Roland?21THE WITNESS: Yeah.22MR. GLEISNER: For the record, for	 1 A Yes, but I did a lot of times review them with my soils engineer. 3 Q Yes. Were you present during Paul Giese's testimony yesterday? 5 A Yes, I was. 6 Q And are you familiar with the descriptions of soil that are on Boring B4? 8 A Yes, I am. 9 Q And are you familiar with the concept of blow counts 11 A Yes. 12 Q for measuring the strength of soils? 13 A Yes, somewhat. 14 Q Okay. And have you had any experience with soils that have a zero blow count? 16 A Yes. 17 Q In your professional opinion and to a degree of scientific certainty, can you explain what a zero blow count condition is like? 14 Well, there's really isn't any value to the soil. 15 It's not going to support very much so you're looking at a higher blow count so you have the support for your road design. And, as shown in the plans, the pavement design has a certain amount of asphalt, and I don't know if it's three or four inches, and a
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 37	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 39
1clarification, if you go to 002-001, that is a2clean copy of what is up there if that's helpful3for marking purposes. Oops, wrong one.4MR. GALLO: Did you say 2, Bill?5ALJ BOLDT: I think that's not right.6MR. GLEISNER: No, that's not right. I'm7going to pull up the right one. My mistake. I8apologize. Here we go. My apologies, Judge.9Exhibit 3-018. Sorry, Judge.10Q11Can you just for the record note the markings by12A13From the stations that I just mentioned, about14Station 20 to 21 something, and the Roland muck from15there out to Station 25, and that he has marked in16blue.17Q17Q18MS. KAVANAUGH: And what page then?19lot of paper.20MS. KAVANAUGH: Thank you.23Q24A25Q26And have you done that on various projects?	<pre>certain thickness of crushed gravel was eight or nine inches and if you remember yesterday talked about that's the cross-section of the pavement. The pavement is the asphalt and the crushed base course. The blow counts on the north-south road were really high 40's, 50's. Here, you don't have any so that's the extreme difference. So then when you have that thickness of pavement, asphalt and stone, on the north-south road where you had a high blow count, that's good. Now, you take that and put it over this muck, the same pavement design, well what you have to do is get all that subgrade, that muck area, up to the blow count like you had on the north-south road if you're going to use the same cross-section of pavement. Would the geotextile help in this situation? A It spreads out the differential settlement that you get and it does have some value in separating, you know, your crushed aggregate from migrating into the muck or having the muck migrate into the or come into the crushed gravel. It's kind of like if you have where you drive a concrete truck or an asphalt truck even onto a crushed gravel road that's been built in properly, the tires will sink in and the muck will squeeze up right through the crushed</pre>
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 38	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 40

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 11 gravel up to the surface and then that's right where your pavement is. That's not good. You have totally weak pavement then. So you don't want to do that, you want to keep it separated. And a geotextile fabric would separate that. Q Okay. Would it in your experience and in your professional opinion and to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty, would a geotextile fabric lessen or change the total settlement? A No, you'll still get the same settlement. You're just separating it and you're helping to spread that out, but you still have the same load from your road fill and your vehicle loads that needs to be transferred from your pavement to an area of the ground that can support that. And what you do is as you go down deeper, you spread out that load square foot. Like right at the pavement, all that load is right in a small area where the tires the deeper you go through your pavement, it spreads out that load so you get to the get through the asphalt, it spreads it out onto the gravel. Then when you go through the gravel layer that's why you design the thickness of the gravel so you can spread out that load when it gets to the subgrade and your subgrade has to be able to have a bearing support factor that	you're saying is that there may not be enough information to design the access road properly? A Not totally. You can design with what you have. You have to make some assumptions and then you make your design from those assumptions. If you make too many assumptions, then your chance of failure is greater. And, really, what you want to do is build it right the first time because if you don't build it right you have all these secondary impacts to properties adjacent to you. For example, if this soil if you don't know what's going to happen to the soil and it settles, you're not only pushing it down, it pushes sideways, and you can actually damage building foundations. In the market interchange, we built and go side lateral sideways that would have I don't know the it wouldn't have tipped the building, but you could have damaged the foundations of these old buildings so we would build land bridges over the soft soil. Other areas, instead of putting a fill in, because the soft soils can't support a fill, we would build two MSE walls. Those are mechanically stabilized retaining walls and you've seen them along roads where they're kind of designed
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
41	43
<pre>1 can support that load.</pre>	blocks. Well, they're all tied together and then we
2 Q Thank you very much. Referring back to Boring 4,	used a light weight fill inside of those so that we
3 Exhibit 7-017, there are several samples that were	didn't put so much weight on this bad soil down there
4 taken and as to the samples No. 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, are	so it doesn't spread out and damage any building
5 those sufficient blow counts for construction of this	foundations. So there's a lot of things you can do,
6 proposed access road?	but you really need to know what's down there and if
7 A No, nowhere near, nowhere near.	you don't totally know what's down there, you start
8 Q Your answer did you make your answer as your	making assumptions. Now, that's getting a little
9 professional opinion to a reasonable	extreme, comparing the foundations downtown to
10 A Yes.	something that you're building here, and I understand
11 Q degree of scientific certainty? Thank you.	that.
12 A I also have a problem and this was mentioned by	ALJ BOLDT: Is it likely that it would
13 Paul yesterday that, you know, when you come in you	spread that wide that it could possibly damage
14 find a soft area, you might call it, where you want	anybody's foundation in this setting?
15 to build something. You do a little more effort to	THE WITNESS: Not here, no, no.
16 determine the limits of it, both horizontally and	ALJ BOLDT: It's too far away, isn't it?
17 vertically. These borings didn't go down to	THE WITNESS: No, no, no.
18 substantial soil and I would have taken well, I	What kind of impact though would it have in terms of
19 wouldn't even have had to instruct my civil engineer,	lateral movement? Would it create a bulge or in
10 he would have taken more soil borings to determine	effect a fill laterally?
11 the limits of what stationing you're going to. So	A Well, it can do different things and that's what Paul
22 there would have been more borings and they would	mentioned yesterday. And I'm not I can't address
23 have been deeper because you really can't design a	all technical aspects of what in that analysis you
24 subgrade unless you know how deep the soft soil are.	make for the sideways movement, but it can move. And
25 Q So without this information, your I think what	probably the best example, and those of you from
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
42	44

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 12	
1Madison saw it driving here down today and yesterday,2when you go through between the two lakes, the3(inaudible) lakes. There was Highway 30 there that4was built and then they added a second lane when they5made it an interstate. And when they built the first6fill and there's a real long fill with a real short7bridge and next to it you notice there's a real long8bridge. When they built that first fill through9there, they put so much fill on there and that would10settle down, but then it came up and that island,11that grassy island, in lower (inaudible) lake was12created when they build that highway. Now, that was13built many years ago. You'd never allow that to14happen today, but that shows you how far away that15failure can come up because it created a it's a16submerged island and it's got grass growing in the17middle of the lake and that's how it got there. So18when they added the second lane to the interstate,19they put a land bridge over that whole area so you10don't have that effect and that type of failure18again.19LLB BOLDT: But you're not recommending it?11THE WITNESS: No.12ALJ BOLDT: It's your professional opinion13that the land bridge	the lateral forces and you and this is where you would put some fill in here. That spreads this load from your pavement out over the soil, it does not have a support. You can take into account the settlement. We build roads and plan for settlement. We usually use asphalt so then it starts sinking and, as asphalt sinks, we put more on, more on. The interstate between Milwaukee and Madison had a couple sections like that for many years. Highway 45 near West Bend had it for quite a few years also. And when the settlement kind of stabilizes that's good, but then you don't know what's going on outside of it. You need to control at least in here, you don't want more impact on your defined wetland because you don't want a bulge in your wetland because then it won't be wet anymore, it'd be high. So that's kind of how we do it. We determine how much to go down and that was my line to go down. And then as you're excavating it, you need some kind of slope going back up because otherwise with the water and the soils it'll just all flush in and that's how that was determined. Some people may argue this slope could be a little bit steeper, some will say a little bit flatter. It's gone both ways, but generally we'll go with go one-to-one and use a
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 45	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 47
1THE WITNESS: Well, that would solve the2problem here, but I3ALJ BOLDT: You wouldn't4THE WITNESS: No, there would be other5solutions. You could find a less impact6because, again, you don't know exactly how deep7it is so you don't totally know how much the8settlement is. I think Paul went through his9calculations and gave that kind of information10yesterday.11Let's explore that a little bit, Don, and I'm going12to refer to these figures or Exhibits 143 and 144.13Let's start out with 144, if you don't mind. Did you14direct these markings, not the blue which were put on15there by Paul Giese, but the other base markings?16A Yes, I did.17Q19Yeah. Can you can you tell us what those are?20A Well, what we have here is where the new roadway is21adjacent to the existing driveway. And when you look22at building a support structure we go down at23about a one-to-one slope. That means one foot down,24one foot out. And you take out a sufficient amount25of muck so you control the settlement and you control	1select fill in here underneath the crushed gravel.2MS. CORRELL: Sir, can I ask a rather,3Counsel, could I ask him a clarifying question?4MR. GALLO: Yes.5MS. CORRELL: I believe it will be just6clarifying. You referred to the slope and I7think yesterday Mr. Giese testified that it was8a one-to-one and that's actually a two-to-one or9it looks like one.10THE WITNESS: That's actually11MS. CORRELL: But it shouldn't matter,12right? I mean you're only as far as the13lateral dimension, it's one foot out, am I14correct?15THE WITNESS: It's one foot down, one foot16out. The drawing on here is two-and-a-half feet17vertical, five feet horizontal, so that makes18the drawing look a little bit different when you19look at the scale. One inch horizontal is five20feet, one inch vertically is two-and-a-half21feet.22MS. CORRELL: Okay. Because this23(inaudible). Well, maybe it's not pink.24Whatever this line is. It's kind of pink-ish.25So you're saying this is actually one-to-one?
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 46	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 48

SHEET 13 . 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. The select fill. It's the select fill and it can 1 А 2 2 MS. CORRELL: It looks like two-to-one to be -- you know, by select fill you mean certain types 3 3 of soil, but it could be crushed rock, it could be me, but --4 4 THE WITNESS: It could -- it could -- well, gravel, and it depends on what you put in there, what 5 that's because you have to look at all of these 5 kind of slope you can put on it. 6 to scale. Two-and-a-half this way, five feet 6 But that material is generally compacted? Q 7 7 this way. This is one inch equals five feet, A Uh-huh. 8 8 one inch equals two-and-a-half feet. 0 And --9 MS. CORRELL: Okay. 9 ALJ BOLDT: I'm sorry, that's yes? THE WITNESS: And that's why this --THE WITNESS: Oh, ves. 11 MS. CORRELL: But it wouldn't matter in MR. GALLO: Thank you. 11 12 terms of impacts anyway so (inaudible). And as a general rule is a steeper slope, is that 12 Q 13 MS. KAVANAUGH: (Inaudible). 13 correct? 14 THE WITNESS: Well, right, and that's not 14 Repeat that? А 15 uncommon. You blow up the scale because if this 15 MS. CORRELL: Is that a question? 16 was one inch equals five feet, where you draw 16 MR. GALLO: Oh, I'm sorry, I'm sorry. It 17 your pavement (inaudible) or your crushed gravel 17 was a bad question. I'll try to rephrase it. 18 would be the thickness of a line. So you really 18 Okay. As to that slope angle, you used one --Q 19 want to show some thickness of your gravel so 19 А One-to-one. That's standard with roads because of 20 that -- that's why you expand --20 the type of material you put in a select value and 21 MS. KAVANAUGH: So the scale is going up 21 the distribution of the load down from the pavement. 22 and down? It's bigger so that you can see the 22 0 And --23 width of the gravel? 23 Remember my earlier discussion of the load going А 24 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 24 through the asphalt and going through the crushed 25 MS. KAVANAUGH: But otherwise you run out 25 stone? LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 49 51 1 of paper --1 Q Yep. 2 THE WITNESS: Oh, yes, you would. 2 А Okay. 3 3 MS. KAVANAUGH: -- horizontally? 0 Thank you very much. Now, as to the slope angle from 4 THE WITNESS: And sometimes when you're on 4 the excavation face, that would be in the Houghton 5 5 a real high fill you change the scale to -- this muck --6 scale is to be -- you know, might stay 6 Uh-huh. Α two-and-a-half, but this scale might be ten feet 7 7 Q -- and that would be a little bit further to the 8 8 or twenty feet because you get into some really left in this drawing? And I'm referring to 9 9 big fills. You change the scale so it makes Exhibit -- is it 140 --10 sense on a sheet of paper. 10 MR. GLEISNER: 4. MS. KAVANAUGH: Okay. That's makes sense, MR. GALLO: 4. Thank you. 11 11 We drew that at a one-to-one and at a two-to-one 12 yeah. (Inaudible) but I understand (inaudible). 12 Q 13 Thank you for that. 13 because of the type of material and the water involved in there. You're not quite sure how it's 14 THE WITNESS: But what I said is some 14 15 people will argue that this slope line can 15 going to stand up when you excavate it. First of 16 change. Some go a little bit steeper, some go a 16 all, you have to put a certain kind of slope on it 17 little bit flatter and it sometimes depends on 17 for OSHA requirements, if you have anybody working 18 what you're building. 18 down there. Probably you would not have somebody MS. CORRELL: You just always have to check 19 19 working down there during the excavation. You would 20 20 the scale, correct? be using a backhoe or a drag line or something and 21 21 THE WITNESS: Correct. you would be filling as you excavate which would 22 MS. CORRELL: Thanks, Professor. 22 minimize it. But it depends upon -- as you dig, it 23 Q Don, I'd like to explore those slope angles a little 23 sloughs off and it would just -- it just comes right 24 bit. The slope angle that is coming from right to 24 down in there, especially if it's full of water. 25 left is the slope angle of the fill material? 25 0 And you're making these statements to a reasonable LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 50 52

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 141degree of or based upon your professional opinion2and to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty,3is that correct?4AUh-huh.5QAnd you're also6ALJ BOLDT: Yes, sorry?7QAnd you're also basing these statements on8Boring 49AUh-huh, yes.10Q and the blow counts11AYes.12Q and Boring 4 with regard to the various samples13that were taken? And there's no other information14that you can utilize other than your experience?15AYes. And I think Paul described that yesterday in a16little bit more technical terms than what I'm able to17use. I mean he does the computations.18QYes.19AI work with the geotechnical engineers and I have the20experience of being a victim of some failures.21QThank you. Let's go to the next figure. It'd be22Figure 1 or, excuse me, 143. And is it a fair23statement to say that you made these calculations?	<pre>1 well as expand it. Do you see problems with that or 2 what is your opinion on that type of design? 3 A Yeah, there's problems. What happens when you 4 have when you're widening something like that, 5 when this image here actually shows the existing 6 road here and then the new road starts about in the 7 middle and it extends to the north. You have some 8 fill material in here, but you have all this soft 9 soil underneath here and that really hasn't been 10 compacted adequately. So really what you can do is 11 you can go in here and step this down. You start 12 backwards and you make steps in here. You don't want 13 to excavate it on an angle because then you've got a 14 failure and we had that on a project up north. They 15 were widening one, they were stepping it in just the 16 way they were supposed to, and they came back the 17 next day and their whole fill was gone and they had 18 an island in the lake and that cost them I think a 19 million dollars to get the island out of the lake. 20 So you really need to get rid of what's there so that 21 you can treat the soft soils underneath there 22 uniformly with what's being added on and widened to, 23 otherwise you can get a failure in the middle here,</pre>
 24 A Yes. Yes, I did. I determined, using my 25 calculations from here, how far out from the slope or 	24 and you really wouldn't want to do that. 25 Q And this condition exists when the later stationing,
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 53	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 55
the edge of the pavement you could expect to have impacts onto this, what do we call it, navigable Q Waterway. A waterways, yes, thank you, because I would have probably just called it something else. Q Can you just quickly go through your calculations and your conclusion? A Well, we went through here and looked at, you know, where it might slough off to and that's where we drew these green areas in here and that matches the length to get a square foot area that this would impact and then we took it on here and that's how we determined the .28 acres of impact using the closer inline. And then if it would slough off further, which it could, you have a greater impact of .45 acres. And, actually, this one if that would happen, it'd actually go beyond that dotted line which I think is the easement, but I think this is all if I understand it, that's all part of the DNR Krause property on the north side of that easement line. Yep, okay. Q Don, a question while you're standing there. With regard to the existing driveway, a portion of this road is designed to utilize the existing drive as	<pre>stationing that merges into Station 25 where you're building the access road as it merges into the existing road, so you have a combination A This goes yeah, this goes half-and-half over most of the area through the wetland navigable streambed area. There's one area for about 100 feet or so where it's totally on new alignment and that's shown right here. These are Stations 2150, 2222. You're totally next to the existing roadway. The rest of the several hundred feet you're kind of half-and-half which has unique problems that I just mentioned. You really have to be careful because otherwise you end up with half of your road is built right and half of it isn't and you're just asking for problems. Q Can that be cured with the geotextile? No, not really because if just put geotextile here, you've got your other half of road where it's on and same old, same old. Q With regard to the existing driveway, is it your recommendation or your opinion, professional opinion, to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty, that you would have to do something with regard to the existing roadway? A Yeah, I'd just get it out of there. S Q So are you saying</pre>
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 54	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 56

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 15 1 A Because I would maybe pull out the material I could use and use it for some of the select fill because based on the borings it is gravel. They probably took it out of one of the banks in the neighborhood and a lot of that's just gravel stones. So it's probably pretty good stuff and you could reuse it. As you dig along, you can push this out of the way and then use it as your fill, but you really want this core to be uniform. 0 When you do that, Don the existing roadway was constructed on this Houghton muck and Roland muck, is that your opinion? 13 A Yes. Based on that soil boring it looks like he put a couple feet of fill in there and I know over the years as I walked that path he's added to it periodically. And it's always been rutted up, you know, just driving his little truck or whatever he drives down there. He left big ruts in it so it's not you know, it's passable. During the summer months it gets harder because the water is down and it dries out a little bit and gets a little more stability in it. 2 So it's your conclusion and professional opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty that the existing roadway needs to be excavated?	 and design something where you would maybe minimize the settlement because, actually, this road could tolerate settlement. You know, it's not a high speed anything so you can have some settlement because you can fix it with just resurfacing it with asphalt. The only thing you want to be careful is that you don't have this secondary effect over the years that you change the elevations in this wetland because it's moving. When you excavate the additional Houghton muck and Roland muck under the existing roadway, would you have the same situation where you need to excavate beyond the roadway, current roadway, existence, to establish your base course? No, you would excavate you'd take the new roadway, whatever it is, whether it's half on the existing road or all by itself, and you can go with these one-to-one slopes down from the pavement and that would give you a uniform base. And I would recommend that here instead of trying to just build onto it because there isn't that much there and as the (inaudible) in there you could just use it for a select material. So why take a chance of having all this differential activity going on, just you
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
57	59
1AYes, I would just there isn't that much there. I2would just reuse it as part of my select fill.3MS. KAVANAUGH: You should be asking him4what his professional opinion is, not telling5him what it is.6MR. GALLO: Thank you.7MS. KAVANAUGH: I object to that.8Q9Don, can you provide for us your professional opinion9to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty how10you what you recommend and how you would address11the existing roadway?12A14yes, I can. As I just stated, I would treat the15whole roadway and make it uniform and use the16what would you do with regard to the Houghton muck17and the Roland muck under the existing driveway?18A19certain portion of it and replace it with select20fill. And it's hard to determine exactly how much21ALJ BOLDT: So would or you could look23at the numbers closer and make some assumptions	1can as you excavate one way, just spin around and2you use the fill you use it right away.3MS. KAVANAUGH: And just to clarify, when4you said differential do you mean the lateral?5I'm not sure what that means.6THE WITNESS: Differential settlement7is8MS. KAVANAUGH: Oh, when one goes down?9Gotcha.10THE WITNESS: Yeah, one would go down11differently.12MS. KAVANAUGH: Thank you.13Q14road is on new15A16 in the waterway, navigable waterway, the other17half is on the existing driveway18A19 what kind of depth would you recommend excavating10the Houghton or the Roland muck to?11I would go back and rely on what Paul said yesterday.12I hink he gave some numbers yesterday. I13don't because he's the geotech expert and he made25what to excavate down to.
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
58	60

SHEET 16 1 Q Let's look at Boring 4, if I can find it. There's 2 a I can't seem to find my copy, but there is an 3 elevation of boring that has a zero blow count? 4 A Yes, it blows 1-1 and then 1-0-1 and 0-0-0-1-1-1-1-1 5 and but, you know, looking at what this road is 6 for, you want to minimize that excavation and that's 7 where I would or, you know, we would probably get 8 together with the soils engineer and, you know, get a 9 real practical solution here because you don't want 10 to excavate more than you need to because you don't 11 want to just spend money, you know. 12 Q Yes. 13 A You're always trying to stay within a budget. 14 Q Is it correct that you're trying to balance the 15 initial roadway construction with the long-term? 16 A Most definitely. And, you know, if I wasn't worried 17 about the wetland 18 THE WITNESS: And, Jim, you didn't hear me 19 say that because we always worry about the 20 wetlands, right Jim? I used to work with Jim. 21 He was a liaison with the DOT so we've had a few 22 discussions. 23 A You could just build this and let it settle, but the	<pre>1 Q exists already on the drawing? 2 A This is the edge, yes. They have an edge of slope 3 which is the tallest slope of the road fill that's 4 shown on the cross-sections of the plan. 5 Q Now, in the transition area where the new road is 6 partially in the navigable waterway and they're 7 utilizing the existing road, do you see any impacts 8 to the south of the existing road? 9 A No, you'd have the same impacts going both 10 directions. We only did this on one side, but you'd 11 have a similar impact on the other. Now, I realize 12 that there's an existing road there that was built, 13 but it wasn't compacted adequately so you 14 would the impacts would be a little bit different, 15 but there would be impacts there. 16 Q Okay. 17 A And we didn't make a I didn't work with an 18 estimate or anything to determine any additional 19 impacts on that side. 20 Q So you're stating 21 A Yeah, if you did if you said it would be the same, 20 you would double these numbers, but I'm not going 23 (inaudible) yet. You'd have to look at it a little</pre>
24 secondary impact is if there's any movement sideways, 25 it affects the land on both sides. And in this case	 bit further. 25 Q But it's clear that there's no impacts, as part of
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 61	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 63
1 it's this wetland, navigable and streambed that you don't want to impact so you want to minimize those impacts to the extent that it doesn't have adverse effects on it. And that's where we would sit down with the geotech engineer, maybe do some more borings, maybe do some other samples of this material, to get a more economical design. One of the things you can do, you could increase the gravel layer to spread out the (inaudible). There's different things you can do to do that and that's what you'd do if you need to get a little more information. Q Thank you. I'm going to ask you a question on one of the spread out the proposed roadway is it your understanding and interpretation that you've drawn in this blue line is the extent of current defined wetland impacts? A Yes, the blue line here along the edge, right. That goes along with the cross-sections where we determined it was into the wetland and the muck, yes. And that line is colored in blue, but the base of the map was prepared by Kapur and Associates and that line 25 A Yes.	<pre>1 the design or the current project, that have been 2 estimated on the south side of the existing driveway? 3 A No, there were no impacts shown there and I'd have to 4 go back and compare their cross-sections to what we 5 have here to see what they're doing on that side. 6 Q Let's just take one. 7 A Okay. 8 Q Take any cross-section you want and we'll look at it. 9 A What page were those plan sheets? 10 Q It's going to be Section 3. What station 11 MR. GLEISNER: 3-018 I think is what 12 you're 13 Q What station would you like to look at? 14 A Well, let me get in here and then I'll pull one out. 15 Q On 3-018. 16 A Well, we did figure some impacts down here on the 17 south side. I forgot about that. Now that I see 19 18 plus 50, they're putting some fill in here on the 19 corner as they go around the corner. As you go 20 further east, I believe it looks like their 21 excavation and their fill well, they're 22 going they're on the existing roadway. Here, 23 they're into the wetland. 20 plus 50 they're into 24 the wetland on the south side too with their 25 (inaudible) slope. 21 they're moving to the north, 26 Corner as they go around the corner the south their 21 (inaudible) slope. 21 they're moving to the north, 27 Corner as they for the wetland. 20 plus 50 the north, 28 Corner as they for the wetland. 20 plus 50 the north, 29 Corner as they for the wetland. 20 plus 50 the north, 20 Corner as the south side too with their 21 (inaudible) slope. 21 they're moving to the north, 20 Corner as they for the wetland the north, 20 Corner as they for the wetland the north, 20 Corner as they for the south side too with their 21 (inaudible) slope. 21 they're moving to the north, 22 Corner as they for the north, 23 Corner as they for the north, 24 Corner as they for the north, 25 Corner as they for the north, 26 Corner as they for the north, 27 Corner as they for the north, 28 Corner as they for the north, 29 Corner as they for the north, 20 Corner as they for the north, 20 Corner as they for the north, 20 Co</pre>
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 62	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 64

SHEET 17 north, north. No, it would be it would probably be rather minimal because, like I say, there's the existing road there. There is some compaction there so this one-to-one slope that I was talking about would start a little bit differently because you're starting in here and by the time you get out here you're not into the wetland area. Q Can you just give us in your professional opinion and to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty, quantify for us the kind of distance that it might be based on A That there might be an impact over there? Q Correct, correct, and based upon MS. CORRELL: Over there? Can MS. CORRELL: Over there? Can MS. KAVANAUGH: On the south side? ALJ BOLDT: Might be is not a standard. Probable is probable is what are the likely or probable is the legal standard for an expert opinion. MR. GALLO: Thank you. Q What's your base your opinion on probable probablity. A There probably is some additional impact along the south side. The amount is pretty hard to determine just looking at it right now, you know, but there is	1QDon, I think you'll be able to see it there.2MR. GLEISNER: Okay, that's 001 and you3want me to go 002?4MR. GALLO: Yes. I'm sorry, I'm looking5for the exhibit that has the blue roadway in it.6MR. GALLO: Yes. O2-007, I think.7MR. GLEISNER: This one, Counsel?8MR. GALLO: Thank you. Thank you very9much.10MR. GLEISNER: You're welcome.11Q12MR. GLEISNER: No, no, 2-007, Counsel.13MR. GALLO: I'm sorry.14Q15Looking at this exhibit, will the roadway fill, the16proposed access roadway fill, which is to the north,17will that impact the channel that Lake Country18A19himself and with because you're out well, it's20a variable distance, but you're out you're going21to totally eliminate part of that low swale in some22areas, other areas half of it, so you're changing23that swale or drainage area.24MS. CORRELL: Objection, he hasn't made an25expert opinion.
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
65	67
<pre>1 probable additional impact 2 Q Thank you. 3 A that would not be I would not expect it to be 4 as far out as on the north side because you're next 5 to it, but now, if I understand, after walking 6 that site yesterday, that's an easement and some of 7 that land isn't the DNR land so now you've got a real 8 estate issue. If you have impacts over there, you're 9 off your property. 10 Q So you would actually I think what you're saying 11 is you may have to change the alignment? 12 A Yes, if you didn't yeah, because of the impact to 13 private property. 14 Q And how would you change that alignment? 15 A Well, you would just go parallel to what you have, 16 but you'd move further north and that would have 17 greater impacts then on the north side, you know. 18 Q Greater impacts than what you've 19 A What I've calculated, that's correct. If you had to 10 move over to protect the private property, that would 11 create more of an impact on the north side. 22 Q Thank you. One last question. 23 MR. GALLO: Bill, could you put up 16-002, 24 I think? 25 MR. GLEISNER: Absolutely.</pre>	1AIn my expert opinion MS. CORRELL: He answered affirmatively to a leading question.4MS. KAVANAUGH: And also he characterized it as a channel, but what he said, the testimony was that they shot low elevations. He didn't do a cross-section.6MR. GALLO: I'll rephrase the question. ALJ BOLDT: Okay. All right.10QDon, with regard to the proposed access road, can you describe what impacts, in your professional opinion and to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty, this roadway will have on the navigable waterway?14A swe've shown on this drawing and applied it to this drawing ALJ BOLDT: I'm sorry, we're looking at 144?17144?18THE WITNESS: 144 and 2-007.19A14The new roadway is relocated next to the north side of the existing Krause driveway. Some areas it's a new location, some areas it's a widening of the existing driveway. And as the fill goes to the north, even without the additional impacts from the wetland or removing the muck and building the cross-section of the new roadway, you're filling in
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
66	68

1part of the depression low area or streambed as2defined as a low area where the water flows to. Some3of it would be filled in completely, some of it would4be filled in partially, as that road moves north. As5you take into account any impacts from the removal of6the muck, any additional excavation you would impact7that drainage course even further.8Q9MR. GALLO: Can I just have a minute? No9MR. GALLO: Can I just have a minute? No10further questions.11ALJ BOLDT: I just asked the witness. He's14good, but if anybody else wants one15MR. GLEISNER: Five-minute break, Judge?16the Judge. It's the coffee.17ALJ BOLDT: Okay. Let's take a five-minute18break.19(Recess taken)10ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the12mcread. Counsel, did you want to move an22exhibit?23MR. GALLO: Yeah, thank you, Your Honor.24Exhibit 16-002 I'd like to move into the record.25ALJ BOLDT: Any objection there?	that the area rth and the area uth is both wetlands and marks going to move over here pe. avel drive, do you see isting roadway or path ve before earlier this e of professional nion as to whether or y plan to put in at the in would impact north or r discussion on the uck to a certain depth, ing it to maybe 10, 12
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES LEGAL VIDEO SERV (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI (608) 279-5295 Pra 69 71	VICES airie du Sac WI
1MS. CORRELL: I apologize. We're back on the record?2the record?3ALJ BOLDT: Yes, I'm sorry. Any objection 44to 16-002?5MS. CORRELL: I'm sorry that I can't 66remember what all these exhibits are. I thought 77that was already received, wasn't it? 88ALJ BOLDT: I think we referenced it even 99yesterday. It's just one of those 16's we've 1010been talking about.11MS. CORRELL: No objection. 1312ALJ BOLDT: So 16-002 is received. I think 1313we've marked it up even so yep. Okay. Sir?14Mr. GLEISNER: Yes sir, Judge. 0 CROS-ELAMINATION17BY MR. GLEISNER: 19 good morning.10Weill you please go to Exhibit 2-002? Do you have 22 that, sir?23A Yes.24Q I'm now going to direct your attention to the TV just 2525so that we can get a little definition on this. You	Exhibit 143 we showed how much it would owing that discussion, pact to the south where alignment, where it's dway, and I suggested fill and using it as a and removing the muck ing road to whatever as a great possibility uth. ulation that was made the north is both, I tion correct, navigable yesterday's testimony. you not? act to the north would or how would that work tion, leading.
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES LEGAL VIDEO SERV (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI (608) 279-5295 Pra 70 72	VICES airie du Sac WI

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 19 1 Q How, mechanically, would this additional impact 2 manifest itself? 3 A Well, to remove the existing roadway and courses of 4 the muck, you would use a large backhoe or drag line 5 to remove it and you would be out there whatever 6 distances we determined before on this cross-section. 7 You'd be in that wetland navigable stream that 8 area. 9 Q And if there were settlement in this roadway, to a	 Q Could you put Exhibit 143 back up for a moment? Are there numbers on there that the civil engineer placed? A Yes, we did and I helped calculate these numbers. Q Okay. A In the office, I actually worked on this drawing, did this drawing in pencil, and then it was colored Q This drawing is Exhibit 144. You just pointed to that, correct?
10 reasonable degree of professional certainty, would 11 that also cause an impact to the north of that green 12 line? 13 A That's what the soils engineer stated yesterday based 14 on his experience with this muck and not being able 15 to do any testing that he would normally do on it 16 because normally you would have more soil borings, 17 you would have deeper soil borings, and you would 18 maybe run some additional tests. But since you have, 19 kind of like I say, protected lands there. These are 20 kind of protected when you have a wetland and that 21 and when we build a highway they're kind of 22 protected. We have to minimize our impacts to them	 10 A Yeah, I pointed to 144 which I prepared. 11 Q And now I'm going to ask you to 12 A And I was involved in the preparation of 143. 13 Q I apologize for interrupting you. I'm now going to ask you to reference Exhibit 143 and ask you if 15 there's a way of quantifying to a reasonable degree of professional certainty how much that impact would be? 18 A As we determined, based on how we would build this, 19 the impacts determined just to the north, there could be some additional ones to the south, we said the best case scenario, you know, the impact on these areas here would be this first area which was
23 so you want to build the road so that you don't 24 impact them. You know, we can tolerate settlement of 25 the road, but you can't tolerate it moving laterally LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 73	23 computed out to be .28 acres of additional impact. 24 If you take a worst case scenario, maybe not the 25 worst but another case scenario which would be a LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 75
and then maybe bubbling up somewhere that you don't have any idea, like the examples of the failures that I stated earlier. So with that, you want to design it right in the first place because if you test roll and it doesn't work then you have to take out all the work that you did and you have to redo it and your costs go up exponentially and so you want to do it right in the first place. Q Do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of professional certainty exactly how much impact will occur if they build the road as they have indicated they plan to? Your efforts in Exhibit 143, is that what you're reaching for? A Yeah, I'm looking at both of them. Well, there will be impacts and that's why we wouldn't build it this way because then we would have to go in and remediate the damage that we did in the wetland so you want to build it right the first time. And that's kind of another one of my careers at the Department was I was a trainer for quality based leadership and I trained the Department staff throughout the State and the one goal is you build it right the first time. It's just the most efficient way to do it, it's the most cost effective way to do it, and you eliminate the secondary impacts from doing it quickly.	little down the line, you would end up with almost a half acre of additional impacts on the north side. Now, after Mr. Gallo, the Counsel, asked me about the impacts to the south, we did not look at that because if we remove the existing road and we do some additional work in that muck, it could affect something to the south and that would get into the wetland, but it would also leave the DNR property. Now, just let me be clear on this one point. That impact of .28 acres or .25 acres to .45 acres, that would be into the MR. HARBECK: It's .28 acres. MR. GLEISNER: .28, I'm sorry. I've got old eyes. D That impact would be into the north green circle on Exhibit A 143. No, no, on Exhibit 2-00 A Oh, yes, it'd be in the north green circle on 2-002. Now, that would be based on the stipulation yesterday, an impact of .28 acres to .45 acres into both navigable water and the wetland, is that correct? A Yes, I stated that it'd be into the north of the
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 74	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 76

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 201existing Krause driveway.2QAnd the opinion that you've just expressed, have you3expressed that to a reasonable degree of professional4certainty?5AI have.6QOkay. Let's are you familiar with this exhibit,7Counsel Mr. Reinbold? I'm tired.8AI got promoted.9Yes, you did get promoted.10ALJ BOLDT: Demoted.11MR. GLEISNER: Yeah, maybe you would12consider that a demotion, actually.13QOkay. The estimated the additional acreage that14you have referenced there on Exhibit 143 would total15approximately three-quarters of an acre, am I16correct?17A18Q28acres and .4528acres and .45	1MR. GLEISNER: What are you talking about?2MS. CORRELL: Mr. Gallo is going to confer3with you regarding the testimony.4MR. GLEISNER: Mr Your Honor, if they5confer with me there's no objection to that, I6don't think.7MS. CORRELL: I think we have previously8asked for one counsel at a time.9ALJ BOLDT: One talking at a time per10witness.11MR. GLEISNER: That's very correct. Only12one of us can talk at a time, but I think we can13confer.14ALJ BOLDT: You can write notes to each15other and16MS. CORRELL: Right.17MR. GLEISNER: And that would be hard for18us to get a note over here. We could fly a
19 A We don't add those together. The .28 acres is the	19 paper airplane.
first impact. That was based on our first estimate	20 ALJ BOLDT: Maybe with all your high tech
of impact going out and then the more worst case	21 equipment.
scenario, it would be that so	22 MS. CORRELL: All right. So noted.
ALJ BOLDT: That's a range of potential	23 Q And the worst case scenario would be that you would
likely	24 add .45 acres to .16 acres and how much impact would
25 THE WITNESS: It's a range of potential	25 that result in?
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	26 LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(600) 270 5205 Desiring du Sea WI	27 5205 Description du Saa WI
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
77	79
1impact from .28 to .45.2QAre you aware, sir, that the wetland delineation here3is .16 acres?4AYes.5QWould those numbers that you have referenced, .28 and.45 acres, to a reasonable degree of professionalrecrtainty, be in addition to that .16 acres?8AYes, it is, most definitely. The .16 is at a9different location. It's out in the parking lot10area.11Q12And so in the first instance, the best case scenario13to .16 acres?14A15Q16acres?17Q18that collation was done yesterday by Mr. Giese,19A10with him to come up with the .28.11MS. CORRELL: Counsel, can one counselor12can handle the witness.13MR. GLEISNER: What was that? I'm sorry?14MS. CORRELL: I think one petitioner at a15time can handle the witness.	1 A That comes up to .61 acres. 2 I now want to refer your attention for a moment, if I may, to the I'm going to call up a general exhibit. I don't believe this has been admitted, 00, and I'm just going to ask you if you recognize the on that exhibit, the Krause site? 7 A Yes, I do. 8 Q And would you please identify that for the record? You don't have to 10 A It's identified by the black line that is drawn around it. It's not totally (inaudible) a DNR easement along this north/south road. 13 Q Yes, sir. Now, let me just ask you this question then to a reasonable degree no, first of all, let me ask you, have you made a personal observation at any time on the eastern edge at the point where the Krause site intersects with North Lake, have you made any observations concerning water flow? 9 A I visited the site, you know, often over the years and I have noticed water flowing out of the drainage ditch on the north side of the Krause property. I've also noticed water going west and south. In fact, when I determined elevations for the NRC, which is an acronym for a consultant that we hired to monitor water and determine wetlands, I determined elevations
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
78	80

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 21	
<pre>both north and south of the Krause driveway and, with those elevations, determined that the water was flowing south to north and sometimes flowing north to south. And, of course, that would mean it would flow east and flow west also. 0 Thank you very much.</pre>	1MS. CORRELL: Attorney Gleisner, could you2please wait a moment so that I can locate the3exhibit?4MR. GLEISNER: Oh, certainly. Counsel, I'm5so sorry. I apologize.6MS. CORRELL: I realize I'm slow at turning7these pages, but if I do it faster I lose them8all in the binder.9MR. GLEISNER: No, you tell me when you're10ready, Counsel. That happens to me too,11Counsel.12MS. CORRELL: There's quite a few. Okay.13I'm there. Thank you.14Q15taught quote, unquote surveying courses at MATC?16A That is correct. I did it for almost 20 years. I17taught four different courses in the civil technology18program and two courses in the and two other19courses in an apprenticeship program for carpenters,11laborers, and masons.21THE WITNESS: Milwaukee Area Technical22THE WITNESS: Milwaukee Area Technical23College.24But then the apprenticeship courses I taught at the
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 81	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 83
1right?2MS. CORRELL: 00, yeah. No objection, I'm3just I don't know where it came from, but I4don't care, it's fine.5MR. GLEISNER: It should be just before610A.7ALJ BOLDT: Or she means the photographs.8Is that an aerial photograph?9MS. CORRELL: It's source. A lot of10documents have like an inset saying who created11them.12MS. KAVANAUGH: This one doesn't.13MR. GLEISNER: I'm sorry, it does not.14MS. CORRELL: And I apologize if I missed15and you went through it. I just no16objection.17MR. GLEISNER: Thank you, Counsel.18Q19do.20A21Q22Yes.23Q24Yes.25Some foundational testimony is required at this26yout27Xes.28Q29Some foundational testimony is required at this20yout	1Waukesha Area Technical College.2ALJ BOLDT: Okay. And just we had3another acronym that I don't think has been4defined is we had SEWRPC. Is that the Southeast5Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission?6THE WITNESS: Regional Planning Commission,7that's correct.8ALJ BOLDT: Okay.9MR. GLEISNER: Thank you, Judge.10Q10Now11A14the course, you know, it's a surveying course15that was in the civil technology program that was16curriculum they were following. I taught night17school so most of my classes made up with young men16that were working in the surveying field. They were17on survey crews, they were leading survey crews, and18they wanted to know more information on how to, not19only use their instruments, but how to do the20mathematical calculations that went with surveying21and we taught them how to do property surveys. And22these are people that are interested in using this23knowledge to be taking the land surveying exam to24become a registered land surveyor.25QThank you very much. Now, that would be the type of
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 82	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 84

SHEET 22	
<pre>1 surveying that Mr. Powers did, for example? 2 A That is correct. And I don't remember what he said 3 he took, but he would be the type of person or a 4 person on his crew that would have actually been in 5 my class or a person like him that works for another 6 company. As I said, quite a few of my students work 7 for survey companies. And we did surveys, we 8 did and (inaudible) them mathematically. We did 9 topographic surveys with the old style equipment and 10 the new style equipment, determined elevations and 11 topographic features on the map. 12 Q Now, with that in mind, I'm going to turn 13 back we'll come back to this exhibit in just a 14 moment. I'm going to turn back to Exhibit 2-007 and 15 I'm going to inform you that there has been testimony 16 educed earlier, particularly by Mr. Powers, that that 17 green area is a grove of trees. Are you familiar 18 personally with that area? 19 A Most definitely I'm familiar with the as what 20 everybody has been referring to as the grove of 21 trees. I'm very familiar with it. 22 Q Have you been in it personally? 23 A I have been in it. 24 Q And 25 A And around it.</pre>	1MS. CORRELL: Just leave it.2MR. GLEISNER: This is pretty clearly3marked on that exhibit as where it is located.4It's right next to the Hanson boundary and5I the survey6MS. KAVANAUGH: But it doesn't show where7the other grove of trees is so I'm just trying8to verify. Is this the western-most one or the9middle one?10MS. CORRELL: I think he said the green11area is what the question is so that's enough.12MR. HARBECK: It's the famous grove of13trees.14MS. CORRELL: It is famous now. You're15been real familiar with what it is.16MS. CORRELL: It is famous now. You're17referring to the green. I think we can go18forward, right?19MS. KAVANAUGH: That's fine.20So you've been in that grove?21Mave.22Q Okay. Now I'm going to go back to Exhibit, there it23A Yes, I have.24Q Okay. Now I'm going to go back to Exhibit, there it25is, 8. Do you know who did this survey drawing?
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 85	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 87
1MS. CORRELL: Can I call for clarification2on what everybody is referring to as the grove3of trees? Is it the green area on that exhibit4in front of me?5MR. GLEISNER: That is correct, yes.6Q6And you walked through it yesterday or walked around7it?8MS. CORRELL: But, however, one of the9witnesses also identified some other areas that10were outside of that green area, is that11correct?12MR. GLEISNER: I believe that he identified13another grove of trees on the property. That's14my recollection. I am specifically referring to15the grove of trees that is located on16Exhibit 2-007 to the east of the in the17eastern quadrant of that exhibit and it's in18green and has been previously identified as a19grove of trees. Just so we're clear, Your20Honor.21MS. KAVANAUGH: And, Bill, related to what22we said, that's the grove of trees that's sort23of in the middle? There's another grove of24trees further west. There's two groves of trees25out there.	1 A It was received and it says topographic survey map provided by DNR 12-4-08. 2 And would you look at that topographical map and tell me where the grove of trees is located on there? Is it identified on there? 6 A It is not. 7 Q To a reasonable degree of professional certainty, does that surprise you? 9 A Very much so. 10 Q Why? 11 A Well, when you send a crew out to pick up data on a site, you pick up all the data for all the trees on the site, any other man-made features like the concrete slab that's shown there, and this grove of trees shows one tree, not the whole grove. They collected a lot of elevation points on the site, but there's no elevation points shown on this topographic map in the grove of trees. And when I looked at the aerial photo with the grove of trees and I looked at when I got this drawing, I couldn't believe it. It was the first thing that stuck out in my mind, that how did they miss it. Obviously, if this was one of my students, he'd be back out in the field again. If it was one of my crews on one of my projects, he'd be back out collecting the missing
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 86	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 88

	SHEET 23	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<pre>data. MS. CORRELL: I don't mean to interrupt, but there's an objection to the relevance of this document with respect to navigable waters and also that it's outside the jurisdictional scope. It is labeled at the top Figure 5, Soil Pit Locations and Depth to Water Table at US Army Corp of Engineers Meeting May 5, 2010. ALJ BOLDT: I'm sorry, where does it oh, okay, I see it. Okay. MR. GLEISNER: I'll read into the record what is written at the top left-hand of the Exhibit 8. Topographic the northwest, extreme northwest, corner. "Topographic Survey Map Provided by DNR 12-4-08." I will then read into the record what is located at the extreme northern perimeter of Exhibit 8. "Figure 5 Soil Pit Locations and Depth to Water Table at USAC Meeting May 5, 2010." And I will observe in answer to Counsel's objection, Judge, that the depth to water table is one of the issues that one would have to consider with respect to navigable water. MR. HARBECK: That would be my argument. MS. CORRELL: And this witness is not a </pre>	1it was put in there. It has a bore here and a bore somewhere else. There are two boring3locations shown here.4MS. CORRELL: Are those the red dots? I don't see a legend.5don't see a legend.6THE WITNESS: There was no legend on it.7It's just topographic information that was supplied by the DNR, assumed that it was taken 99by their consultant, Kapur and Associates, who did the survey on the site.11MS. CORRELL: I can't link the connection and relevance to this document. I'm not sure what it was produced for. I mean there have been a lot of documents in this I mean if we're going to talk big picture, there are a lot of documents regarding the general boat launch and I just can't tell from this, other than it's labeled as created by the Army Corp, and I can't understand why it's relevant here. If you can21ALJ BOLDT: I think it was provided by DNR to the Army Corps is the way I would read that. MS. KAVANAUGH: It's not labeled as who created it.23ALJ BOLDT: But, yeah
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 89	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 91
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>witness that can opine as to the location of navigable water. He is quite a renowned expert in other areas. MR. GLEISNER: Who is that? MS. CORRELL: Your witness. ALJ BOLDT: Mr. Reinbold. THE WITNESS: My kayak experience doesn't count? MS. CORRELL: Engineer Reinbold is very qualified to assess those engineering issues, but not location of navigable waters. MR. GLEISNER: Wait a minute, wait a minute. Counsel, he's just testified that he taught surveying for 20 years. MS. CORRELL: Correct. And this is soil data taken by the federal agency that regulates wetlands. THE WITNESS: Can I make a comment? The document that I received was different than this one. It did not have this note on Figure 5 Soil Pit Locations and Depth of Water. It had the handwritten note on the upper left-hand corner. MR. GALLO: It had the same THE WITNESS: This drawing does show where the oil being the to the</pre>	1MS. KAVANAUGH: Or for what purpose. It2doesn't have3MS. CORRELL: Oh, that's true. It could be4part of the SEWRPC report or something. I don't5know.6ALJ BOLDT: I'm not sure what the point is7to critique that drawing. Given its purpose on8its face I don't think the critique is that9relevant, frankly.10MS. KAVANAUGH: It doesn't have contour11lines so it's not really a topo map, is it?12It's13MS. CORRELL: So I think he just overruled14us so15THE WITNESS: It's data information used to16develop a topo map. If you go to17Exhibit 16-002, it's the same drawing with18contour lines on it.19MR. GLEISNER: Which is up on the board and20may I ask the witness some questions about2116-002 for the purposes of22ALJ BOLDT: Yeah, that one is already in23the record.24MR. GLEISNER: Thank you, Judge.25Q26With regard to 16-002, do you see on that survey the
25	the soil borings were taken and maybe that's why	
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 90	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 92

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

	SHEET 24	4 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.
1 2 A	grove of trees? No.	 Again, what's the relevance? What issue are we addressing.
3 Q		3 MS. KAVANAUGH: Don, you're an engineer,
4 A		4 you know that a contour line means that it's all
5	MR. GLEISNER: I would submit, Judge,	5 the same. 6 MR. GALLO: I understand. I understand.
6	that I don't want to belabor the point, the record speaks for itself, but they ignored the	 MR. GALLO: I understand. I understand. And it's a good point it's a good point.
8	grove of trees.	8 MS. KAVANAUGH: Yeah, so if there's
9	ALJ BOLDT: Well, that's	9 spots
10	MS. KAVANAUGH: Let the record speak for	10 MR. GALLO: But the relevancy goes to the
11 12	itself. MS. CORRELL: I'm sorry, I didn't catch the	11identification of navigable waters.12MS. KAVANAUGH: Okay. But what does the
13	last statement.	13 grove of trees have to do with navigable waters?
14	MR. GLEISNER: The DNR ignored the grove of	14 MR. GALLO: I don't think that's just a
15	trees.	15 physical description of an area on the property.
16 17	MS. CORRELL: So you're making a conclusion	16 MS. KAVANAUGH: So then why is it relevant 17 that it's not shown on that map?
18	or are you asking a question? ALJ BOLDT: In what context and which of	 that it's not shown on that map? MR. GALLO: You just said it is shown. The
19	your issues that we went through with Mr. Gallo,	19 elevations are shown.
20	which of those are you arguing that this is	20 MS. KAVANAUGH: No, Mr. Gleisner just said
21	relevant towards, that they ignored the grove of	21 DNR ignored that map the grove of trees.
22 23	trees on this particular drawing? MR. GLEISNER: I'm arquing, Judge, that the	22 MR. GALLO: I understand. I don't think 23 the trees themselves make a difference, the
23	fact is that they never took the grove of trees	24 elevations are important.
25	into account for the purposes of establishing	25 MS. CORRELL: Should we go off the record
	LECAL VIDEO CEDVICES	LECAL VIDEO CEDUTORO
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
	93	95
1	anything.	1 or
2 3	MS. KAVANAUGH: Well, if it's not on there, how do you know that?	 ALJ BOLDT: No, the objection is sustained. Let's move on.
4	ALJ BOLDT: I asked you a specific	4 MR. GLEISNER: Thank you, Your Honor. No
5	question. The nexus between this and which of	5 further questions, Your Honor.
6	those issues that Mr. Gallo laid out are you	6 ALJ BOLDT: Okay. Where are we now?
8	saying that there's relevance? THE WITNESS: I think this goes to	7 MS. CORRELL: So can I move to strike that 8 line of questioning then?
9	Mr. Gleisner's issue?	9 ALJ BOLDT: The objection is sustained. I
10	MR. GALLO: I think	10 won't rely on that and so
11	ALJ BOLDT: No	11 MS. CORRELL: Okay. Thank you.
12 13	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. ALJ BOLDT: those are the issues for	12 ALJ BOLDT: Any other questions of Mr. 13 Reinbold?
14	the hearing.	14 MS. CORRELL: Yes, I have a few questions,
15	MR. GALLO: If I could be heard? I think	15 if it's my turn.
16 17	this goes to the DNR's delineation or lack	16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
17 18	thereof of navigable waters. ALJ BOLDT: The grove of trees? You're	17 BY MS. CORRELL: 18 Q Thank you, Mr. Reinbold, for taking the time to
19	saying the grove of trees?	19 attend this lengthy hearing process and also, as the
20	MR. GALLO: The elevations	20 Judge mentioned earlier, for some of your projects.
21	MS. CORRELL: We have to delineate trees?	21 You obviously have quite a bit of expertise and
22 23	MR. GALLO: The elevations in that grove of trees area. There's a bare spot in this topo	knowledge in engineering and have been awarded assuch as well as being given responsibility for large
24	map where there's no elevations.	24 projects that are complicated. You testified
25	MS. CORRELL: There are contour lines.	25 regarding various projects of which you had personal
1	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 94	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 96

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 25 experience and I'm not positive, but I suspect you were probably in a supervisory capacity in each of those examples that you provided. And you referred to being a victim of failures at times and you gave a few specific examples, is that correct? A I believe so. Q And one of those failures had to do with an airport spur. Had you ever designed an airport project previously to that project? In A I have not. When I said airport spur, that is the freeway that connects I-94 to Mitchell Field. Q so your expertise, obviously, for 45 years, I think you stated, is in primarily transportation I A Yes. Q as it connects to engineering and primarily working for the DOT you would take into consideration DOT standards in establishing what the criteria to build to, is that correct? And other standards. It depends upon the location of the project, but generally it was DOT standards. Q What other standards might you rely upon? A Well, I haven't used other standards recently, but when you have a state highway there's certain standards, when you have a county highway there's	<pre>1 respect to a public boat launch or 2 A I did not do any work or design a boat launch on 3 your I didn't look at the boat launch. 4 Q So is your answer not that you're aware of? 5 A I don't know the specifications for a boat launch. 6 Q Okay. But would it be fair to say that one of the 7 factors you would consider in your professional 8 expertise would be the speed at which vehicles would 9 be traveling on a road? 10 A Speed has to do with the geometrics design, weight of 11 the vehicles has to do with the capacity design. 12 Q But wouldn't speed of 65, and perhaps some people 13 speed a little bit, so an average speed for, say, a 14 state highway or interstate, wouldn't those types of 15 roads be designed such that they could sustain the 16 vibration that would be greater at a larger speed? 17 A The speed is affected by the geometry of the road. 18 The geometry dictates the speed and the load carrying 19 capacity of the pavement structure takes care of the 10 load so you're kind of mixing the two things 21 together. 22 Q Right. I'm breaking them out into separate issues so 23 my question if you could maybe you can explain 24 to me what you mean by geometry of the road? 25 A Turns, degree of the curvature, how much it goes</pre>
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
97	99
<pre>certain standards, when there's a parking lot there's certain standards. Because I'm not as knowledgeable well, obviously, not as knowledgeable as you, possibly quite ignorant in the field of engineering, would you say that a town road standard would be a little more lenient than a Wisconsin DOT standard? Most definitely. Most definitely. It's based on the types of traffic. That's how your road system is designed. The roads with more traffic are state roads, less traffic county roads, less traffic or local access are town roads or local streets. ALJ BOLDT: Would you say that the design of this project violates any standard that you're aware of? I mean for a project of this type? THE WITNESS: Well, basically, when you look at the width in the pavement design, it's fine. When you look at the subgrade and below yeah, it has to be talked about. There are problems. ALJ BOLDT: Okay. But I think the Judge's question is a good one and I was going to get to that eventually, but is there a particular standard that is required to be met with</pre>	<pre>around. You've been on a highway where it says sharp curve ahead, slow down. That affects the speed. It's the geometry of the road that determines the speed. Sometimes it's the volume of traffic that determines the speed the number of vehicles. Vou've been here for the entire testimony? A Just about. And my understanding was, based on some of the testimony provided by Mr. Giese, was that you can have vibration based on travel down a road if it's not properly designed such that it may affect properties nearby. He talked about a nuisance issue? MR. HARBECK: I object to the form of the question, Your Honor. Giese didn't talk about traffic, he was talking about the construction of the road itself and the vibrations it would create in terms of the nuisance issue. MS. CORRELL: I may be mistaken. ALJ BOLDT: Yeah, that's a fair MS. KAVANAUGH: I think that might be true. ALJ BOLDT: description of his testimony. Would you consider the weight of the typical vehicle in designing highways to DOT standards? Well, there's a couple things that affect the design.</pre>
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
98	100

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>SHEET 26 It has to do with the weight of the vehicles on the road and it has to do with the freeze thaw. The number one problem with failures on the highways and roads in Wisconsin is the freeze thaw. The second most impact on our road is the weight of the vehicles. Q But about how many vehicles travel on the road? A Only one can be on that section of the road at a time. 0 Correct. But if thousands of vehicles travel over</pre>	 or the one that was done prior to being there? Q I can go through each one of them if you'd like me to do it separately. A Well, where I explained the failure on the airport spur, it was work that was done on a project before I was there. I don't know what standards the project was built to because I was not there, but because of what they did Q Okay. And that's understood, your testimony on that. A That project, what we did on top of it, not knowing
17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>structure and the pavement structure is the asphalt and the crushed stone below it. Q So let me see if I understand what you just stated. Does that mean that you might design a greater depth of concrete and stone for more vehicles? A For more vehicles, that is correct. That is correct. This is really a minimalist design. It's probably a parking lot design, you know, the thickness of the asphalt and the crushed stone. It's not a big</pre>	<pre>11 what was there because it was covered up, our project 12 failed and we had to remove the earth fill, remove 13 the poor material, and replace it with select 14 (inaudible). 15 Q Okay. So you had to correct a problem 16 A That was previous 17 Q but would the prior either consultants or I 18 suspect it was probably consultants that had 19 constructed the road? 20 A I don't know if it was a consultant project, a 21 DOT 22 Q Can I ask a question though?</pre>
23 24 25	highway design that's going in here. The pavement Q Right. LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	23 A I'm sorry. 24 Q Would the original construction have to would the 25 original construction have had to comply with DOT LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
	101	103
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>not designed as a highway. Well, certainly, based on your experience, this would be small potatoes, right sir? No, we've done many projects over the years. I rated bridges on town roads. We used to in fact, the DOT used to design and build the roads for the DNR through the parks. So getting back to some of the examples that you alluded to, as I mentioned, you had testified that a couple of times failures did occur. On those projects would it be fair to say that you followed the reasonable professional customs and standards of professionals in your field? Yes. The example I gave on the airport spur, the failure occurred because of what the previous project did in leaving unsuitable material below what they did and when we raised the grade and built on top of it, it failed. So what we did was correct what was done when the previous job failed, but we had to correct it and it was very costly and time consuming. So isn't it accurate to say that even following the best or, rather, following reasonable professional standards, failures can occur?</pre>	<pre>1 standards? 2 A That is correct and somebody did not comply with 3 them. Whether they were disciplined or not, I'm not aware of. 5 Q And you gave two examples, I believe, also regarding highways. You said a project up in the north where a 7 highway resulted in some slumpage and failure of an 8 island, I think you described it as, into the lake? 9 Are you 10 A I remember that example. 11 Q Can you describe that in a little more detail because 12 I don't know how to refer to it other than island in 13 the lake? 14 A It was a fill roadway that was widened and they were 15 doing it correctly, stepping it into the existing 16 fill. 17 Q Can you specify who they is? Is that your team? 18 A The contractor was a contractor working for the 19 Department of Transportation 20 Q Okay. 21 A was following the procedures. And that example 21 is given because even the best steps taken to widen 23 an existing fill in an area where there's soft 24 soils this was adjacent to some lakes. I was not 25 on this project. This was a project that was</pre>
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 102	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 104

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 27 presented at an engineering conference of engineers in the Department of Transportation as what not to do and in that case, they were following a practice. Because of some subsoil conditions, this whole new fill sloughed off, went down, and came up and formed an island in the lake. And it might even have been an example in the DNR office of a failure of what to look for when you're building in these types of	<pre>soils that might not be best suited for roadways such as soft clays or other soft materials? A Right. Most as I just said, most of the soils in southeastern Wisconsin are poor soils for roads unless you get into some of the Kettle Moraine areas where it's gravel and sand. Then you have some as you get up in the central part of the State, you have some good sand, but generally the silty clays are</pre>
 100x 107 when you're building in these types of conditions, but I don't know the depth of the soil or the height of the fill. It was a pretty large project. And they had a rain overnight and they came back in the morning and their new earth that they were filling in next to the existing fill slid down and came up in the lake. Q And I thought you mentioned another example that had to do with an area that sort of also was slumpage that ended up being sort of a low-lying but grassy island in another highway project. A That was I-94 between the upper and lower (inaudible) lakes. Q Thank you for refreshing my recollection. And is that a project that you were involved in? No, that was I was working for the Department, but I was not involved in it. But it was a Department project? 	 Some good sand, but generally the sitty clays are poor. They're very susceptible to frost heave and that's the number one enemy to roads. Q And I think you testified that on the DNR access site you have concerns about the soils? A Yes. Q And you opined that there could be failure at the DNR access site, but you also opined that there may be other engineering solutions to address the load spread and shoring up of the base for the road, is that correct? A I believe we were looking at doing deeper borings, more borings, to determine more information so that we could come up with the best solution at this location. ALJ BOLDT: Did you have a specific Q I think they're two separate issues. ALJ BOLDT: Can I ask on this
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 105	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 107
 1 A It went on over different years. When they built the new highway going to Madison, they put in the fill with a short bridge and that's when it occurred. 4 When we came back and made Highway I think it was 30 still at the time being converted to I-94, that's when they made it a divided highway and the eastbound roadway was then built on a long land what we call a land bridge. It's over some water, but it's also over land because there's so much soft soils there between the two lakes and that's why they built the land bridge, because when they built the earlier bridge they had that failure. And that's something that the Department does is keep records on projects so when you come back and work in the same area, you have an idea of what exists and you move on and make things better. 17 Q Don't make the same mistake twice, right? 18 A Well, it's tricky and that's why, when you get into when you get into pushing things into soft soils you get into a little problem and Wisconsin does not have good soils. In fact, the whole southern part of the State has poor soils for highways. 10 And when you say it's fairly common to not necessarily run into wetland areas, but perhaps other 	1MS. CORRELL: Yes.2ALJ BOLDT: because this case is a mess3in terms of jurisdiction4MS. CORRELL: Uh-huh.5ALJ BOLDT: but typically I have the6right to consider conditions. So are you saying7as a matter in your opinion as a professional8engineer, that there should be more soil borings9taken and, if so, how many and where? Because10before I asked you that and you kind of said11well, maybe you need to do that or maybe you12could figure it out, just sort of reasoning out13what the likely subsoil is basically.14THE WITNESS: I would agree with the soils15engineer that spoke yesterday. Paul made a16statement that there would be an additional, I17believe I believe he said up to three or four18more borings and they should go to the bottom of19the soft soils. And I also agree with his20recommendation that we would excavate down 10 to2115 feet to remove the muck so you can control22the settlement and control the lateral movement23of the muck into the wetland. If it was a24farmer's field next to us, there wouldn't be as25much of a problem because it would be a more
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 106	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 108

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 2	28	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<pre>confined wetland. Some wetlands are just potholes. This one is just going right through the middle of a large wetland with a lot of muck so you could have soils being pushed out to the left or to the right. And what you'd want to do is minimize the settlement and minimize the lateral movement because you would not want to impact the wetland or the navigable water area or the streambed. ALJ BOLDT: And is it am I right in understanding your testimony that another way to minimize the impacts laterally is your suggestion to take up the old roadway and use that as your select fill as you go along? THE WITNESS: I would recommend that being as a select fill because there's muck underneath the existing road that's there and you really need to treat the entire new roadway as a unit. If you only build a half a road, you're going to end up with a half a road so you really need to do it all together. There is some consolidation of material under the existing road, but not enough to support and prevent movement of the soft material, further consolidation or lateral movement into the wetlands.</pre>	1blah, blah, I don't know exactly what authority2I have and I think we're likely to have briefs3on that, but what about minimizing potential4impacts to water flow towards neighboring5riparians or neighboring towards the6neighbors generally. In particular, I think7it's up on I forget their name.8MS. CORRELL: North is Peters.9ALJ BOLDT: Peters property. Is there10anything in this design that you saw that gives11you cause for concern about that the water is12going to be redirected? I mean I understood13your testimony to be that that is a concern up14there, but I think you know what I'm talking17about. Is there any way or if that's not18your area of expertise too, that's in terms19of how to minimize that potential?20THE WITNESS: Well, I have to go back to21what Mr. Gleisner was asking me about the survey22data that was provided us from the DNR that had23topographic data on it. To me, it was24inadequate. It didn't even cover the entire DNR25site. It was later re-surveyd. The re-survey,
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 109	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 111
1		1
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ \end{array} $	ALJ BOLDT: And is there anything else that you would recommend in terms of minimizing either the possibility of failure or lateral secondary impacts to wetlands and/or navigable wetlands? THE WITNESS: I think what I recommended was the most cost effective. Obviously, the one that would you would totally minimize the impacts is just do a land bridge over it, but that's that would be foolish for the because of the cost here and the usage of the property. ALJ BOLDT: Okay. As far as the cost, is taking up the existing roadway and using if you use that as fill, it's not a whole lot more expensive, is it? THE WITNESS: No, moving that dirt around is not a big thing, but what you want to do is get at that soft material and remove a certain amount of it so that you can control your settlement and any movement of anything displacing anything in your wetland. ALJ BOLDT: And just while we're on this conditions thing which is kind of my purview, or maybe not. Given that it's a Manual Code, blah,	1the ditch along the north property line, that2was not done back in '08. They didn't do any3survey on any of the adjoining properties to4determine which way any of the drainage goes or5water flows this way or that way. You don't6really know the impacts to the surrounding7properties because nobody collected the data.8MS. KAVANAUGH: And, Judge, I guess I would9object because there's nothing that shows where10you have even a procedural I mean a11substantive standard in 30.12 or 299, anything,12that requires us to do that.13ALJ BOLDT: No, I understand that and I14just want to make sure that I've flushed this15out and then we can decide oh, you have16jurisdiction here and you don't have it there or17you don't have the right to impose conditions.18It's either up or down or I don't know exactly.19MS. KAVANAUGH: But I mean if this was2030.12, you know, as Megan Counsel Correll has21pointed out, you know, that's a common law22remedy, you know, under23ALJ BOLDT: Well, neighboring24riparians I think there are cases that have25impacts to neighboring riparians as part of the
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
	110	112

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 29	
SHEET 291public interest.2MS. KAVANAUGH: But 30.12 doesn't talk3about that.4ALJ BOLDT: I agree. It's not in the5standard. I think it's in the case law in terms6of public interest.7MS. KAVANAUGH: Well, but riparian8MS. CORRELL: If you're obstructing their9riparian zone or in what context?10ALJ BOLDT: Yeah, I'm just trying to make11sure that I have a full record here. And I12understand that there are objections and I13understand that there are limits to my14jurisdiction, believe me, and some of them are15welcome. But, on the other hand, you know, I16just want to make sure is there anything, as17a practical matter, that you, in all your18experience, would see as being an addition to19minimize that kind of concern? I mean, in20theory I'm sure I mean the argument that I've21heard is that this is a navigable waterway and22that you're redirecting the flow of it so23that's24MS. CORRELL: Doesn't storm water address25that and there's currently no treatment on the	1to make sure the record is complete here.2MR. GLEISNER: And, Judge, speaking in3favor of the Judge's questioning, I think this4is a classic issue that could be taken up on5post-hearing briefs.6ALJ BOLDT: No, I agree with that, but I7want to make sure the record is here too.8MR. GLEISNER: Yet bet.9ALJ BOLDT: In case there's some condition10that can address it and deal with it. So if you11have in mind any intelligible question that I12posed, go ahead and answer it.13UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Do I need to run it14back?15ALJ BOLDT: No.16THE WITNESS: I think you're asking me17about the information that I thought and I18believe I answered that based on, you know, some19additional soil investigations. And then the20other thing was the lack of topographic21information on the site and adjacent sites that22really determined where it is. There23wasn't at least we did not receive, even24after the records request, data along the Krause25access road in these two wetlands. There are
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 113	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 115
1 site? 2 ALJ BOLDT: Likely. Likely it does. Yeah, 3 it likely does. And I assume that this 4 project you know, and that's not been an 5 issue that's granted, but it is it's arguably 6 an issue because of the way this has been 7 presented as a navigable waterway. 8 MS. KAVANAUGH: And, Judge, I guess I just 9 want to note for the record that, you know, the 10 public detrimental to the public interest. 11 The public interest includes the penalty of 12 rights on the public trust. A riparian right is 13 a right that's a property right, a private 14 property right, that attaches to owning land 15 adjacent to, and having water flow onto your 16 property is not affecting a riparian right. You 17 know, if you've got like a pier interfering with 18 someone else so you're dredging in front of 19 someone else's property, those are riparian 20 rights. 21 ALJ BOLDT: Understood. No, I in 22 general, I agree with that unless you have	1some cross-sections from the design plan, but2the lines go out straight which is a little3suspect because the land isn't straight and so4I'm a little bit nervous here. I would not want5to build on here, not knowing a little bit more6information on the adjoining properties because7something that you would do here, whether you're8building a road or a home or an office building9or whatever it is, you have to know how it10impacts your neighbors. And I don't know how11that fits into this whole hearing, but12ALJ BOLDT: Very good.13THE WITNESS: Or whose right to talk about14it, I guess I don't know15ALJ BOLDT: I think it's a gray area for16all of us, frankly, at this point. Okay. Back17to you. I'm sorry for interrupting, but I just18wanted to make sure I had what I needed in19there.20I think I was asking you about boring. No, maybe I21wasn't.22I wanted to clarify what I was attempting to ask24You, Mr. Reinbold, I think right before the
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 114	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 116

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 30 additional discussion, I understood your testimony of a little while ago that you thought that other solutions could address the problem. And when I say the problem, I'm referring to the soft soils and their inability to carry load that you referred to. And by other solutions, I assumed you meant other engineering solutions, is that an accurate statement of your testimony? A Yeah, I believe I've mentioned a couple of them, but they get expensive. I mentioned just bridging it. There's land bridges that we do over wetlands. The (inaudible) interchange on I-8/94, there's wetlands all around that interchange. Instead of trying to excavate them out and put a road fill in there and build it, we bridged the whole thing. That isn't appropriate here because of cost. Q Right. We did look at that. A I mentioned putting in MSE walls on either side with a lightweight fill in between. That's not cost effective here either. So you get back to the solution of excavating a certain amount of the muck to control any movement into the wetlands and that's what we get into, is excavating the bad soil, building up a proper subgrade so that the pavement design that's shown here will work.	 1 A All right. I'm sorry, I misunderstood your question. Would you please repeat it? 2 Okay. My question was, on the DNR access site that you've reviewed plans for, couldn't DNR's contractor conduct a proof roll test after designing to the standards included in their final design plans? 7 A I think you have too many supposes in here. I don't know what the design is. 9 Is it very common practice in DOT projects to conduct a proof roll test? 1 A That is correct, yes. 12 Could DNR also conduct a DNR or its agent also conduct a proof roll test after this road is designed? 15 A Yes, and if it fails you end up removing the failure and the failure could go down many, many feet if you don't start with the right design going up. 18 Q I understand that, but isn't that DNR's risk in terms of cost? 20 A Well, when we build a road through a wetland we can't risk damaging the wetland on either side based on DNR requirements so now the DNR can absorb that risk because they can damage their wetlands? 20 Incorrect, sir. What I asked you was, if we conduct a proof roll test and that would be a one-time
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
117	119
1 Q And I think you testified as well that DNR could, if the road failed, remove and place and excavate further and place additional material? For example, if the road was constructed per design standards that Kapur required in their plans and the proof roll test was conducted and there was some infirmity in the road, couldn't DNR direct Kapur to design plans to change those specifications? It's a yes or no guestion. I understand you might want to think about it for a while. MR. HARBECK: Well, I'm going to object to the form of the question because I don't know what you mean by couldn't Kapur do this. Is that within the limits of the permit or the Manual Code approval or something else? MS. CORRELL: I'll break it down further for you, Counsel. Q On the DNR access site, it would be possible for a proof roll test to be conducted after the project was constructed as it's currently designed, correct? A As it's currently designed, if you look at the cross-sections, they don't even show removing any muck. You probably couldn't test 24 Q I didn't ask that question, sir. Could you answer 25 the	1drive-over and we saw any infirmity in the road due2to the soils that you just described, couldn't we3then pull up that road and add to the stability of4the substructure the (inaudible)?5MR. HARBECK: Again, I object to the form6of the question, add to it within what context?7MS. CORRELL: Okay. I'll break it down.8MR. HARBECK: You've got a Manual Code9approval that limits what you can do here in10terms of impact on navigable waters so your11question is very vague and broad.12MS. CORRELL: I don't think the question is13to14MS. CORRELL: I don't think the question of15broad. I'm not asking a regulatory question of16this engineer witness right now.17ALJ BOLDT: Okay. Yeah, I'll allow it as18fair cross-examination.19Q10Have you ever designed a project that has required20wouldn't it be fair to say that DNR could also alter21its design criteria if evidence showed that in fact a22Problem occurred?23A24Could you restate that again, please?
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
118	120

SHEET 31 1 Q Couldn't DNR alter the designs as you've reviewed them here today if in fact a problem appeared to be imminent after the construction of that roadway? 4 A Constructed in which manner? 5 Q Per the design criteria that you've reviewed, as you testified? 7 A Yes. I would not recommend it because, based on my knowledge of what's here and would fail and then all the work that you did building the road, you'd have to remove that work, redo what's underneath it, put that work back, so you're building the road three times. 13 Q I agree and that's not sensible. 14 A And then you're still not taking care of the	<pre>1 existing access road on the DNR site, would you? 2 A With my knowledge, I wouldn't drive a truck on that 3 road. 4 Q That's a good point. Could you turn to what's been 5 marked Exhibit 7? It should be in the Redland Road 6 binder. I think that is what you have in front of 7 you, sir. 8 A What exhibit? 9 Q 27. I've already gotten a good chuckle out of this 10 picture. Do you have any personal knowledge or could 11 you describe what Exhibit 27 depicts? 12 A Yeah, it's a soil boring where it got drove into the 13 marsh. 14 Q Did you see it drive into the marsh?</pre>
14 A And then you're still not taking take of the 15 secondary impacts. 16 Q DNR doesn't want to spend money necessarily. 17 MR. HARBECK: Let him finish his answer, 18 please. 19 MS. CORRELL: He did not answer my 20 question. I've tried to get him to answer a 21 single yes or no question three times and I wish 22 he would simply answer it. 23 MR. HARBECK: Again, is the overlay to your 24 question outside of the context of the 25 regulatory criteria?	 14 g bld you see it drive into the marsh. 15 A No, I did not. I saw it after it was in the marsh on its side. 17 Q But it is a soil boring truck? 18 A Yes, sir ma'am. 19 Q Yes, sir ma'am. That's okay. Either one is okay. 20 No objection. And do you have any idea what a soil boring truck weighs? 22 A Not offhand, but they're pretty heavy. That's why they have tracks on instead of tires. 24 Q Uh-huh. 25 A And it's made to go on soft soils to spread out the
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 121	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 123
1MS. CORRELL: Correct.2MS. KAVANAUGH: How about engineering3standards and protocols for building roads.4MS. CORRELL: Because engineering is5relevant6ALJ BOLDT: Okay. Go ahead, pose it again7and ask for a yes or no and we'll pause, we'll8see if there's an objection, and we'll see if it9can be answered yes or no.10Q9You testified a minute ago that it was standard to11cornect?13A14Q15not positive in its results, meaning there are16infirmities or failures, could DNR pull up the road,17as you suggest, and remove additional soils and18create sufficient stability for the access road?19A19Yes.20Q21K wouldn't conduct a proof roll test in advance23of24MS. CORRELL: Strike that.25Q2You wouldn't conduct a proof roll test on the current	load and there's different sized machines. You use different soil boring rigs to go in different areas of a project. Sometimes they're just mounted on a regular truck because you're doing it on a road because whenever you rebuild a road you actually core the old road that's there to see what's underneath it. Q Uh-huh. A Because a lot of old roads in Wisconsin, when they dug the ditches, they threw the soil up in the middle to make it higher. Then they put on gravel on it so you've got a lot of junk sometimes underneath an existing road. Q That wouldn't surprise me. How wide would you say this particular soil boring truck is? A I don't have any idea. Q Would you be surprised if I told you that this truck sort of teetered off to one side of the existing access road? A Whatever you say. I don't have any knowledge how it got over there. I said I viewed it after it was there. LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 122	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 124

1	SHE: 0	ET 32 And you said you also observed quite a bit of boring	1 A	Uh-huh.
2	×	sample collection in the field in your experience. I can restate that. I can see	2 Q 3 A	in this particular area?
4	A	Well, I didn't get the question. That was a	3 A 4	Uh-huh. ALJ BOLDT: Is that yes, Mr. Reinbold?
5 6	0	statement. Did you say that you've observed soil boring	5 6	THE WITNESS: I didn't know what the question was. It sounded like a statement. I
7 8		frequently in your experience in supervising others? I have observed them, but not frequently.	7 8 0	guess I was just nodding. I can rephrase every single one of them and I can
9	Q	Okay.	9 ~	pick on Don's questions all day long if you want to
10 11		I usually work with my soils engineer. Do you think it would be an easy matter to get this	10 11	be here until midnight, but I'd like to go home. MR. HARBECK: I was just commenting on the
12 13		soil boring truck down into wetland complex Number 1, meaning the northern portion of the wetland I think	12 13	Judge saying was that a yes or a no and you were making a statement so
14 15		you've referred to or navigable water? I've never operated a machine like that. I don't	14 Q 15	Yes, I can rephrase it into a question, if you'd like.
16		know.	16	ALJ BOLDT: Fair point.
17 18		Okay. That's outside of your would it surprise you if I were to say that DNR frowns on driving large	17 Q 18	Would it surprise you if MS. CORRELL: which question did I not ask
19 20		vehicles that would leave and kind of tear up wetland vegetation	19 20	in question form? I just asked five questions and then you objected.
21 22		No, that wouldn't surprise me. No, that wouldn't be that's not what you want running around in your	21 22	MR. HARBECK: No, no, I didn't object, I was just saying the Judge was asking him was
23 24		wetland. So would it also surprise you if I were to also say	23 24	that yes or no. You had made a statement at the very end and I just said there wasn't a question
24	Q	that trying to conduct additional soil borings in the	25	so he wasn't supposed to answer yes or no.
		LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI		LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
		125		127
1		triangle located just north of the access road might	1	That's all.
2	Δ	triangle located just north of the access road might be a difficult endeavor?	2	That's all. MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need
2 3 4		be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of	2 3 4 Q	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon
2 3 4 5 6		be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off	2 3	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of
2 3 4 5		be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in	2 3 4 Q 5	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 Q 5 6 7 8 A 9	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A	<pre>be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh. Why they chose this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Why the borings were made by hand and not with</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so going in with some type of equipment would be reasonable.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q	<pre>be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh. Why they chose this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Why the borings were made by hand and not with this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Which borings are you referring to?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 2 13	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to.Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so going in with some type of equipment would be reasonable.But the report did refer to additional hand method of boring, is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A Q	<pre>be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh. Why they chose this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Why the borings were made by hand and not with this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Which borings are you referring to? The ones were the data is given. Those were done with this equipment, is my</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 2 13 14 8 15 0	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to.Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so going in with some type of equipment would be reasonable.But the report did refer to additional hand method of boring, is that correct?Pardon me? What report?I'll be more specific. The GESTRA report at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q A	<pre>be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh. Why they chose this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Why the borings were made by hand and not with this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Which borings are you referring to? The ones were the data is given. Those were done with this equipment, is my understanding. Oh, they were?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 10 11 12 Q 13 14 A 15 Q 16 17 A	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so going in with some type of equipment would be reasonable. But the report did refer to additional hand method of boring, is that correct? Pardon me? What report? I'll be more specific. The GESTRA report at Exhibit 7 also refers to hand placement of rods Yeah, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A Q	<pre>be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh. Why they chose this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Why the borings were made by hand and not with this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Which borings are you referring to? The ones were the data is given. Those were done with this equipment, is my understanding.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 2 13 14 8 15 2 16	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so going in with some type of equipment would be reasonable. But the report did refer to additional hand method of boring, is that correct? Pardon me? What report? I'll be more specific. The GESTRA report at Exhibit 7 also refers to hand placement of rods
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A Q A	<pre>be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh. Why they chose this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Why the borings were made by hand and not with this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Which borings are you referring to? The ones were the data is given. Those were done with this equipment, is my understanding. Oh, they were? There was also Then I don't understand why they only went down 20 feet.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 10 11 12 Q 13 14 A 15 Q 16 17 A 15 Q 16 17 A 20 21 20 13 20 14 A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so going in with some type of equipment would be reasonable. But the report did refer to additional hand method of boring, is that correct? Pardon me? What report? I'll be more specific. The GESTRA report at Exhibit 7 also refers to hand placement of rods Yeah, okay. in various points, is that correct? Uh-huh. ALJ BOLDT: Now, is that a yes, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	<pre>be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh. Why they chose this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Why the borings were made by hand and not with this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Which borings are you referring to? The ones were the data is given. Those were done with this equipment, is my understanding. Oh, they were? There was also Then I don't understand why they only went down 20 feet. There was also placement of rods by hand, I believe, in the wetland.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 10 11 12 Q 13 14 A 15 Q 16 17 A 18 Q 19 A 20 21 22	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so going in with some type of equipment would be reasonable. But the report did refer to additional hand method of boring, is that correct? Pardon me? What report? I'll be more specific. The GESTRA report at Exhibit 7 also refers to hand placement of rods Yeah, okay in various points, is that correct? Uh-huh. ALJ BOLDT: Now, is that a yes, because that was a question. MR. GLEISNER: Very good, Judge. You're
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 7 8 9 9 20 21 22 23 24	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	<pre>be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh. Why they chose this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Why the borings were made by hand and not with this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Which borings are you referring to? The ones were the data is given. Those were done with this equipment, is my understanding. Oh, they were? There was also Then I don't understand why they only went down 20 feet. There was also placement of rods by hand, I believe, in the wetland. Yes, that's what I heard them say, yeah. Because it would be difficult to drive such a large</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 10 11 12 Q 13 14 A 15 Q 16 17 A 18 Q 19 A 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so going in with some type of equipment would be reasonable. But the report did refer to additional hand method of boring, is that correct? Pardon me? What report? I'll be more specific. The GESTRA report at Exhibit 7 also refers to hand placement of rods Yeah, okay in various points, is that correct? Uh-huh. ALJ BOLDT: Now, is that a yes, because that was a question. MR. GLEISNER: Very good, Judge. You're much more alert than we are at this point, Judge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 7 8 9 9 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	<pre>be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh. Why they chose this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Why the borings were made by hand and not with this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Which borings are you referring to? The ones were the data is given. Those were done with this equipment, is my understanding. Oh, they were? There was also Then I don't understand why they only went down 20 feet. There was also placement of rods by hand, I believe, in the wetland. Yes, that's what I heard them say, yeah.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 10 11 12 Q 13 14 A 15 Q 16 17 A 18 Q 19 A 20 21 22 23	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so going in with some type of equipment would be reasonable. But the report did refer to additional hand method of boring, is that correct? Pardon me? What report? I'll be more specific. The GESTRA report at Exhibit 7 also refers to hand placement of rods Yeah, okay in various points, is that correct? Uh-huh. ALJ BOLDT: Now, is that a yes, because that was a question. MR. GLEISNER: Very good, Judge. You're much more alert than we are at this point,
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 7 8 9 9 20 21 22 23 24	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	<pre>be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh. Why they chose this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Why the borings were made by hand and not with this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Which borings are you referring to? The ones were the data is given. Those were done with this equipment, is my understanding. Oh, they were? There was also Then I don't understand why they only went down 20 feet. There was also placement of rods by hand, I believe, in the wetland. Yes, that's what I heard them say, yeah. Because it would be difficult to drive such a large</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 10 11 12 Q 13 14 A 15 Q 16 17 A 18 Q 19 A 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so going in with some type of equipment would be reasonable. But the report did refer to additional hand method of boring, is that correct? Pardon me? What report? I'll be more specific. The GESTRA report at Exhibit 7 also refers to hand placement of rods Yeah, okay in various points, is that correct? Uh-huh. ALJ BOLDT: Now, is that a yes, because that was a question. MR. GLEISNER: Very good, Judge. You're much more alert than we are at this point, Judge.
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 7 8 9 9 0 20 21 22 23 24	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	<pre>be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh. Why they chose this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Why the borings were made by hand and not with this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Which borings are you referring to? The ones were the data is given. Those were done with this equipment, is my understanding. Oh, they were? There was also Then I don't understand why they only went down 20 feet. There was also placement of rods by hand, I believe, in the wetland. Yes, that's what I heard them say, yeah. Because it would be difficult to drive such a large</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 10 11 12 Q 13 14 A 15 Q 16 17 A 18 Q 19 A 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so going in with some type of equipment would be reasonable. But the report did refer to additional hand method of boring, is that correct? Pardon me? What report? I'll be more specific. The GESTRA report at Exhibit 7 also refers to hand placement of rods Yeah, okay in various points, is that correct? Uh-huh. ALJ BOLDT: Now, is that a yes, because that was a question. MR. GLEISNER: Very good, Judge. You're much more alert than we are at this point, Judge.
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	<pre>be a difficult endeavor? No. It depends upon the equipment you use. I don't think this might have been the right kind of equipment. The other borings were done by hand in the access road. There are machines that extend off of a platform that could have reached out and done the borings, so there's other ways you could do it. Uh-huh. Why they chose this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Why the borings were made by hand and not with this piece of equipment, I have no idea. Which borings are you referring to? The ones were the data is given. Those were done with this equipment, is my understanding. Oh, they were? There was also Then I don't understand why they only went down 20 feet. There was also placement of rods by hand, I believe, in the wetland. Yes, that's what I heard them say, yeah. Because it would be difficult to drive such a large vehicle that would damage the wetlands</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 A 9 10 11 12 Q 13 14 A 15 Q 16 17 A 18 Q 19 A 20 21 22 23 24	 MS. CORRELL: I'll rephrase it if I need to. Would it surprise you if DNR would frown upon bringing this type of equipment into a wetland complex and potentially doing a great amount of damage to the functional values of that wetland? It wouldn't surprise me, but the area that the new road is being located will be disturbed eventually so going in with some type of equipment would be reasonable. But the report did refer to additional hand method of boring, is that correct? Pardon me? What report? I'll be more specific. The GESTRA report at Exhibit 7 also refers to hand placement of rodsYeah, okay. in various points, is that correct? Uh-huh. ALJ BOLDT: Now, is that a yes, because that was a question. MR. GLEISNER: Very good, Judge. You're much more alert than we are at this point, Judge. MS. KAVANAUGH: Just say yes or no, sir.

SHEET 33	
1THE WITNESS: I'm not sure anymore. Yes.2ALJ BOLDT: Yes, okay.3MS. KAVANAUGH: Yes, no or maybe as opposed4to5MS. CORRELL: It's a common problem.6ALJ BOLDT: It is. Everyone does it.7Q7Circling back to some of the examples that you8identified earlier, wouldn't those types of failures9be of the more catastrophic scale than any failure10that might occur on the DNR property?11A12remember. Some of the smaller ones I don't recollect13maybe.14Q15included partial excavation methods?16A17Q18vext of material. Not necessarily wetlands, but that19A11Cold also include soft clays19A10other soft materials?11A12renewer up to three feet and put in crushed13rock, breaker run, or select borrow (phonetic). And14this is not this isn't even in areas of wetland15navigable streambed, whatever that definition is of	<pre>stopped and then there was an area here. And the farmer says yeah, that's muck in there, that's 7 feet deep, it doesn't hold anything. We brought out our boring rig and we drove went down there, yep it was muck. It was 27 feet deep. So you talk you get a lot of information from property owners to determine what's out there. They've been there, they work the soils and that's a good source of information. The other thing I instruct the staff and we do regularly is if we're designing a project and it's raining, the guys are out there looking at that site during the rain because they learn a lot of what's happening during a rainfall. 2011 site visit? A I don't think so. 2021 site visit? A I trained last night though if you can count that little drip of rain. 2022 But wasn't the testimony that was offered in this proceeding that the survey that I think is at Exhibit 129 created by Lake County Engineers? A Yes, I'm familiar with it. It's right here. Do you want me to open it? 203 Was done by just eyeballing what the centerline might 204 Was done by just eyeballing what the centerline might 205 Was done by just eyeballing what the centerline might 206 Was done by just eyeballing what the centerline might 207 Was done by just eyeballing what the centerline might the centerline might the survey hat the centerline might the centerline might the survey hat the centerline might the survey hat the centerline might the survey hat the centerline migh</pre>
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 129	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 131
<pre>this land. MS. KAVANAUGH: Let's not go there. THE WITNESS: You got me. Just say wet stuff. A Wet stuff. In your experience with Wisconsin Department can I just say Wis DOT? A Sure. Do you have experience defining a drainage channel or swale or stream? A Yes. A Yes. A M would you do so by establishing a series of cross-sections? A Sometimes we do office research first. We look at USGS maps. You can get a lot of good information there. You look at historic documents of where the roads were before, walking the site to determine water courses or where water had been is a good one. A lot of times, if we're on relocation in a rural area we'll talk to the farmers in the area. They're very, very knowledgeable of any activity on their property or near their property. I was building I-43 North and we had a marsh and you could tell you could just tell he had soft soils where the dandelions grew right down to this spot and</pre>	be? A Yes. MR. HARBECK: Object to the form of the question. Whatever the testimony is, it is. I don't think they said exactly that. I think you in my previous question, I think you just testified as to methods that you would rely upon to define a channel of some kind, we won't get into the distinctions, and isn't it true that none of those methods included just locating low depressional areas? Well, you locate where you suspect the water drainage is and then you follow-up with the survey and that's actually what we did with Mr. Powers' crew is we walked the swale, unnamed stream, whatever it's called, and by walking it, through my experience, you can kind of see where the ground is lower. And he determined the elevation of the ground in that area, he could locate that drawing. And if you did it to the same scale as one of the other drawings, you could lay it over the other drawing and actually locate the center of that swale, ditch,
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 130	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 132

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 341unnamed stream, right along the other drawing. But2they'd have to be to the same scale because he did3locate those horizontally and determined the4elevation.5QWould you refer to the plans you've seen for the DNR6boat access as final plans?7ANo, I would hope not.8QSo you haven't reviewed the final plans,9specifications, notes?10AI reviewed what the DNR made available to us. If11they have final plans I have not seen them and they12have not made them available to us.13QIsn't it true that final plans aren't usually14completed until a certain amount of time before a15public project is let out for bid?16AThat's correct.17QOkay.18MS. CORRELL: I don't think I have any19further questions. If you'll just give me a20moment to review my notes, please. That's all.21Thak you very much.22ALJ BOLDT: Any redirect?24MR. GALLO: Yeah, thank you.25REDIRECT EXAMINATION	<pre>1 you're currently making, you said that it wouldn't 2 change your opinion. Is that I'm just trying to 3 verify that includes your statements with regard to 4 the lateral impact into the navigable waters? 5 A Yes, along with what Mr. Giese testified yesterday. 6 Q Would your opinions, any of your opinions, 7 professional opinions, that you've stated today 8 change with regard to a more complete set of plans, 9 don't answer that yet, given the plan set that you 10 have now? 11 A I think I'm going to have to ask you to 12 Q Sure. I'm sorry. Would you were asked a question 13 regarding whether this was a complete set of plans 14 and I believe your answer was that something to 15 the effect that you would hope not? 16 A No, there's a lot of information that a 17 contractor additional information a contractor 18 would need to do it, but normally in a preliminary 19 plan you do have a pavement design completed. 20 Q Now, these the plans that you did have an 21 opportunity to look at, I think the 2008 set and the 2010 set 23 A Uh-huh. 24 Q are they in sufficient detail for you to perform 25 your are they in sufficient detail for you to be</pre>
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
133	135
1BY MR. GALLO:2Don, you made a number of opinion statements, your3professional opinion, today to a reasonable degree of4scientific certainty. Do you feel in your5professional opinion, again, to a reasonable degree6of scientific certainty, that this problem as7identified by the partial plans is foreseeable?8A9Do you have any doubt in your mind?10A11preliminary plans and putting a pavement design on12a preliminary plan is typical. What's not typical is13the lack of some of the information that we stated.14And on the cross-sections you would normally see some15amount of excavation through the soft soils and that16would all be shown already. It is not, so17Q18NS. CORRELL: Objection, foundation. I'm19mot sure I understood the question.11mot sure I understood the question.12MR. GALLO: Okay. I appreciate that. I'll14restate it.25Q26The opinion that you're professional opinion that	1 able to formulate your professional opinions to a 2 reasonable degree of scientific certainty that you've 3 reasonable degree of scientific certainty that you've 3 expressed today? 4 A 5 Q Thank you. MR. GALLO: No further. 7 ALJ BOLDT: Okay. Any other questions of 8 Mr. Reinbold? Okay. Thank you very much, sir. 9 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 10 ALJ BOLDT: With that, let's go off the 11 record. 12 (Recess taken) 13 ALJ BOLDT: We're back on the record. 14 Mr. Gallo, are you ready to call your next 15 witness? 16 MR. GALLO: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. 17 Fritz Hanson. 18 ALJ BOLDT: Do you swear to tell the truth, so 19 the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so 19 MR. HANSON: Yes. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. GALLO: 24 Pritz, for the do you mind if I call you Fritz? 25 That'd be fine.
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
134	136

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

	HEET 35		
1 Q 2	Fritz, for the record can you state your full and		eferred to as the unnamed tributary on the north
2 3 A	complete name? Frederick Hanson. My nickname is Fritz and I live		ide of the DNR property? 'm not sure if you mean I'm familiar with the
4	at	4 bl	lue line which I call the little river.
5 6	ALJ BOLDT: Is it an S-O-N or an S-E-N?		kay. The little river. Okay. That's exactly what
ю 7	THE WITNESS: S-O-N. ALJ BOLDT: Okay.		'm referring to. kay.
, 8 Q	And your address?		kay. And are you familiar with the north and the
9 A	651 Patrick Lane, Hartford, Wisconsin 53027.		outh wetlands?
10 Q 11 A	And how long have you lived at that location? Since 1990.		es. hat are identified on that figure? Can you point to
12 Q	Okay. You've kind of thrown me with Patrick Lane. I		he north wetlands?
13	thought it was Redland Road. Can you clarify?		h, absolutely. This is the navigable wetlands right
14 A 15	Well, that's my primary residence is Hartford, 651 Patrick Lane. My secondary residence is		ere. That's the north, this is the south. It goes 11 the way down to Beck's (phonetic) Road, quite a
16	W322 or N322 W7574 Redland Road and that is		arge area many acres.
17	like that was my wife's or, you know, my wife's		hank you.
18 19	mother's place so we call it grandma's house. And I've been, you know, associated with that since 1968.	18 19	ALJ BOLDT: And those are the green areas on Exhibit 2-002?
20 Q	Okay. Thank you.	20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21 A	That's not where I currently live.		kay. Fritz, have you been attending the hearing and
22 Q 23	I appreciate the clarification. So it's my understanding that your part-time or recreational		ave you heard the other witnesses? es, I have.
24	home is adjacent to the DNR site?		kay. Entirely?
25 A	That's correct.	25 A We	ell, sometimes I missed when I went out to the men's
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES		LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES
	(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI		(608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI
	137		139
1 Q	To the south?		oom.
2 A	That's correct.	2 Q Ok	kay. Thank you.
	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land		
2 A 3 Q 4 5	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th	kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years?	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 ow	kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the
2 A 3 Q 4 5	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 ow 7 fl	kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 ov 7 fl 8 No 9 in	kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002.	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 ov 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar	kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea?
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 11 A 12	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 OW 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A Ok 12 li	kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 Q	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002. Except 1969, '70, '71 I was in Viet Nam so I didn't observe it during those times. Can you	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 OV 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A Ok 12 li 13 th	 kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 Q 14	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002. Except 1969, '70, '71 I was in Viet Nam so I didn't observe it during those times.	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 OV 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A Ok 12 li 13 th 14 ye	kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on esterday. It would just come flooding across this
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 Q 14 15 16	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002. Except 1969, '70, '71 I was in Viet Nam so I didn't observe it during those times. Can you ALJ BOLDT: Thank you for your service too.	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 OV 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A Ok 12 li 13 th 14 ye 15 ro 16 wa	kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on esterday. It would just come flooding across this oad and it would fill up this wetland navigable aters.
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 1 11 A 12 13 Q 14 15 16 17	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002. Except 1969, '70, '71 I was in Viet Nam so I didn't observe it during those times. Can you ALJ BOLDT: Thank you for your service too. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. MR. GALLO: Okay. I'm waiting for an exhibit.	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 OV 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A Ok 12 li 13 th 14 ye 15 ro 16 wa 17	<pre>kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on esterday. It would just come flooding across this oad and it would fill up this wetland navigable aters. ALJ BOLDT: That's the southern lobe, sort</pre>
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 1 11 A 12 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002. Except 1969, '70, '71 I was in Viet Nam so I didn't observe it during those times. Can you ALJ BOLDT: Thank you for your service too. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. MR. GALLO: Okay. I'm waiting for an exhibit. MR. GLEISNER: It's up.	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 OV 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A Ok 12 li 13 th 14 ye 15 ro 16 wa 17 18	kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on esterday. It would just come flooding across this oad and it would fill up this wetland navigable aters.
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002. Except 1969, '70, '71 I was in Viet Nam so I didn't observe it during those times. Can you ALJ BOLDT: Thank you for your service too. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. MR. GALLO: Okay. I'm waiting for an exhibit. MR. GALLO: Thank you. MS. KAVANAUGH: Exhibit what?	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 Ov 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A Ok 12 li 13 th 14 Ye 15 ro 16 wa 17 18 19 20 A Th	<pre>kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on esterday. It would just come flooding across this oad and it would fill up this wetland navigable aters. ALJ BOLDT: That's the southern lobe, sort of, of the wetland? THE WITNESS: Correct. his is where we parked the cars down here and we</pre>
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002. Except 1969, '70, '71 I was in Viet Nam so I didn't observe it during those times. Can you ALJ BOLDT: Thank you for your service too. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. MR. GALLO: Okay. I'm waiting for an exhibit. MR. GLEISNER: It's up. MR. GALLO: Thank you. MS. KAVANAUGH: Exhibit what? MR. GLEISNER: 2-002.	2 Q OK 3 4 5 Q Th 6 OV 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A OK 12 li 13 th 14 Ye 15 ro 16 wa 17 18 19 20 A Th 21 wa	<pre>kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on esterday. It would just come flooding across this oad and it would fill up this wetland navigable aters. ALJ BOLDT: That's the southern lobe, sort of, of the wetland? THE WITNESS: Correct. his is where we parked the cars down here and we alked in. I observed it while it was raining.</pre>
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 11 A 12 0 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002. Except 1969, '70, '71 I was in Viet Nam so I didn't observe it during those times. Can you ALJ BOLDT: Thank you for your service too. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. MR. GALLO: Okay. I'm waiting for an exhibit. MR. GLEISNER: It's up. MR. GLEISNER: It's up. MR. GLEISNER: 2-002. MR. GALLO: Exhibit 2-002.	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 Ov 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A Ok 12 li 13 th 14 Ye 15 ro 16 wa 17 18 19 20 A Th 21 wa 22 Wa	<pre>kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on esterday. It would just come flooding across this oad and it would fill up this wetland navigable aters. ALJ BOLDT: That's the southern lobe, sort of, of the wetland? THE WITNESS: Correct. his is where we parked the cars down here and we alked in. I observed it while it was raining. ater would come this way, fill this up, fill this</pre>
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Q 24	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002. Except 1969, '70, '71 I was in Viet Nam so I didn't observe it during those times. Can you ALJ BOLDT: Thank you for your service too. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. MR. GALLO: Okay. I'm waiting for an exhibit. MR. GLEISNER: It's up. MR. GALLO: Thank you. MS. KAVANAUGH: Exhibit what? MR. GALLO: Exhibit 2-002. I'd like to ask you two questions, one at a time. The first question I'm going to lay some	2 Q OK 3 4 5 Q Th 6 OV 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A OK 12 li 13 th 14 Ye 15 ro 16 wa 17 18 19 20 A Th 21 wa 22 Wa 23 uf 24	<pre>kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on esterday. It would just come flooding across this oad and it would fill up this wetland navigable aters. ALJ BOLDT: That's the southern lobe, sort of, of the wetland? THE WITNESS: Correct. his is where we parked the cars down here and we alked in. I observed it while it was raining. ater would come this way, fill this up, fill this p, and water would go out. ALJ BOLDT: Okay. So you're saying filling</pre>
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Q	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002. Except 1969, '70, '71 I was in Viet Nam so I didn't observe it during those times. Can you ALJ BOLDT: Thank you for your service too. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. MR. GALLO: Okay. I'm waiting for an exhibit. MR. GLEISNER: It's up. MR. GALLO: Thank you. MS. KAVANAUGH: Exhibit what? MR. GALLO: Exhibit 2-002. I'd like to ask you two questions, one at a time.	2 Q Ok 3 4 5 Q Th 6 Ov 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A Ok 12 li 13 th 14 Ye 15 ro 16 wa 17 18 19 20 A Th 21 wa 22 Wa 23 ug	<pre>kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on esterday. It would just come flooding across this oad and it would fill up this wetland navigable aters. ALJ BOLDT: That's the southern lobe, sort of, of the wetland? THE WITNESS: Correct. his is where we parked the cars down here and we alked in. I observed it while it was raining. ater would come this way, fill this up, fill this p, and water would go out.</pre>
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Q 24	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002. Except 1969, '70, '71 I was in Viet Nam so I didn't observe it during those times. Can you ALJ BOLDT: Thank you for your service too. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. MR. GALLO: Okay. I'm waiting for an exhibit. MR. GLEISNER: It's up. MR. GALLO: Thank you. MS. KAVANAUGH: Exhibit what? MR. GALLO: Exhibit 2-002. I'd like to ask you two questions, one at a time. The first question I'm going to lay some	2 Q OK 3 4 5 Q Th 6 OV 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A OK 12 li 13 th 14 Ye 15 ro 16 wa 17 18 19 20 A Th 21 wa 22 Wa 23 uf 24	<pre>kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on esterday. It would just come flooding across this oad and it would fill up this wetland navigable aters. ALJ BOLDT: That's the southern lobe, sort of, of the wetland? THE WITNESS: Correct. his is where we parked the cars down here and we alked in. I observed it while it was raining. ater would come this way, fill this up, fill this p, and water would go out. ALJ BOLDT: Okay. So you're saying filling</pre>
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Q 24	That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year. MR. GALLO: Bill, can you put up that last figure. I think it's 2.002. Except 1969, '70, '71 I was in Viet Nam so I didn't observe it during those times. Can you ALJ BOLDT: Thank you for your service too. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. MR. GALLO: Okay. I'm waiting for an exhibit. MR. GLEISNER: It's up. MR. GALLO: Thank you. MS. KAVANAUGH: Exhibit what? MR. GALLO: Exhibit 2-002. I'd like to ask you two questions, one at a time. The first question I'm going to lay some foundation first. Are you familiar with what's been	2 Q OK 3 4 5 Q Th 6 OV 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A OK 12 li 13 th 14 Ye 15 ro 16 wa 17 18 19 20 A Th 21 wa 22 Wa 23 uf 24	<pre>kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on esterday. It would just come flooding across this oad and it would fill up this wetland navigable aters. ALJ BOLDT: That's the southern lobe, sort of, of the wetland? THE WITNESS: Correct. his is where we parked the cars down here and we alked in. I observed it while it was raining. ater would come this way, fill this up, fill this p, and water would go out. ALJ BOLDT: Okay. So you're saying filling the southern lobe and the northern lobe of LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES</pre>
2 A 3 Q 4 5 6 7 A 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 Q 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Q 24	<pre>That's correct. And in your experience have you observed the land that's formerly the Krause property, but now the DNR property, in terms of observed the property over the years? Have I observed the property? Yes, I have, every year.</pre>	2 Q OK 3 4 5 Q Th 6 OV 7 fl 8 No 9 in 10 ar 11 A OK 12 li 13 th 14 Ye 15 ro 16 wa 17 18 19 20 A Th 21 wa 22 Wa 23 uf 24	<pre>kay. Thank you. ALJ BOLDT: You probably didn't miss much. MR. GALLO: We can all attest to that. he question I have is that can you describe in your wn words, based upon your personal observations, the low in and out of the unnamed tributary from or to orth Lake and also with respect to how that flow mpacts the north wetland area and the south wetland rea? kay. I'll point it out. I have observed during my ife the flow of water actually coming down off of he farm fields, across this road that we were all on esterday. It would just come flooding across this oad and it would fill up this wetland navigable aters. ALJ BOLDT: That's the southern lobe, sort of, of the wetland? THE WITNESS: Correct. his is where we parked the cars down here and we alked in. I observed it while it was raining. ater would come this way, fill this up, fill this p, and water would go out. ALJ BOLDT: Okay. So you're saying filling the southern lobe and the northern lobe of</pre>

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 36	
1the2THE WITNESS: Yeah, the3ALJ BOLDT: the northern green area on4Exhibit 2-002 and then go all the way to the5blue what we've called the tributary or6THE WITNESS: Oh, the little the river7that goes to the lake.8ALJ BOLDT: Okay.9A9That's during, you know, heavy rainstorms and that.10And then as the rain would stop, I've also seen it11where, if the lake is higher, through this little12river, it would reverse. It would come in and it13would fill this one up and it would you know,14they'd all seek the same level again.15ALJ BOLDT: And by little river, where16you're indicating on Exhibit17THE WITNESS: That's the blue line.18ALJ BOLDT: Okay.19MR. HARBECK: And just when you say fill20this one up, you're talking first it would21first fill in the north wetlands?22THE WITNESS: The north wetlands.23MR. HARBECK: And then that would then fill24into the south wetlands?25THE WITNESS: Correct.	1ALJ BOLDT: Okay. Mr. Gleisen?2MR. GLEISNER: Gleisner.3ALJ BOLDT: I'm sorry.4MR. GLEISNER: We're all getting tired,5Judge. That's okay.6CROSS-EXAMINATION7BY MR. GLEISNER:8Q9A Yes.10 are you familiar with something that has been11referred to and I'm going to call up a different12exhibit. Exhibit 2-007 is now on the screen. Are13you familiar with what has been referred to14constantly throughout this hearing as the grove of15trees?16Yes.17Q18This is the grove of trees.19Q19And have you observed water in that grove of trees?20Now, you've pointed yes. For the record, you've21Just pointed to the green area, thank you, on23Exhibit 2-007. Can you state for the Judge how deep24you've seen it? What's the deepest you've seen it in25that grove of trees?
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 141	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 143
1MR. HARBECK: Okay.2THE WITNESS: And it would also fill all3the yards.4MR. HARBECK: Okay.5THE WITNESS: Come right into here.6Q9And you're pointing to the red circle area?7A7A7Correct. Our backyard, DNR's, you know, backyard or8where the parking lot is going to be, and into the9tree that little green well, that's not shown10here, but it would come up into the trees because11that was a low area.12Q13the north side of the existing access road. Can you14describe the flow regime or your observations with15regard to flow in that limited area, right next to16the access road?17ACorrect. I would I've observed flow on the north18side of the existing access road out anywhere from19three to eight feet and I've observed it flowing in20either directions at times. Now, because of the21lake, sometimes the runoffs from the farm fields that22go into Oconomovoc River, the lake will come up23higher later and then the thing will reverse.24Q25MR. GALLO: No further questions.	1 A I've seen it probably 18 to 21 inches. 2 Q And how often have you seen water in there, say, over a foot? 4 A Probably over a foot. Every three years. 5 Q And 6 A Or thereabouts. 7 Q Okay. And when the water fills that grove of trees does it fill the area to the west of the grove of trees? And by that I mean does it fill the area that runs west along the purple boundary line between your property and the DNR property? 2 A Yes, and that happens several times a year. 3 Q And when that water fills, does it also MR. GLEISNER: Strike that. 5 Q Can you show and maybe we'll mark it on the exhibit, but first can you show for the Judge what area is filled several times a year to the west of the green area? 9 A There's water the house is here so there's water that comes out about here, all the way down, back up into here and into the trees. Now, the deepest portion is here, but in the DNR land here and all in the back of our yard here, two or three times a year it's it could be five inches deep five, six inches. You could easily, you know, float a Page
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 142	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 144

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 37 1 could float through there. Oh, yes. А 2 2 And did your daughter, in fact, paddle through there? And from 1971 on you spent a good deal of time around Q Q 3 3 there between '71 and the time you married your wife, A Yes. 4 Q Now, Mr. Hanson --4 correct? 5 ALJ BOLDT: And just so it's clear, Page 5 Uh-huh. А 6 6 Hanson is your daughter? MR. HARBECK: Is that a yes? 7 7 THE WITNESS: Is my daughter. 0 Yes, please --8 8 MR. GLEISNER: Oh, yes, I'm sorry for that. А Yes, ves. 9 And --9 So did you see that amount of water from 1971 to 1986 Q 0 10 THE WITNESS: She got her looks from me. on a regular basis? 11 MR. GALLO: Strike that. 11 A little less. There were days that I didn't go down А 12 MS. KAVANAUGH: Would your wife agree with 12 there if it got high and stuff, but in this ball 13 that statement? 13 park, four to five inches deep. 14 I'm now going to call up what has been marked as 14 And from 1986 until the present date, how often have Q 0 15 Exhibit 36-001. Have you ever seen -- first of all, 15 you seen -- to the best of your recollection, how 16 were you here for the testimony of Mr. Schwartzburg? 16 often have you seen water that deep in that location? 17 17 MS. KAVANAUGH: And have we specified how А Yes. 18 And have you ever seen the area between his house and 18 deep that water is in that picture. Q 19 19 your house that deep in water? Α I said in the center of that picture -- well, I don't 20 MR. HARBECK: You mean Peters? 20 know in this picture because I didn't walk in it, but 21 А That's one and the same house. 21 there were similar areas that were flooded that I 22 Peters, Peters. I'm very sorry, Peters. 22 walked in there and it would be probably six, seven 0 23 A Okay. Yes, I see this on a yearly basis. 23 inches deep in there. 24 Q 24 ALJ BOLDT: Okay. And we're looking at 35-That deep? 25 A Well, not quite that deep, but it's -- there's water 25 001. I think you said 36-001 when you first LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 145 147 1 there every spring and several times up until the 1 started, so just to get that clear. 2 middle of summer. 2 MR. GLEISNER: 35-001. Yes, Judge, I think 3 0 Have you walked out into that water? 3 I did too. 4 ALJ BOLDT: Okay. Oh, yes. А 4 5 What is the deepest that you've encountered? 5 And how deep was the water at its deepest, again, Q Q 6 6 7 A Since I've been there, it's been probably 16 inches when you walked into that area? 7 deep. Twelve to sixteen I would say. A I would say 14 to 16 inches. 8 9 8 Q And does that occur every year? And how often did you see it that deep? Q 9 A Not that deep. А That I only see it that deep maybe every three 10 Q Okay. 10 to -- oh, every three years, maybe every three, four 11 A Every year it occurs there's going to be, I would 11 years. 12 say, four -- roughly four, maybe five, inches. 12 MR. GLEISNER: No further questions, Judge. 13 0 Now, Mr. Hanson, you have been a resident or had that 13 ALJ BOLDT: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Correll? MS. CORRELL: Oh, I'm sorry. I have no 14 house as your secondary residence since 1968, is that 14 questions for you. Thanks for staying for the 15 correct? 15 16 А No, I -- I've been poking around there because Robin 16 entire proceeding. 17 was there since '68. 17 ALJ BOLDT: Okay. Thank you, sir. 18 MS. CORRELL: No comment. 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GLEISNER: Thank you, Mr. Hanson. 19 ALJ BOLDT: The record speaks for itself. 19 20 A I married her in 1986. 20 ALJ BOLDT: Another witness? 21 21 MR. GALLO: No further witnesses. Q Okay. 22 А From that point on I was there every -- basically 22 ALJ BOLDT: Okay. And do you rest then? 23 every day with grandma and that, you know. 23 MR. GALLO: Yes. 24 Q From 1971 on, did you have occasion to see water ALJ BOLDT: And do you rest then? 24 25 25 there of that depth on a regular basis? MR. GLEISNER: And we rest then, Your LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 146 148

	SHEET 38		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 Honor. ALJ BOLDT: Okay. Good. All right. Is the DNR ready to call its first witness? MS. CORRELL: I guess we'd prefer probably to continue on another day because it's already 1:30 and it's going to be pretty chopped up if we have to present evidence now ALJ BOLDT: Do you have anybody we can get we can get in and finished like you'd suggested, like 4:00 or 4:30, something like that? MS. CORRELL: We could. I mean, for example, and we could also put on our jurisdictional witness and ALJ BOLDT: Let's do that, yeah. MS. CORRELL: maybe have a bifurcated ruling on that issue? MR. GLEISNER: Objection, I think that we should have the record closed, Judge, before we have any ALJ BOLDT: Yeah, and Chapter 227 requires a written decision so I am loath to rule orally so but, yeah, your jurisdictional witness seems like an appropriate thing to do right now. 	 A Yes, I have. I've been very humbled by a couple of awards. I was nominated by the for the Brogan (phonetic) award in 1998 and I also received an outstanding environmental protector award from the Southeast Region in 1995, as well as an environment quality achievement award in 1995 as well. Q I guess we already touched on this, but by whom are you employed? A The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources since 1985. Q What's your current position with the Department? A I'm currently the Aquatic Invasive Species Coordinator for the State of Wisconsin. Q And what does that position entail? A I'm responsible for the coordination of the Aquatic Invasive Species Partnership which is a program that has a variety of partners, including county staff, UWC grant, UW Extension staff, along with Department staff, to try to prevent the introduction, spread a impact of aquatic invasive species in the State of Wisconsin. Q Prior to that position, what was your position at the Department? A Prior to being the Aquatic Invasive Species Coordinator for the State, I was the Aquatic Habita 	tal e e at and the
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 149	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 151	
1		1	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 9 8 9 4 10 11 12 0 9 8 9 4 10 11 12 0 13 14 15 16 17 18 0 0 21 8 22 23 24 0 25	 as your address, for the record please? My name is Robert Scott Wakeman, W-A-K-E-M-A-N. I live at 1315 North 63rd Street in Wauwatosa, Wisconsin 53213. And what's your educational background? I have a bachelor of science degree from the University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point in 1980. I graduated with a master's degree in biological sciences from the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee in 1985. And do you have any training on-the-job training? I've got lots of training. Could you specify? I have other training that I've taken as a State employee for the Department of Natural Resources over several years. 	 Coordinator for the Southeast Region. Q And could you explain what that position is and how long you held that position? A I held that position for approximately nine years. was responsible for the coordination primarily of the water regulation and zoning program in the Southeast Region which encompasses eight counties, including Waukesha County. I was a technical advisor to stat trainer, coordinator of efforts. I also had to provide liaison responsibilities to the central office in Madison and I was charged with the consistent implementation of the water regulation at zoning requirements or standards. Q And did you also supervise field staff in that capacity? I I didn't necessarily supervise them, that is, I didn't sign their timesheets and that sort of thing but I worked very closely with the water management specialists in the region. I held staff meetings with them. I assisted their supervisors, which were referred to as basin leaders at that time, in managing their workload, making recommendations on assignments and ensuring the consistent implementation of the program. Q And did you see a fair amount of regulatory activity 	I the st ff, and g, t re
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 150 Legal Video Servi	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 152	

SHEET 39 1 in Waukesha County? 2 A Waukesha County was one of the highest worklow	ad general permit application for a project that involved, let's say, a culvert installation and that
2 A Waukesha County was one of the highest workloa 3 counties in the State. On a per month basis, 4 ranked very high. It was probably higher if n	it 3 culvert installation was on a stream, we don't
5 equal to Dane County, for an example. It was 6 by two, or at sometimes three, water management	covered 5 water quality certification to authorize that
7 specialists to handle the workload and coordin8 that effort and making sure people knew what a	areas 8 mark in a navigable stream. So in that situation, we
9 that were covered was part of my responsibilit 10 Q Could you describe your personal experience in 11 reviewing and approving or denying Chapter 30	10 there were wetlands a wetland fringe, say, that
11 reviewing and approving or denying Chapter 30 12 water quality cert permits? I guess I should 13 wetland water quality cert permits.	
14ACertainly.Not only was I involved in provid:15technical and coordination responsibilities for	ing the 14 Q So I think you've covered quite a bit of ground. I'm for the 15 going to, just so that everyone understands and for
16 program, but at times I had to take on permit 17 responsibilities as well. In the situation wh	nere I 17 scenarios. You said you would look at the
18 was assigned a certain geographical area, then 19 times I'd be reviewing 20 to 30 applications 20 month. Other times, when I was providing communications.	in a 19 conducted, is that accurate?
21 staff or reviewing applications that others we 22 working on, it might have been five or ten in	a 21 Q Would you look at any other factors in terms of MS. CORRELL: Strike that. I'll just ask
23 month's time. So it varied widely, both when 24 Aquatic Habitat Coordinator in the region and 25 Lake Specialist in the region as well.	
25 Lake Specialist in the region as well.	25 question of whether back would review havigable
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 153	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 155
	100
1 Q Now, over the course of nine years, that would 2 pretty large number, wouldn't it?	2 A If the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal
<pre>2 pretty large number, wouldn't it? 3 A I would say in the hundreds, yes. 4 Q And the Southeast Region in general, did you h</pre>	 2 A If the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal 3 it was always treated as a water quality 4 certification.
 2 pretty large number, wouldn't it? 3 A I would say in the hundreds, yes. 4 Q And the Southeast Region in general, did you h more complex projects? 6 A We had a fair amount of dual jurisdictional-ty projects, things where wetlands were involved 	2AIf the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal3it was always treated as a water quality4certification.5QSo you're not aware of DNR ever having a dispute in6that regard?as well7ANo.Our authority for wetland fill is through the
2 pretty large number, wouldn't it? 3 A I would say in the hundreds, yes. 4 Q And the Southeast Region in general, did you h more complex projects? 6 A We had a fair amount of dual jurisdictional-ty projects, things where wetlands were involved as navigable waters and working with staff to 9 sure that we took proper jurisdiction on those	2AIf the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal3it was always treated as a water quality4certification.5QSo you're not aware of DNR ever having a dispute in6that regard?as well7A8water quality cert program. That's how we implement9that particular function or that activity. That's
2 pretty large number, wouldn't it? 3 A I would say in the hundreds, yes. 4 Q And the Southeast Region in general, did you h more complex projects? 6 A We had a fair amount of dual jurisdictional-ty projects, things where wetlands were involved as navigable waters and working with staff to 9 sure that we took proper jurisdiction on those projects was a common issue in southeast Wisco 11 Q You mentioned dual jurisdictional review. Con	2AIf the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal3it was always treated as a water quality4certification.5QSo you're not aware of DNR ever having a dispute inype of6that regard?as well7ANo.Our authority for wetland fill is through themake8water quality cert program. That's how we implemente9that particular function or that activity. That'sponsin.10how we authorize that activity is through a waterald you11quality cert.
2 pretty large number, wouldn't it? 3 A I would say in the hundreds, yes. 4 Q And the Southeast Region in general, did you h more complex projects? 6 A We had a fair amount of dual jurisdictional-ty projects, things where wetlands were involved as navigable waters and working with staff to y sure that we took proper jurisdiction on those projects was a common issue in southeast Wisco Q You mentioned dual jurisdictional review. Con explain that a little bit more? 13 A Sure. Dual jurisdiction, what I'm referring to there, is where we both have authority under to	2AIf the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal3it was always treated as a water quality4certification.5QSo you're not aware of DNR ever having a dispute inype of6that regard?as well7ANo.Our authority for wetland fill is through themake8water quality cert program. That's how we implemente9that particular function or that activity. That'sid you11quality cert.12QAnd who draws the jurisdictional line in those cases?13AThe Department of Natural Resources is involved in14setting the ordinary high water mark on public
2 pretty large number, wouldn't it? 3 A I would say in the hundreds, yes. 4 Q And the Southeast Region in general, did you H 5 more complex projects? 6 A We had a fair amount of dual jurisdictional-ty 7 projects, things where wetlands were involved 8 as navigable waters and working with staff to 9 sure that we took proper jurisdiction on those 10 projects was a common issue in southeast Wisco 11 Q You mentioned dual jurisdictional review. Con 12 explain that a little bit more? 13 A Sure. Dual jurisdiction, what I'm referring t 14 there, is where we both have authority under t 15 water quality cert, water quality certification 16 program, which regulates the placement of fill	2AIf the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal3it was always treated as a water quality4certification.5QSo you're not aware of DNR ever having a dispute inype of6that regard?as well7AMake8water quality cert program. That's how we implement9that particular function or that activity. That'show we authorize that activity is through a wateruld you1112Q13A14setting the ordinary high water mark on public15waterways. We also get involved in the16identification of wetland locations, but the ordinary
2 pretty large number, wouldn't it? 3 A I would say in the hundreds, yes. 4 Q And the Southeast Region in general, did you h more complex projects? 6 A We had a fair amount of dual jurisdictional-ty projects, things where wetlands were involved as navigable waters and working with staff to sure that we took proper jurisdiction on those projects was a common issue in southeast Wisco 10 you mentioned dual jurisdictional review. Con explain that a little bit more? 13 A Sure. Dual jurisdiction, what I'm referring the there, is where we both have authority under the water quality cert, water quality certification program, which regulates the placement of fill material into wetlands, as well as Chapter 30 authority, things like structures or piers or 19 grading, dredging, those types of things.	2AIf the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal3it was always treated as a water quality4certification.5QSo you're not aware of DNR ever having a dispute inype of6that regard?as well7AMake8water quality cert program. That's how we implemente9that particular function or that activity. That'show we authorize that activity is through a waterild you11quality cert.12Q13A14setting the ordinary high water mark on public15waterways. We also get involved in the16identification of wetland locations, but the ordinary17high water mark is something that we do.18Q19delineation of wetlands?
 pretty large number, wouldn't it? A I would say in the hundreds, yes. Q And the Southeast Region in general, did you homore complex projects? A We had a fair amount of dual jurisdictional-type projects, things where wetlands were involved as navigable waters and working with staff to gure that we took proper jurisdiction on those projects was a common issue in southeast Wiscon 20 You mentioned dual jurisdictional review. Contexplain that a little bit more? A Sure. Dual jurisdiction, what I'm referring the there, is where we both have authority under the water quality cert, water quality certification program, which regulates the placement of fill material into wetlands, as well as Chapter 30 authority, things like structures or piers or grading, dredging, those types of things. And, yes, the pun was intended. 	2AIf the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal3it was always treated as a water quality4certification.5QSo you're not aware of DNR ever having a dispute inas well7AMake8water quality cert program. That's how we implemente9that particular function or that activity. That'sonsin.10how we authorize that activity is through a waterald you11quality cert.12QAnd who draws the jurisdictional line in those cases?13AThe Department of Natural Resources is involved in14setting the ordinary high water mark on public15waterways. We also get involved in the16identification of wetland locations, but the ordinary17high water mark is something that we do.18QAre there any other entities that identify the19delineation of wetlands?20AYes. In southeast Wisconsin the Department relies,21or has traditionally relied on, the Southeast
 pretty large number, wouldn't it? A I would say in the hundreds, yes. Q And the Southeast Region in general, did you H more complex projects? A We had a fair amount of dual jurisdictional-ty projects, things where wetlands were involved as navigable waters and working with staff to y sure that we took proper jurisdiction on those projects was a common issue in southeast Wiscon Vou mentioned dual jurisdictional review. Con explain that a little bit more? A Sure. Dual jurisdiction, what I'm referring the there, is where we both have authority under the water quality cert, water quality certification program, which regulates the placement of fill material into wetlands, as well as Chapter 30 authority, things like structures or piers or grading, dredging, those types of things. Q And how does DNR navigate through these complet And, yes, the pun was intended. A We would really look at where our authority cat and the activity that was being proposed. That 	2AIf the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal it was always treated as a water qualityave4certification.5QSo you're not aware of DNR ever having a dispute in that regard?as well7ANo. Our authority for wetland fill is through the water quality cert program. That's how we implement that particular function or that activity. That's how we authorize that activity is through a water quality cert.10how we authorize that activity is through a water quality cert.12QAnd who draws the jurisdictional line in those cases?13AThe Department of Natural Resources is involved in the the setting the ordinary high water mark on public14setting the ordinary high water mark on public15waterways. We also get involved in the identification of wetland locations, but the ordinary high water mark is something that we do.18QAre there any other entities that identify the delineation of wetlands?20AYes. In southeast Wisconsin the Department relies, or has traditionally relied on, the Southeast21Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission for wetland identification, as well as private consultants. And
 pretty large number, wouldn't it? A I would say in the hundreds, yes. Q And the Southeast Region in general, did you homore complex projects? A We had a fair amount of dual jurisdictional-type projects, things where wetlands were involved as navigable waters and working with staff to gure that we took proper jurisdiction on those projects was a common issue in southeast Wiscon (10) You mentioned dual jurisdictional review. Contexplain that a little bit more? A Sure. Dual jurisdiction, what I'm referring the there, is where we both have authority under the water quality cert, water quality certification (11) program, which regulates the placement of fill material into wetlands, as well as Chapter 30 authority, things like structures or piers or grading, dredging, those types of things. Q And how does DNR navigate through these completed And, yes, the pun was intended. A We would really look at where our authority catal the activity that was being proposed. The second s	2AIf the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal3it was always treated as a water quality4certification.5QSo you're not aware of DNR ever having a dispute inas well7AMake8water quality cert program. That's how we implementas well7ANo.Our authority for wetland fill is through themake8water quality cert program. That's how we implemente9that particular function or that activity. That'sbonsin.10how we authorize that activity is through a waterald you11quality cert.12QAnd who draws the jurisdictional line in those cases?to13A14setting the ordinary high water mark on publicthe1415waterways. We also get involved in the16identification of wetland locations, but the ordinary17high water mark is something that we do.18QAre there any other entities that identify the19delineation of wetlands?20AYes. In southeast Wisconsin the Department relies,21or has traditionally relied on, the Southeast22wisconsin Regional Planning Commission for wetland23identification, as well as private consultants. And24we used to do it at some point as well, but due to
 pretty large number, wouldn't it? A I would say in the hundreds, yes. Q And the Southeast Region in general, did you homore complex projects? A We had a fair amount of dual jurisdictional-type projects, things where wetlands were involved as navigable waters and working with staff to gure that we took proper jurisdiction on those projects was a common issue in southeast Wiscon (10) Q You mentioned dual jurisdictional review. Condext explain that a little bit more? A Sure. Dual jurisdiction, what I'm referring the there, is where we both have authority under the water quality cert, water quality certification (11) material into wetlands, as well as Chapter 30 authority, things like structures or piers or grading, dredging, those types of things. Q And how does DNR navigate through these completed And, yes, the pun was intended. A We would really look at where our authority cataand the activity that was being proposed. That typically determined what process we would for the second secon	2AIf the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal3it was always treated as a water quality4certification.5QSo you're not aware of DNR ever having a dispute inas well7AMake8water quality cert program. That's how we implementas well7ANo.Our authority for wetland fill is through themake8water quality cert program. That's how we implemente9that particular function or that activity. That'sbonsin.10how we authorize that activity is through a waterald you11quality cert.12QAnd who draws the jurisdictional line in those cases?to13A14setting the ordinary high water mark on publicthe1415waterways. We also get involved in the16identification of wetland locations, but the ordinary17high water mark is something that we do.18QAre there any other entities that identify the19delineation of wetlands?20AYes. In southeast Wisconsin the Department relies,21or has traditionally relied on, the Southeast22wisconsin Regional Planning Commission for wetland23identification, as well as private consultants. And24we used to do it at some point as well, but due to
2 pretty large number, wouldn't it? 3 A I would say in the hundreds, yes. 4 Q And the Southeast Region in general, did you H more complex projects? 6 A We had a fair amount of dual jurisdictional-ty projects, things where wetlands were involved as navigable waters and working with staff to g sure that we took proper jurisdiction on those projects was a common issue in southeast Wisce 20 You mentioned dual jurisdictional review. Con explain that a little bit more? 13 A Sure. Dual jurisdiction, what I'm referring the there, is where we both have authority under the water quality cert, water quality certification or fill material into wetlands, as well as Chapter 30 authority, things like structures or piers or grading, dredging, those types of things. 20 Q And how does DNR navigate through these completed And, yes, the pun was intended. 22 A we would really look at where our authority cata and the activity that was being proposed. That typically determined what process we would for the second s	2AIf the impact you're referring to was a fill proposal3it was always treated as a water quality4certification.5QSo you're not aware of DNR ever having a dispute inas well7AMake8water quality cert program. That's how we implementas well7ANo.Our authority for wetland fill is through themake8water quality cert program. That's how we implemente9that particular function or that activity. That'sbonsin.10how we authorize that activity is through a waterald you11quality cert.12QAnd who draws the jurisdictional line in those cases?to13A14setting the ordinary high water mark on publicthe1415waterways. We also get involved in the16identification of wetland locations, but the ordinary17high water mark is something that we do.18QAre there any other entities that identify the19delineation of wetlands?20AYes. In southeast Wisconsin the Department relies,21or has traditionally relied on, the Southeast22wisconsin Regional Planning Commission for wetland23identification, as well as private consultants. And24we used to do it at some point as well, but due to

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 40	
1 Q Okay. So let's walk through some of the basic regulatory framework. Based on your experience, how has DNR consistently implemented Chapter 30 activities? 5 A Well, we receive an individual permit or a general permit. We take a look at the activity and the type of waterway that's being proposed. If it's below the ordinary high water mark we know we've got jurisdiction on the placement of structures and we will evaluate the impact to the public interests. Public interest can include navigation, water quality, fish and wildlife, as well as natural scenic beauty and if the project is consistent with the protection of those public interests, we may approve the project. If it doesn't, we sometimes or often will work with the applicant to try to modify the project so that we can approve it. If we can't get modification, we may have to deny the application. Q What are some of the activities regulated by Chapter 30? A There's lots of activities that are regulated by chapter 30. Everything from riprap, which is a (inaudible) stabilization technique, boat ramps, culverts, bridges, miscellaneous structures, anything that's placed on the bed of a navigable waterway as	<pre>1 function of that structure so it doesn't damage the 2 other public interests. 3 Q You described a basic Chapter 30 process with the 4 example of a culvert. How would that process be 5 different if wetlands were present at the site 1 location where the Chapter 30 regulated activity was 7 being proposed? 8 A There are if there are wetlands that are 9 associated and those wetlands are below the ordinary 10 high water mark, we still authorize that structure 11 through Chapter 30. The wetland impact is evaluated 22 and can be authorized through the Chapter 30. We may 33 not necessarily record the wetland impact because 44 it's usually very small, but we do track that from a 55 state-wide standpoint, as we are always conscious of 66 trying to reduce the loss of wetlands in Wisconsin. 17 Q But technically do we require two permits for that 18 activity? 19 A Not in that situation we don't. Our water quality 20 certification really just regulates the placement of 21 fill material. 22 Q But we do evaluate the wetland activity? 23 A Yeah, the Chapter 24 Q Is that is my understanding correct? 25 A The Chapter 30 permit will acknowledge the 103 or the</pre>
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 157	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 159
 far as structure, grading, those types of activities, ponds within a certain distance of a navigable waterway, are all part of the Chapter 30. Q Do Chapter 30 authorizations below the ordinary high water mark allow alterations of the bed of the lake or stream material? A Yes, on a very limited basis. The example I'll use, again, is a culvert example. In situations where a new culvert needs to be placed, we like to see those culverts buried in the substrate in the bottom of the stream, for example and so the permit will authorize disturbance of that bed material so that the culvert can be buried in the sediment. So there is a certain amount of very limited disturbance that is allowed as part of the installation of a structure through Chapter 30. And do any Chapter 30 activities allow placement of a deposit or fill below the ordinary high water mark? A Things like riprap are permitted. A boat ramp structure would be permitted under Chapter 30 below the ordinary high water mark. What about organic or granular fill material? A In some cases yeah, again, a very small amount may be permitted with the installation of, say, a pier structure or some other structure to improve the 	1NR103 process and the wetland regulations so it will2evaluate the impact or evaluate the functional values3impact to that wetland but, again, it's authorized4under a Chapter 30 permit.5QOkay. So I'm just stepping through this6step-by-step. It may be more detailed than some7need, but just for the record, what about a proposal8to fill to place fill in a wetland for a driveway9or roadway?10A11that situation it would require a water quality12certification. If the practical alternatives13practicable one, we could authorize the fill14placement under a water quality cert.15Q16were a navigable wetland, meaning an ordinary high17water mark had been established in the wetland?18A Again, if it's a placement of fill, it would be a19water quality cert. If it's a placement of a20could he repeat that answer?21Permit.22MR. GLEISNER: I'm sorry, I apologize,23could he repeat that answer?24A25regulate it under a water quality cert, if it's a
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 158	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 160

CUF	ET 41	
1 2 3 4 5 6 0 7 8 9 A 10 0 11 12 13 A 14 0 15 16 A 17 18 19 20 21 0 22 23 24 A	<pre>placement of a structure, like a culvert, a bridge, piling, or something like that, it would be authorized under Chapter 30. MR. GLEISNER: Thank you. MS. CORRELL: Let me rephrase the question. So keeping the activity the same as the previous question, we'd regulate how would we regulate the fill placed in a navigable wetland? We'd regulate it under a water quality cert. And is that the consistent practice DNR has followed based on your years of experience working for the DNR? Yes, it is. And was that the consistency that you referred to in terms of your role as an Aquatic Habitat Coordinator? Yes. Consistent implementation of the codes or statutes was part of my responsibilities, both in training staff, in liaison with central office, and also with dealing with customers permit applications permit applicants. In your opinion, is a navigable wetland that's proposed to be filled in some parts an uncommon feature in the landscape based on your experience? We do get requests for wetland fill projects. Fortunately, I think the public is sensitive to the</pre>	1rebuttal when this is their response to our petition is inappropriate and this is a surprise.4MR. GLEISNER: It is a big surprise. MS. CORRELL: Dr. O'Reilly presented information regarding the jurisdiction of the DNR.8MS. KAVANAUGH: Saying that we had it under both Chapter 30 MS. CORRELL: I was waiting to see what evidence you would present. ALJ BOLDT: It's a I think it's a responsive responsive evidence to Dr. O'Reilly. Of what I'm understanding, I think technically you're right, Counsel. It's not rebuttal, but it is responsive to and, in fairness, Counsel right from the start has been making these jurisdictional objections. We allowed your expert to pursue your theory of the jurisdictional issues in light of, you know, interpreting the pleadings and so forth. I think it's only fair that Counsel be allowed to do it. Now, you can take a minute to look at it, talk it over. We'll take a break if you want to look it over with Dr. O'Reilly.
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 161	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 163
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q 8 9 A 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<pre>fact that we're trying to protect wetlands. Their functional values are important for all of us. And so I think the requests that we receive are in recognition of not only authority, but the value of the wetlands so it's not necessarily uncommon, but the extent is not very large. Are you familiar with the project site that's at issue in this contested case hearing? Yes, I am. MS. CORRELL: And if I could pass out a figure. I'd like to enter it into evidence as rebuttal information on the jurisdictional continuing jurisdictional, I guess MR. GLEISNER: Is this an exhibit we've seen before, Counsel? MS. CORRELL: No. I can provide it to you right now. I was waiting for jurisdictional issues from you guys on rebuttal testimony, but you never had any. MR. HARBECK: Well, Your Honor, in terms of their characterizing this as rebuttal, typically in a case you have the petitioner and then you have the respondent and the petitioner offers rebuttal evidence so to characterize this as</pre>	1MR. GLEISNER: Yeah, let's take a break.2MR. HARBECK: Your Honor, the only other3thing I'd like to say is when Mr.4O'Reilly when Dr. O'Reilly addressed this, he5did this because of the hue and cry that the DNR6did at the beginning of this hearing, of which7was a complete surprise to us that they were8going to take the position they did. We also9deposed Mr. Wakeman and asked him everything10that he was going to testify about. All of this11is brand new so we12MS. KAVANAUGH: We didn't know he was going13to testify14MR. HARBECK: Let me finish.15MS. CORRELL: We didn't know he was going16to bring everything into this hearing.17MR. HARBECK: Can you please let me finish?18I mean I'll let you speak. Let us get our19sentence in so the court reporter can get it20down. Again, it's a matter of common courtesy.21We deposed Mr. Wakeman. We asked him everything22that he was going to testify about and we got23that. All of this is brand new. If they had24issues about the jurisdiction they could have25raised them before. So I want to put on the
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

_	SHEET 42	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	record we object to the testimony he's giving now on this because it's brand new and a surprise and that's why we deposed him, so we wouldn't have, I'll say, a trial by ambush on this jurisdictional issue which they raised for the first time at the beginning of the hearing before we had said a word. So that's our objection, Your Honor. We think this is inappropriate. It's not fair to have him come up and all of a sudden start giving opinions that we've never heard before when we asked him everything that he was going to testify about in his deposition and we can put that on the record if we need to. MS. KAVANAUGH: And I was at the deposition and we it is what we thought he was going to testify about because I thought that what we had granted jurisdiction on was just this issue of navigable waters, as I stated it. You guys have expanded it. You're saying that you've stipulated that it's not wetlands, but you're getting around the same fill that is a wetland fill being you're saying that it isn't wetland because it's fill placed under Chapter 30 under 30.12. So if you raise it,	1gray areas and, as a result, I think it's2appropriate to allow that deposition, a second3deposition, of Mr. Wakeman on those4discreet or that single discreet issue. And,5as I understand it, the parties are going to try6to do that October 4th, 5th or 12th, or7something8MR. GLEISNER: 14th, Judge.9ALJ BOLDT: 14th? Okay. And then I will10send out an email indicating dates close to11there where we can have a conference call.12We're going to make every effort to get the13hearing back on within 30 days of today's date,14which I think is the 21st. So everybody try to15clear a day. It's probably going to take us a16full day, I would think, if we have a rebuttal17case as well and we won't the parties all18agree19MS. CORRELL: And I think we should be20entitled to at least a day since each party21ALJ BOLDT: Would you like a22day-and-a-half? I mean we can look at two-day23blocks.24MS. CORRELL: I would like to reserve at25least as much time.
	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 165	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 167
1	we have the right to respond to it.	1 ALJ BOLDT: Okay.
2 3	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the	2 MS. CORRELL: We have two petitions to 3 respond to.
2 3 4 5	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. (Recess taken)	2 MS. CORRELL: We have two petitions to 3 respond to. 4 ALJ BOLDT: Okay. No, I think that's 5 appropriate.
2 3 4 5 6 7	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. (Recess taken) ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the record. I'm trying to strike a balance here to	2MS. CORRELL:We have two petitions to3respond to.4ALJ BOLDT:Okay.5appropriate.6MS. CORRELL:I don't know that we'll need7it, but I think we need to reserve that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. (Recess taken) ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the record. I'm trying to strike a balance here to give everybody their due process give everybody their fair right to hearing. And	2 MS. CORRELL: We have two petitions to 3 respond to. 4 ALJ BOLDT: Okay. No, I think that's 5 appropriate. 6 MS. CORRELL: I don't know that we'll need 7 it, but I think we need to reserve that time. 8 ALJ BOLDT: I think that's a good idea. 9 MS. CORRELL: And we may also have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. (Recess taken) ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the record. I'm trying to strike a balance here to give everybody their due process give everybody their fair right to hearing. And understanding that we've been working really hard these last three days, I am going to, as I	2 MS. CORRELL: We have two petitions to 3 respond to. 4 ALJ BOLDT: Okay. No, I think that's 5 appropriate. 6 MS. CORRELL: I don't know that we'll need 7 it, but I think we need to reserve that time. 8 ALJ BOLDT: I think that's a good idea. 9 MS. CORRELL: And we may also have 10 rebuttal 11 MR. GLEISNER: And I think we should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. (Recess taken) ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the record. I'm trying to strike a balance here to give everybody their due process give everybody their fair right to hearing. And understanding that we've been working really hard these last three days, I am going to, as I indicated off the record, allow a very limited, very discreet, deposition. You're not going to	2MS. CORRELL:We have two petitions to3respond to.4ALJ BOLDT:Okay.No, I think that's5appropriate.6MS. CORRELL:I don't know that we'll need7it, but I think we need to reserve that time.8ALJ BOLDT:I think that's a good idea.9MS. CORRELL:And we may also have10rebuttal11MR. GLEISNER:And I think we should12reserve a half day for rebuttal.13ALJ BOLDT:I agree and Mr. Putland said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. (Recess taken) ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the record. I'm trying to strike a balance here to give everybody their due process give everybody their fair right to hearing. And understanding that we've been working really hard these last three days, I am going to, as I indicated off the record, allow a very limited, very discreet, deposition. You're not going to re-plow any old fields, you're going to go on this new information that's coming in about the	2MS. CORRELL:We have two petitions to3respond to.4ALJ BOLDT:Okay.No, I think that's5appropriate.6MS. CORRELL:I don't know that we'll need7it, but I think we need to reserve that time.8ALJ BOLDT:I think that's a good idea.9MS. CORRELL:And we may also have10rebuttal11MR. GLEISNER:And I think we should12reserve a half day for rebuttal.13ALJ BOLDT:I agree and Mr. Putland said14the same thing at lunchtime.So, yeah, two days15where we can get on and we'll get this thing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. (Recess taken) ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the record. I'm trying to strike a balance here to give everybody their due process give everybody their fair right to hearing. And understanding that we've been working really hard these last three days, I am going to, as I indicated off the record, allow a very limited, very discreet, deposition. You're not going to re-plow any old fields, you're going to go on	2MS. CORRELL:We have two petitions to3respond to.4ALJ BOLDT:Okay.No, I think that's5appropriate.6MS. CORRELL:I don't know that we'll need7it, but I think we need to reserve that time.8ALJ BOLDT:I think that's a good idea.9MS. CORRELL:And we may also have10rebuttal11MR. GLEISNER:And I think we should12reserve a half day for rebuttal.13ALJ BOLDT:I agree and Mr. Putland said14the same thing at lunchtime.So, yeah, two days15where we can get on and we'll get this thing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. (Recess taken) ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the record. I'm trying to strike a balance here to give everybody their due process give everybody their fair right to hearing. And understanding that we've been working really hard these last three days, I am going to, as I indicated off the record, allow a very limited, very discreet, deposition. You're not going to re-plow any old fields, you're going to go on this new information that's coming in about the interface essentially between Chapter 30 and NR103 and the jurisdictional issues that have	2MS. CORRELL:We have two petitions to3respond to.4ALJ BOLDT:Okay.No, I think that's5appropriate.6MS. CORRELL:I don't know that we'll need7it, but I think we need to reserve that time.8ALJ BOLDT:I think that's a good idea.9MS. CORRELL:And we may also have10rebuttal11MR. GLEISNER:And I think we should12reserve a half day for rebuttal.13ALJ BOLDT:I agree and Mr. Putland said14the same thing at lunchtime.So, yeah, two days15where we can get on and we'll get this thing16complete and we'll, you know, do our best to try17to balance and accommodate everybody's concerns18and give everybody their due process that19they're entitled to under the Constitution.20MR. GLEISNER:Thank you, Judge, very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. (Recess taken) ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the record. I'm trying to strike a balance here to give everybody their due process give everybody their fair right to hearing. And understanding that we've been working really hard these last three days, I am going to, as I indicated off the record, allow a very limited, very discreet, deposition. You're not going to re-plow any old fields, you're going to go on this new information that's coming in about the interface essentially between Chapter 30 and NR103 and the jurisdictional issues that have come up fairly regularly since the start of the hearing and only new areas in your deposition of	2MS. CORRELL:We have two petitions to3respond to.4ALJ BOLDT: Okay. No, I think that's5appropriate.6MS. CORRELL: I don't know that we'll need7it, but I think we need to reserve that time.8ALJ BOLDT: I think that's a good idea.9MS. CORRELL: And we may also have10rebuttal11MR. GLEISNER: And I think we should12reserve a half day for rebuttal.13ALJ BOLDT: I agree and Mr. Putland said14the same thing at lunchtime. So, yeah, two days15where we can get on and we'll get this thing16complete and we'll, you know, do our best to try17to balance and accommodate everybody's concerns18and give everybody their due process that19they're entitled to under the Constitution.20MR. GLEISNER: Thank you, Judge, very much.21MS. CORRELL: And, Judge, I also would say,22based on the surprise that we've been talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. (Recess taken) ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the record. I'm trying to strike a balance here to give everybody their due process give everybody their fair right to hearing. And understanding that we've been working really hard these last three days, I am going to, as I indicated off the record, allow a very limited, very discreet, deposition. You're not going to re-plow any old fields, you're going to go on this new information that's coming in about the interface essentially between Chapter 30 and NR103 and the jurisdictional issues that have come up fairly regularly since the start of the hearing and only new areas in your deposition of Mr. Wakeman, but I think that's fair. You took the undertook the expense and the effort to do a deposition and there is no one's at fault here in terms of and I don't think anybody's trying to be unfair or anybody's	2MS. CORRELL:We have two petitions to3respond to.4ALJ BOLDT: Okay. No, I think that's5appropriate.6MS. CORRELL:7it, but I think we need to reserve that time.8ALJ BOLDT: I think that's a good idea.9MS. CORRELL: And we may also have10rebuttal11MR. GLEISNER: And I think we should12reserve a half day for rebuttal.13ALJ BOLDT: I agree and Mr. Putland said14the same thing at lunchtime. So, yeah, two days15where we can get on and we'll get this thing16complete and we'll, you know, do our best to try17to balance and accommodate everybody's concerns18and give everybody their due process that19they're entitled to under the Constitution.20MR. GLEISNER: Thank you, Judge, very much.21MS. CORRELL: And, Judge, I also would say,22based on the surprise that we've been talking23about and hardship since the motion in limine,24that we would also reserve our right to call
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. (Recess taken) ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the record. I'm trying to strike a balance here to give everybody their due process give everybody their fair right to hearing. And understanding that we've been working really hard these last three days, I am going to, as I indicated off the record, allow a very limited, very discreet, deposition. You're not going to re-plow any old fields, you're going to go on this new information that's coming in about the interface essentially between Chapter 30 and NR103 and the jurisdictional issues that have come up fairly regularly since the start of the hearing and only new areas in your deposition of Mr. Wakeman, but I think that's fair. You took the undertook the expense and the effort to do a deposition and there is no one's at fault here in terms of and I don't think	2MS. CORRELL:We have two petitions to3respond to.4ALJ BOLDT: Okay. No, I think that's5appropriate.6MS. CORRELL:7it, but I think we need to reserve that time.8ALJ BOLDT:9MS. CORRELL:10rebuttal11MR. GLEISNER:13ALJ BOLDT:14the same thing at lunchtime.15where we can get on and we'll get this thing16complete and we'll, you know, do our best to try17to balance and accommodate everybody's concerns18and give everybody their due process that19they're entitled to under the Constitution.20MR. GLEISNER:21MS. CORRELL:22based on the surprise that we've been talking23about and hardship since the motion in limine,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the record. I'm trying to strike a balance here to give everybody their due process give everybody their fair right to hearing. And understanding that we've been working really hard these last three days, I am going to, as I indicated off the record, allow a very limited, very discreet, deposition. You're not going to re-plow any old fields, you're going to go on this new information that's coming in about the interface essentially between Chapter 30 and NR103 and the jurisdictional issues that have come up fairly regularly since the start of the hearing and only new areas in your deposition of Mr. Wakeman, but I think that's fair. You took the undertook the expense and the effort to do a deposition and there is no one's at fault here in terms of and I don't think anybody's trying to be unfair or anybody's hiding anything, I think it's just a series of	MS. CORRELL: We have two petitions to respond to. ALJ BOLDT: Okay. No, I think that's appropriate. MS. CORRELL: I don't know that we'll need it, but I think we need to reserve that time. ALJ BOLDT: I think that's a good idea. MS. CORRELL: And we may also have rebuttal MR. GLEISNER: And I think we should reserve a half day for rebuttal. ALJ BOLDT: I agree and Mr. Putland said the same thing at lunchtime. So, yeah, two days where we can get on and we'll get this thing complete and we'll, you know, do our best to try to balance and accommodate everybody's concerns and give everybody their due process that they're entitled to under the Constitution. MR. GLEISNER: Thank you, Judge, very much. MS. CORRELL: And, Judge, I also would say, based on the surprise that we've been talking about and hardship since the motion in limine, that we would also reserve our right to call rebuttal witnesses, including a witness that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ALJ BOLDT: No, well, in terms of your concern about deposition let's go off the record. (Recess taken) ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the record. I'm trying to strike a balance here to give everybody their due process give everybody their fair right to hearing. And understanding that we've been working really hard these last three days, I am going to, as I indicated off the record, allow a very limited, very discreet, deposition. You're not going to re-plow any old fields, you're going to go on this new information that's coming in about the interface essentially between Chapter 30 and NR103 and the jurisdictional issues that have come up fairly regularly since the start of the hearing and only new areas in your deposition of Mr. Wakeman, but I think that's fair. You took the undertook the expense and the effort to do a deposition and there is no one's at fault here in terms of and I don't think anybody's trying to be unfair or anybody's	2MS. CORRELL:We have two petitions to3respond to.4ALJ BOLDT: Okay. No, I think that's5appropriate.6MS. CORRELL:7it, but I think we need to reserve that time.8ALJ BOLDT: I think that's a good idea.9MS. CORRELL: And we may also have10rebuttal11MR. GLEISNER: And I think we should12reserve a half day for rebuttal.13ALJ BOLDT: I agree and Mr. Putland said14the same thing at lunchtime. So, yeah, two days15where we can get on and we'll get this thing16complete and we'll, you know, do our best to try17to balance and accommodate everybody's concerns18and give everybody their due process that19they're entitled to under the Constitution.20MR. GLEISNER: Thank you, Judge, very much.21MS. CORRELL: And, Judge, I also would say,22based on the surprise that we've been talking23about and hardship since the motion in limine,24that we would also reserve our right to call

Legal Video Services - 608-279-5295

SHEET 43	
1didn't2ALJ BOLDT: Surrebuttal.3MR. GLEISNER: They'll be surrebuttal now.4ALJ BOLDT: And we've regularly done5surrebuttal6MS. CORRELL: Rebuttal witnesses.7ALJ BOLDT: in these hearings8unfortunately for years so we've done9surrebuttal.10MS. CORRELL: Yeah, not surrebuttal11necessarily. It could include a witness that we12haven't previously called based on the testimony13that was entered into the record that is14entering into wetlands that we had a stipulation15on.16MR. GLEISNER: Well, that would raise an17interesting question, Judge, and we may as well18address it now. If they're going to name any19new witnesses is that what they're suggesting20to us?21MS. CORRELL: We would like to reserve22ourselves that time, yes.23MR. GLEISNER: Well, Judge, that's going to24throw a bit of a monkey wrench into things.25ALJ BOLDT: A new witness that's not on	1of agreement that works for all, then fine,2we'll proceed on that basis. If they can't,3we'll go on the record and argue it either at4the hearing, if somebody tries to bring in a new5witness, or at the telephone conference call.6And that's a likely event if there's still a7dispute between Counsel on that date that we8have the telephone conference call. Does9anybody want to be heard further?10MR. GLEISNER: Judge, just a very short11statement. I think it would be helpful to all12the parties if you issued a brief order in13conformity with what you just said so that we're14clear on that.15ALJ BOLDT: An order?16MR. GLEISNER: Well, a scheduling note?17ALJ BOLDT: Well, I would prefer why don't18you all tell me I will solicit dates for the19telephone conference call and when you reply or,20you know, when we pick the final date, why don't21you tell me if I need to be prepared to go on22the record during the phone conference call. If23it's just scheduling, then we won't and I'll24just have a digital recorder and we'll record25the telephone motion hearing. I don't think
LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 169	LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 171
1 your witness 2 MS. CORRELL: That we may call 3 MS. CORRELL: Our rebuttal witness. 4 MS. CORRELL: based on the testimony 5 that was presented as to wetland impacts. 6 MR. HARBECK: Your Honor 7 ALJ BOLDT: Now, that would be a new I 8 don't think we've ever had a new surrebuttal 9 witness. I mean that is an area and there is 10 case law 11 MS. CORRELL: But there was a stipulation 12 and they ceded bringing wetlands into the 13 testimony. 14 ALJ BOLDT: All right. So let's go back 15 off the record. 16 MS. CORRELL: Wetland fill. 17 ALJ BOLDT: Let's go back off the record. 18 This is something new. We didn't talk about 19 this off the record. 20 (Recess taken) 21 ALJ BOLDT: Okay. We're back on the 22 record. Folks are going to talk about the 23 prospect of the DNR adding a surrebuttal 24 witness. Everyone has left open how they're	<pre>1 there's any order. I'm not really ordering 2 anything so that seems to be a good way to deal 3 with that problem. Anybody else want to be 4 heard further, otherwise we're going to adjourn, 5 and we'll look forward to completing the hearing 6 at our earliest convenience. All right. Thank 7 you all very much. 8 (Hearing Adjourned) LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 172</pre>

SHEET 44 . 1 STATE OF WISCONSIN 2 DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS 3 4 5 6 7 In the Matter of Manual Code 3565.1 for the Approval Authorizing the Department of Natural Resources to Grade 8 More Than 10,000 Square Feet on the Bank of North Lake, Install a Boat Ramp Structure and Two Outpost Structures on the Bed of North Lake, Install Four Culvert Crossings 9 10 Over Wetlands, Fill Up To .16 Acres of Wetlands for Construction of a Public Boat Launch on North Lake and 11 12 13 Adjacent Property Located in the Town of 14 15 Merton, Waukesha County 16 17 Case Nos. IP-SE-2009-68-05745 through 05750 18 19 20 21 22 I, KRISTINE K. McCARVILLE, do hereby certify that as 23 24 25 the duly-appointed transcriptionist, I transcribed the proceedings held in the above-entitled matter on the 21st day of September, 2011, and that the attached is a true 26 27 28 29 30 31 and correct transcription of the proceedings so taken. Dated this 28th day of December, 2011. Kristine K. McCarville Notary Public, State of Wisconsin My Commission Expires: 11/22/15 32 33 LEGAL VIDEO SERVICES (608) 279-5295 Prairie du Sac WI 173