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TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

ROBERT S. WAKEMAN, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q Hi, Mr. Wakeman. Good to see you again.
A Thank you. Good to see you.
Q The primary reason we're here, in fact, the only reason we're here is to discuss and understand what was meant by your introduction at the last hearing before Judge Boldt that you are a jurisdictional witness. Can you give us some insight into what that means?

A Part of my responsibilities as the aquatic habitat coordinator was to help staff determine correct jurisdiction on permit issues.

Q And what areas of permitting was your responsibility? Let me clarify. There's permitting for wetlands. There's permitting, as I understand it, for navigable waters. There's permitting, for what $I$ understand, what you put in a lake bed, et cetera. So what areas were you responsible for?

A All of those.

Q I think that would cover everything?
A Okay.
Q So let me just ask you, have there been permits issued for the wetlands on the Kraus site?

A Have there been permits issued for wetlands on the Kraus site?

Q For putting the fill into the wetlands or otherwise impacting the wetlands? Have there been any permits issued?

A I'm not aware of any.
$Q$ And would the DNR be responsible as an owner of that property to get permits before they fill a wetland?

A Yes. Or authorization.
Q Authorization?
A Manual code approval, yes.
Q So are we to understand that the manual code approval is the equivalent of a permit for the DNR?

A It's the vehicle for which the department authorizes activities on its own properties, yes.

Q And with regard to navigable waters, have there been any permits acquired with regard to the Kraus site?

A Not -- well, through the department? Through the
department or through Mr. Kraus? I guess I'm a little confused by are we talking Mr. Kraus, or are we talking the department?

Sure. You are intending -- I don't want to put words in your mouth, but $I$ understand you are intending to a certain extent to fill navigable waters on the Kraus site, is that correct? MS. CORRELI: Objection. Clarification. BY MR. GLEISNER:

Q The DNR uses. MS. CORRELL: The DNR?

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q The DNR. I'm sorry. I apologize.
A We are proposing to place fill for culverts, for, I believe, the boat launch -- I'm not -- I hesitate, because I'm not a hundred percent familiar with the most recent plans that the department has proposed for the site.

So other than those two recent activities, $I$ 'm not sure if additional fill is being placed or is being authorized at that location.

Q And is there a permit or has a permit been issued or has the DNR acquired a permit for filling lake bed?
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A Well, there's the manual code approval, which has authorized for the activities required at that site. And, again, $I$ don't remember enough about that manual code approval to know specifically what it authorized. It's been awhile since I've seen that.

Understood. I'm going to put before you what has previously been marked in a deposition of Mr. Hudak as RRNA Exhibit 1, and at the hearing before Judge Boldt as Exhibit 1-001. And do you recognize that, sir?

A Yes. This is the manual code approval dated November 4, 2010, by Andrew Hudak to Lynnette Check.

Q And is that the manual code approval which covers the Kraus site?

A I believe it is.
Q Take a moment and review that, because I would like to ask you some questions about that.
(Pause in testimony.)
THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q You've seen this before, have you not?
A Yes.
Q Now, could you help us out here? Is there any
other manual code approval besides the one that has been marked as Exhibit 1 for the Kraus site? Sorry.

A Not to my knowledge.
Q So could you tell me where in that manual code approval there is a permit or the equivalent of a permit for filling wetlands?

A The specific passage you're looking for?
I am. I am looking for something that would be the equivalent of filling a permit for wetlands.

A And without reading it word for word, I'm not sure I can do that. I know there was testimony at the hearing asking Mr. Hudak, I believe, the same question.

And, I believe, he underlined the word "wetland." There's a finding of fact saying -No. 5 which says the department proposes to fill up 0.16 acres of wetlands.

Q And besides paragraph 5 -- strike that.
Is that tantamount to a permit?
A Well, the manual code is technically the permit the department issues itself.

Thank you. Now, this is all foundational. I'm going to get back to the jurisdictional. But I do want to understand some things.

Is there, in that Exhibit 1, a permit for filling or impacting navigable waters on the Kraus site by the DNR?

A The findings of fact No. 1, it identifies one grading boat ramp structure and two outfall structures on the bed of North Lake, to install four culverts, crossing the wetlands. So it identifies those activities as part of this manual code approval.

Q Thank you. Now, where in Exhibit 1 is there any reference to a permit for filling or impacting lake bed?

A Again, in the findings of fact No. 1, it identifies, install the boat ramp structure and two outfall structures on the bed of North Lake.

Q So that I can understand -- you are referencing paragraph 1, it's actually located on Exhibit 1-002 of the exhibit book that was submitted to the judge, Judge Boldt. So I can understand you, the fill for the lake bed is limited to the bank of North Lake?

A No. The grading -- in the findings of fact No. 1 --

Q Uh-huh.
A -- it identifies the grading more than 10,000
square feet on the bank of North Lake to install a boat ramp structure and two outfall structures on the bed of North Lake.

And does it reference any other area than the bank of North Lake? I'm having trouble seeing where it references anything other than the bank of North Lake in that paragraph. And maybe I'm just not reading it well enough.

All right. If you'll follow --
Sure.
-- the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources has submitted materials under the internal approval process manual code 3565.1 to obtain approval to grade more than 10,000 square feet on the bank of North Lake; to install a boat ramp structure and two outfall structures on the bed of North Lake; to install four culvert crossings over the wetlands and to fill up to .16 acres of wetland for the construction of a boat -- public boat launch on North Lake.

Q So if we take that first sentence to grade more than 10,000 square feet on the bed of North Lake, and we consider that a separate issue, where is the next phrase that says to install a boat ramp structure and two outfall structures on the bed of

North Lake? Where would those be located?

I mean, in other words, the boat ramp
structure and the two outfall structures, where would they be located?

A They would be on the bed of North Lake.
Q Actually adjacent to the bank?
A Yes.
Q Am I correct -- and you certainly can look at the rest of the manual code besides this paragraph. Am I correct that there is nothing in the manual code that talks about filling lake bed inland from the bank of North Lake? Maybe I should rephrase that.

A Please do.
Q To the west, to the west of the bank of North Lake, is there anything in the manual code that authorizes the filling of lake bed to the west of North Lake?

A No.
Q I just wanted to get those preliminary matters out of the way. I would like to go now to the various exhibits that you've produced at the beginning of your testimony.

MR. GLEISNER: Counsel, I'm going to
give you a blowup.

MS. CORRELL: Sure.

MR. GLEISNER: I'll mark this as our own exhibit number.

MS. CORRELL: That's fine. We didn't need it as an exhibit, but if you want it as one, that would be fine, just to help people. That's all it's for.

MR. GLEISNER: Yes.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q I'm now going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 40-001. And I wonder if you could tell us what that means, what that's about.

A This is a schematic that I produced in anticipation of the hearing to help the administrative law judge understand some of the jurisdictional issues surrounding specific activities that were pertinent to the particular case.

This one deals with an installation of a culvert in a stream and the authorization of that culvert through a Chapter 30 permit, even if there is a wetland fringe of that stream, staff will treat it as a Chapter 30 permit and not require a water quality cert for the wetland impact.
$Q$ And so if I understand you correctly then, the
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permit that would be sought in connection with 40-001 would be a 30.12 permit, is that correct?

A It would be a culvert permit, which, I believe, is a 30.12 .

Q And just so I understand your testimony, your point with this exhibit is that you don't need to worry about the wetlands, even if they're next to the culvert; you're only going to be concerned when you're installing a culvert with 30.12? Am I understanding that?

A The Chapter 30 permit would take into consideration the wetland fringe but would not require a separate water quality certificate. So with regard to the culvert, it would be 30.12 that would control?

A Yes.
Q I'm now going to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 40-002 and ask you if you can tell me the significance of that document.

A Again, this is a document that I prepared in anticipation of the hearing to help the administrative law judge understand the jurisdictional issues associated with some of the activities proposed at the site. It's a schematic showing a driveway
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cutting across the wetland. In this particular instance, the authorization would come under a water quality cert for the wetland fill to be placed in the wetland.

Q So let me try and understand this. In other words, you're saying if there is a roadway that is built over a wetland, the only thing that is of concern to the DNR is a water quality cert?

A If it's a wetland, we have the authorization -the authorization for wetlands is to place enough fill. So in this particular instance, since it's not a navigable wetland, it's a wetland, we would authorize it under a water quality cert. That begs the question. If it was a navigable wetland, would it be different?

A It would still be a water quality cert.
Q And what if the driveway that you have put on the schematic in Exhibit 40-002 involved the placing of a structure in that wetland? Let's not worry about the definition of structure right now, but, you know, like a bridge, for example, if there was a structure placed in the wetland?

A The water quality certificate would be the driving authorization.

Q And your testimony is that even if there were
navigable water in the wetland portrayed in Exhibit 40-002, there would be no need for a 30.12 permit, is that correct?

A If the activity is the placement of fill, we regulate that under a water quality cert.

And if it were a structure that was placed in the wetland, would it still be a water quality cert?

A If it's a structure in a nonnavigable wetland or a wetland, it would be a water quality cert.

Q And if it was a navigable wetland?
A A water quality cert.
Q Never a 30.12?

A Well, if you have culvert, then you would issue that under Chapter 30, if it's a navigable wetland.

Q So just so we're clear, whenever there is a road or a structure placed in a wetland, whether it's navigable or nonnavigable --

MS. CORRELL: Objection. Foundation. You need to ask one question at a time, because I think you're getting confused.

MR. GLEISNER: I don't want to get
confused.

BY MR. GLEISNER:

Q With regard to a road placed in a wetland,
navigable or otherwise, water quality cert and no 30.12?

A Correct.
Q With regard to a structure placed in a wetland, navigable or otherwise, water quality cert; no 30.12?

A If it's a navigable wetland, we would issue it under a Chapter 30.

Q So let me be clear on this then. If the structure -- strike that.

If the structure is to be placed in a navigable wetland, you would issue a 30.12 permit?

A Correct. The culverts policed in a navigable wetland will be a Chapter 30 , because they're not considered fill. They're considered structure.

But a roadway placed in a navigable wetland or a nonnavigable wetland would still be -- would be a water quality cert.

Q How about the parking lot?
A That would be considered fill. That would be water quality cert.

Q I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 40-003 and ask you to explain the significance of that, please.

A Again, this is a schematic that $I$ prepared in
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anticipation of the hearing to help the administrative law judge understand the jurisdiction the department would take on different activities. The fill for the driveway would require a water quality cert across the navigable wetland.

The culverts under the driveway would require a Chapter 30 permit. Grading outside of the wetland, which is shown in the brown area on the figure, would require a Chapter 30 for grading. And the, quote/unquote, wetland fill in the isolated wetland would require a water quality cert.

Q Now, let me understand, if I may -- or try to understand what you just said. Grading requires a 30.12 permit?

A I don't think it's 30.12. I forget. Grading is authorized under Chapter 30, but I don't know the --

MS. CORRELL: I can tell you what it it is, if I can tell you.

MR. GLEISNER: Sure.
MS. CORRELL: It's 30.19.
THE WITNESS: 30.19.
MS. CORRELL: Since Bob doesn't work in

Brown \& Jones Reporting, Inc.
414-224-9533
this program anymore.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q So grading would not be a water quality certificate issue?

A Correct.
Q So if there was a substantial amount of roadwork that needed to be done to prepare a roadway and it entailed grading, that would not be a water
quality cert issue, is that correct?
A Where is the work being done?
Q Well, in a wetland.
A Then it would be a water quality cert.
Q Thank you. Now, tell me something. I'm just trying to understand here. Is a water quality cert a permit?

A It's a certification. I believe there's a legal difference between a permit and a certification.

Q And does the department ever require both a water cert and a 30.12 permit? I'm just going to use 30.12 at this point.

A Under what facts -- what situation?
Q Where there's navigable water in a wetland.
A What's being proposed?
Q Let's just say a roadway.
A So we have a roadway going to --

MS. CORRELL: Objection. Asked and answered. I'm not sure what you're asking.

MR. GLEISNER: Uh-huh.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q I just want to understand. You're going to put a roadway in. Let's just try and review this.

You're going to try to put a roadway in. And in order to put the roadway in, in a wetland, you discover that you need to grade quite a bit before you put the roadway in. Would that be a water cert, or would that be a Chapter 30?

A It's a water quality cert.
$Q$ Would it also be a Chapter 30?
A The fill placed in the wetland would be a water quality cert authorization.

Q How about the grading?
A Grading is essentially -- it would be considered a water quality cert. You wouldn't need a grading permit.

MS. CORRELL: Objection again to
foundation. You need to clarify your hypothetical if you're trying to ask a question about a
hypothetical. It's unclear based on this report what you're asking.

BY MR. GLEISNER:

Q Well, let me try and clarify it a little bit. You, obviously, are familiar with Chapter 30 of the Wisconsin statutes?

A I'm beginning to lose my familiarity, but -- I'm not quite as familiar as I used to be. You're familiar with Section 30.12, however?

A Yes.
Q I'm going to show you -- I don't need to mark this, because this is state law. I'm going to show you Section 30.12.

MR. GLEISNER: Here, Counsel.
MS. CORRELL: Sure.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q And I'm just going to ask you, first of all, Section (1) (a) and (b) appear to require permits in two different instances. (A) says that a permit will be required, as I read it, for any material or place any structure upon the bed of any navigable water where no bulkhead line has been established, and (b) says, deposit any material or place any structure on the bed of any navigable water beyond a loftily established bulkhead line. Can you help us out? What's a bulkhead line?

A A bulkhead line is a mechanism in which the state
can authorize, $I$ believe, it's limited to municipalities, the ability to even out their shoreline to minimize the amount of complexity in the curvature of the shoreline. They're allowed -- if there's a bulkhead line, they're allowed to fill out to that bulkhead line.

And how does that relate to bed and bank, if at all?

A I don't --
MS. CORRELL: Objection.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q Is a bulkhead line associated or not with a bed and bank?

A You're placing fill on the bed of a waterway, and it would ultimately create a new bank.

Q At this point, I'm just going to call up on the screen here Exhibit 2-002. That was the exhibit number given to it at the hearing before Judge Boldt. And previously it was marked in the deposition of Mr. Hudak as Exhibit RRNA 2.

And I'm just going to ask you -- let me just zoom in on it a little bit here and ask you with regard to the northwest corner of the Hanson property -- do you see that there? Right where my mouse is.

A Yes.
Q Was there a bulkhead line ever established?
A Not to my knowledge.
Q Okay. So it would be controlled by 30.12 (1) (a) then, is that correct?

A What would be controlled by it?
Q The area in the northwest corner of the Hanson property on Exhibit 2 would be subject to the provisions of 30.12 (1) (a)?

A I don't know what the activity that you're referring to is.

Okay. That's fair enough. Let me read 30.12 (1) (a). "Unless an individual or a general permit has been issued under this section or authorization has been granted by the legislature, no person may do any of the following; A, deposit any material or place any structure upon the bed of any navigable water where no bulkhead line has been established."

So since there is no bulkhead line
established, assuming that 30.12 applies in any respect, (1) (a) would be what we're talking about, correct?

A I'm sorry. I didn't follow that very well.
There's no bulkhead line?

A Correct.
Q So (a) applies, and (b) does not --
MS. CORRELL: Objection.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q If it applies --
MR. GLEISNER: Counsel, I'm trying to --
MS. CORRELL: Foundation.
MR. GLEISNER: Yeah. I'm just trying to
get him to indicate what subsection of 30.12 (1)
(a) would apply --

MS. CORRELL: Correct. But your
question assumes something.
MR. GLEISNER: Counsel, this is
cross-examination.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q Do you understand the question?
A I believe so.
Q Okay. How would you answer that?
A I would say if we're talking about the placement of fill in that corner, we would authorize the fill under water quality certification and not Chapter 30.

Q Thank you. That's what $I$ was looking for. Now, in 30.12 , show me where it says that this section is subject to NR 103 -- NR 103 is the water cert,
right?
A Yes.
Show me where it shows that in 30.12 .
I don't believe it does.
I'm now going to show you the entirety of NR 103.
Where in NR 103 does it say that Chapter 30 is subject to the provisions of NR 103?

A Not knowing offhand whether or not it does or doesn't, I would have to go through this very slowly to find it, if it does.

Q You agree that NR 103 is an administrative regulation, correct?

A It's a Wisconsin Administrative Code, correct. Okay. Let's stop right here and concentrate for a moment, if we can, on NR 103. Is there any statutory basis that you're aware of for NR 103? MS. CORRELL: Objection. Asks for a legal opinion.

MR. GLEISNER: That's okay. He's a person who is charged with the responsibility of administering both Chapter 30 and NR 103. MS. CORRELL: Correct. But he didn't write the law.

MR. GLEISNER: No. But I think it's a pertinent question, if he can answer it.

BY MR. GLEISNER:

Q Can you answer it?

A If I read NR 103.01, "Purpose (1). The purpose of this chapter is to establish water quality standards for wetlands. Chapters 102, 104 and 105 and the subchapter provide water quality standards for water to the state pursuant to Section 381.15
(2) (b) stats."

Q And where does it -- where does it state in NR 103 that a permit is to be -- or a permit can be issued? Where does it authorize a permit under NR 103?

A Okay. I'm confused by your question.
Q Okay. I apologize. You have talked about water quality cert. And I have the understanding from your testimony that you're referring to some kind of authorization or permit in connection with that under a water quality cert, am I correct on that?

A Yes.
Q Where does NR 103 provide authority for a permit or the issuing of a permit?
(Pause in testimony.)
THE WITNESS: I believe NR 103.06, the applicability.

BY MR. GLEISNER:
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Q Yes.
A It identifies the activities that are requirements to the chapter.

Q And does that Section 103.06 authorize the issuing of a permit under and pursuant to NR 103?

A There are a number of state statutes that it points to. And, honestly, I've forgotten what those statutes are. So without -- it may be pointing to the statute that then authorizes the issuance of the water quality cert. I'm just not familiar enough with it.
$Q$ Let's go back for a moment, if we could, to -thank you, Mr. Wakeman.

A Uh-huh.
Q Let's go back to Section 30.12. What is the basis in DNR regulations or in documents issued by the department that 30.12 (1) (a) does not apply in the context of a wetland?

A The placement of fill --
MS. CORRELL: You know that I still have a continuing objection for a legal opinion?

MR. GLEISNER: I appreciate that, Counsel.

MS. CORRELL: Because you're asking a question about overall DNR implementation.
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MR. GLEISNER: Well, here's the problem, Counsel. You're representing to Judge Boldt that this is a jurisdictional witness. He, obviously, has a good deal of knowledge about Chapter 30 and NR 103, or he wouldn't be here --

MS. CORRELL: Correct.
MR. GLEISNER: -- and you wouldn't have presented him as such. So I'm not assuming that he is going to give us a legal opinion, but I do want his understanding of what the DNR regulations provide and the documents provide.

MS. CORRELL: That's fine. I'm stating my objection for the record.

MR. GLEISNER: Understood.
THE WITNESS: We have always treated wetland fill as water quality cert. If it's related to a structure like the culvert example in figure 1 --

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q Which would be Exhibit 40-001 --
A Which is your -- yeah.
Q Uh-huh.
A In that situation, we have treated the de minimis wetland fill as still being authorized under a Chapter 30.

Q Uh-huh.
A So if it's related to a structure or if it's rip rap, that's still considered a structure and authorized under Chapter 30 , whereas a wetland fill we authorize under a water quality certificate.

Q You have never authorized wetland fill both under a Chapter 30 and NR 103?

A Not to my knowledge.
Q Would you be surprised if I told you that the agency has?

A There may be instances of inconsistency throughout the state, but I think there has been a good faith effort to minimize those inconsistencies.

Q With regard to fill in a wetland, what statutory basis is there for you treating that as a water quality cert issue?

MR. HARBECK: Bill, do you mean fill in
a navigable wetland?
MR. GLEISNER: Yes.
(Cell phone rings.)
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q The question was interrupted. Instead of having her interrupt, what statutory basis is there for treating fill in a navigable wetland as being
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subject just to a water quality cert?
MS. CORRELL: Just for the record, I will object to relevance as well. Because this is 20 years of DNR practice. What you're attacking is the statutes and the rules we've been implementing for 20 years; not as applied in this case. Go forward.

THE WITNESS: When the Army Corps of Engineers takes authority over wetland, we then certify to that authority. So when the Corps says, "This is a wetland," we are bound to issue or deny a water quality cert after their issuance of -- there's a word there, Bill. I'm trying to think of it -- their jurisdiction.

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q Jurisdiction. Notwithstanding your counsel's observation of 20 years' practice, where is the statutory basis for the DNR, not the Army Corps now, the DNR, treating navigable water in a wetland differently than navigable water that's not in the wetland?

A At this point, $I$ don't know.
Q Is there any regulatory basis that you know of?
A Offhand, I don't recall.
Q And you say that there is a practice. Your
counsel referenced a practice. What can you point to in terms of DNR documents that support that practice of treating navigable water in a wetland different than other navigable water?

A We have -- we developed a guidance for staff that looked at the correct jurisdictional and authorization to help minimize inconsistencies as well as to train new automation specialists and also to assist in the administrative tracking of permits or applications.

It was a document that was prepared, I don't remember exactly when, that laid out what authority and how things ought to be tracked depending upon applications.

Q Anything else?
A Not that I know of offhand.
MR. GLEISNER: Could you mark these, please, Madame Reporter.
(Exhibit No. 1 was marked.)
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q I am now going to show you what's been marked as Wakeman Exhibit 10-17-11, Exhibit 1. Is this the document that you were referring to a few moments ago?

A No.

And what is this? Do you recognize this document? This is Chapter 70 out of the Waterway and Wetland Handbook that deals with structures.

I direct your attention to $A$ on page 1 of this document. Do you agree with that as a purpose? And why don't you read it into the record so we have, first of all, a statement of what that is. Exhibit 1 of the Wakeman deposition, Section $A$ on page 1, what does that read, Mr. Wakeman?

A Section A is entitled, "Purpose. The construction or maintenance of structures in navigable waterways can seriously affect the environment and public rights or interests. Throughout the state's history, the degree of regulation and control over structures in navigable waterways has gradually increased in order to preserve and protect the waterways."

Q Could you also do us the favor of reading in paragraph $B$ ?

A Paragraph B is entitled, "Mechanism. Section 30.12, Wisconsin stats requires a person to obtain a permit prior to placing structures in navigable waters. Also see Chapter 80 , bridges, and Chapter 85, culvert/waterway crossings for a discussion of highway structures and private
waterway crossings (these chapters will be combined to address Sections 30.123 entitled, 'Bridge Construction and Maintenance.')"

Q Thank you very much, Mr. Wakeman. Are you familiar with Chapter 70?

A Generally, yes.
Q Where in there does it say that paragraph B doesn't apply to navigable waters in wetlands? Again, without going through page by page and reading this over again, I can't tell you where it says that or if it would say that at all.

Q Would you be surprised if I told you that I did not find anything in here that says a navigable water in a wetland is not subject to

Section 30.12?
A No.
MS. CORRELL: Objection. Foundation. For what activity is it not subject to 30.12? BY MR. GLEISNER:

Q When I started my questioning with regard to Exhibit 1 that $I$ just passed over to you, Mr. Wakeman, you said this was not the document you were talking about. What document were you talking about?

A There's a guidance document that the water
regulation and zoning policy and management team prepared to help prepare new water management specialists and also the -- what we call the intake folks that receive applications and log them into the database on how they should be parcelling out the docket numbers and -- into the database.

MR. GLEISNER: Counsel, can you get us a copy of that?

MS. CORRELL: It's possible. I might or might not be able to. If it's a public document, then I can.

MR. GLEISNER: Could you get back to us this week as to whether it is a public document and --

MS. CORRELL: I am not sure what -yeah. I can get back to you.

MR. GLEISNER: Okay.
MR. HARBECK: Is it a public document,
Mr. Wakeman?
THE WITNESS: It was prepared by department staff. I don't know what renders public -- a document public or not public, but I don't see why it wouldn't be.

MR. GLEISNER: I would state for the
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record if it isn't public but it buttresses your position, $I$ can't for the world of me imagine why you wouldn't produce it.

MS. CORRELL: Oh, absolutely. If you want me to produce exhibits and you'll not object, I'll bring them along.

MR. GLEISNER: I would like to see it before the next hearing, Counsel. That's my point.

MS. CORRELL: We'll see. That is, perhaps, something they could do, perhaps. I don't think I'm required to, since it's been so courteous to work together.

MR. HARBECK: Well, can we just ask -- I mean, assuming it's a public document, in other words, there's no internal confidentiality or security reasons --

MS. CORRELL: Correct. That's what I need to see.

MR. HARBECK: If it's a public document in that sense, will you provide us a copy in that respect?

MS. CORRELL: Yes. You're putting it in an open records request?

MR. HARBECK: That's okay. That's fine.
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MR. GLEISNER: You're going to make us do a public records request?

MS. CORRELI: He just did.
MR. HARBECK: I just did.
MR. GLEISNER: Thank You.
MS. CORRELL: Correct.
MR. GLEISNER: Off the record.
(Discussion held off the record.)

BY MR. GLEISNER:

Q I would like to know, Mr. Wakeman, are there ever any circumstances where both a water quality permit and a Chapter 30 permit are required for navigable waters located in a wetland?

MS. CORRELL: Objection. Foundation.
What activity is taking place?

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q With regard to storm water, fill or placing a structure in navigable waters, those three circumstances, are there -- is there ever a time when a water quality certificate and a Chapter 30 permit are required?

A You mentioned storm water, culvert -- or structure?

Q Structure.
A What was the --

Or fill.
A Or fill. The fill would require water quality cert, and the structure would require a Chapter 30. I'm not sure what you mean by "storm water."

Q Storm water management issues.
A It's too general. Sorry.
Q If you want to place a structure in navigable water, what type of permit do you need?

MR. HARBECK: Bill. This is a navigable water that's not a wetland?

MR. GLEISNER: Right.
THE WITNESS: Chapter 30.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q And if you want to place fill in navigable water in a wetland, what type of permit do you need?

A If you're placing fill in a navigable wetland, it would be a water quality cert.

MR. HARBECK: Bill, I think you messed up your question.

MR. GLEISNER: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. HARBECK: I think what you meant to ask him is: If you're placing fill in a navigable water when it's not a wetland, what kind of permit do you need?

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q And did you get that, Mr. Wakeman?
A Yeah, I understand the question. For example, if you're placing fill such as rip rap in a navigable waterway, you need a Chapter 30 permit.

2 Okay.

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARBECK :
Q What about just soil or substance or materials and it's not a wetland?

A You can apply for a Chapter 30 permit.
Q Will the DNR grant you a permit in those circumstances?

A Not in effect -- not enough facts to grant or deny. I mean, under what circumstances?

Q Okay.
A That's a very complex...
Q Okay. But in that circumstance, if you have a navigable water that's not $a$ wetland and you want to place -- let's say you're constructing a roadway and you want to place fill in order to construct the roadway in the navigable waters. What's the permit requirements for that?

A If you're proposing to fill a navigable waterway? Say a stream?

Right.
A You're filling a stream to place a culvert.
You're filling from both sides, place culverts. That would be a Chapter 30 issued for the culverts. That's how you would authorize that structure.

And what if there are no culverts involved, and you're just simply placing fill into navigable waters?

A You can apply for a Chapter 30 permit. Whether it would be approved or not, can't say.

Are you aware of any circumstances under which DNR has approved under Chapter 30 the placement of fill in a navigable water without a culvert or any other sort of structure?

A I believe there was an instance, and this is a number of years ago, so $I$ will qualify in my answer by saying I might not have all the facts correct.

I believe there was a situation on a lake in Southeast where because of the facts situation, temporary fill was allowed for a temporary access.

And I -- I don't recall the construction activity that it was associated with, but I
believe that that fill was authorized under a Chapter 30 and then required to be removed in a navigable waterway.

Q Okay. So it sounds like typically, and maybe universally, in the absence of this temporary fill situation, DNR would not allow the placement of fill permanently in a navigable water under Chapter 30 or any other process?

A Under Chapter 30, it would be a very difficult -unless it's a rip rap bulkhead line, that type of situation. It would really depend upon the fact situation.

Q Why does DNR turn down those types of applications when someone makes one?

A It's not in the public interest to approve.
Q Okay. Tell me why not.
A It would be not in -- water quality, fish and wildlife habitat, natural scenic beauty, and navigation, those are the things that we evaluate when we receive an application, whether it's for a structure, fill or grading. We evaluate those applications based on the public interests. So when you do your Chapter 30 permit process in connection with fill and a culvert -- are those situations in which DNR will grant permits under

Chapter 30? Again, navigable water, not a wetland.

A We do -- we definitely grant water culvert placements.

And tell me why that is just so I understand why you allow it when there's a culvert versus why you don't allow it, there's no culvert and you're just putting straight fill in and there's not a permanent basis.

A Again, if water reg and zoning staff view it as not detrimental to the public interest, it would be approved. So the culvert would not necessarily be adverse to water quality or fish and wildlife habitat, navigability, those things, then it could be approved.

Q Is that because -- I don't want to put words in your mouth. Is that because culverts allow the passage of water versus the sort of blockage of water that you have without a culvert?

A Possibly.
Q Any reasons besides that when you will have a culvert and you might say yes and not have a culvert and you say no?

A I think what -- I think what you were fringing on is the construction of a dam across a navigable
waterway.
Q Could be. Or maybe not a dam, but, you know, constructing a peninsula that goes out into a waterway and doesn't necessarily block the entire waterway, but you're filling in part of that navigable waterway. That would be another situation?

A Agreed.
Q Okay. Would you -- I assume you take the same approach if you're filling out a piece of the navigable waterway, creating a peninsula or something like that; the typical -- or maybe universal response would be "No, we don't allow that unless you put a culvert in"?

A We would have to look at the public interest and weigh the pros and cons of that type of an application.

Q Okay. Are you aware ever of any of DNR allowing the filling in of a navigable waterway, not completely, maybe partly through a -- you know, adding material or fill into a navigable waterway like a stream and creating, you know, I'll say partial blockage versus a dam that would be a complete blockage?

A Well, there's bulkhead lines, which would fit that
definition or your description. And rip rap, which conceptually fits that description. I can't think of any other situations offhand that have been allowed under a Chapter 30.

MS. CORRELL: Just to clarify, are you asking about under Chapter 30? Correct?

MR. HARBECK: Yeah, I'm dealing with the situation where we don't have wetlands, but we have some sort of navigable water.

MS. CORRELL: You're not talking about any other legislative approval, is that correct? You're talking about Chapter 30?

MR. HARBECK: Under Chapter 30, right. MS. CORRELL: Okay. MR. HARBECK: And if there are, that's a fair -- I mean, that's a fair clarification. BY MR. HARBECK:

Q Is there any other process, legislative approval or permit process that you go through outside of Chapter 30 when looking at the placement of fill in a navigable water that's not wetlands?

A The department? No. The legislature can certainly pass legislation that permits it without our involvement.

Q Right. Are you aware, is there any current
legislation that allows, in general, people to do that?

MS. CORRELL: Sorry.
BY MR. HARBECK:
Q Or are we talking about special legislation?
A I don't know of any proposed legislation currently
that's proposing that type of an approval.
Q Okay. Is there any existing legislation outside of Chapter 30, the Chapter 30 permit issues that we talked about that would allow that sort of activity?

A Not that I know of.
Q Okay. Thank you.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q We're playing tag team.
A Good.
Q Mr. Wakeman, with regard to now navigable waters
in a wetland, why do you treat those differently
than navigable waters that aren't in a wetland?
A Point of clarification, if you will.
Q Sure. Please.
A You can have a navigable stream that's in a wetland without the wetland being navigable.

Q Uh-huh.
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A So I just wanted to clarify if that's the example you're referring to, or if you're referring to a navigable wetland. Do you see the difference? I do. And I guess I'd like to ask you first the general question. Why would there ever be a circumstance that you would treat navigable water in a wetland differently than you would navigable water that's not in a wetland? Is that a fair question?

A I want to make sure that the front end of that question is that we're talking about a navigable wetland.

Q Co-counsel has raised a good point. Why do you treat navigable water in a navigable wetland differently than navigable water that's not associated with a wetland? Is that a fair question?

A We're getting warmer.
Q Okay. Can you answer that one?
A What's the activity? Is it fill?
$Q \quad$ Fill. Let's do fill.
A Because our authorization for fill in a wetland is a water quality cert.

Q Bear with me a moment.
(Pause in testimony.)

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q What about ponds or streams that exist within a wetland?

A Could I ask you to put it in a question? I'm sorry.

Q What is the difference between a navigable wetland and a stream or a pond in a navigable wetland in terms of fill, just in terms of fill?

A Your question was: Why would we treat
jurisdiction of a pond or a stream in a navigable wetland different than outside of a wetland?

Q Correct. That's correct. That is the question.
A It would all depend on ordinary high water mark.
Q And that begs a question. Can a pond or a stream that's navigable exist within a wetland?

A That's exactly why I phrased the ordinary high water mark. If we picture this table as a navigable wetland, we have a stream running in and a stream running out, we have a pond -- say the speaker box is a pond. If that pond ordinary high water mark is above the ordinary high water mark of the stream, then we really don't have a pond.

Q What do we have?
A We have a navigable wetland. If the pond ordinary high water mark is above the ordinary high water
mark of the navigable stream -- excuse me, navigable wetland, then you may have a separate water body. You'd have a pond.

Q What's the authority for that?
A If it's above the ordinary high water mark of the navigable wetland, you would treat the pond, depending upon the activity, Chapter 30 -- or not depending on the activity. If it's not a wetland, it would be a Chapter 30. Anything in the wetland would be water quality cert.

Q I show you what has been marked previously as 1B-016. You've seen this before? You testified to it before at the previous deposition. It says the -- I'm quoting from it now. "The real issue to be considered" -- and I'm going to ask a question after $I$ read it. You read it last time, so I'll do the honors this time.
"The real issue to be considered when evaluating lakes and ponds including wetlands are whether they are navigable in fact. Although" -and by the above criteria, which is on the preceding page, and we may go back and look at.
"Although one may argue that there should be some minimum cutoff size for a body of water to be considered navigable, any body of
water capable of floating a canoe is valuable and should be considered navigable."

To support this conclusion, consider the resource value associated with even a tiny spring pond or isolated wetland pond. The fishery and/or wildlife values in preserving these values is in the public interest, even if they're not readily accessible by the public, closed quote.

You testified at the previous deposition that you agreed with that statement. Now, my question is: Where does it say in that statement that only ponds that are higher or lower than the ordinary high water mark are to be considered?

A It doesn't.
Q If a pond is higher than the ordinary high water mark --

A Okay.
Q If a pond in a wetland --
A Okay.
Q -- is higher than the ordinary high water mark of the wetland --

A Uh-huh.
-- if I understand your testimony, it would then be subject to Chapter 30 as a navigable stream?

A Yes.
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Q And so if a pond in a wetland is lower than the ordinary high water mark in the wetland --

A Navigable wetland.
Q -- navigable wetland, why -- and it's navigable in fact, someone can get in a canoe and paddle for a hundred yards or hundred feet or whatever, why do we treat that differently?

A Because it's -- at that point, it's really not a pond. You're talking about a depression, a wetland.

Q Forgive me. I don't see the distinction. I mean, let me back up and say it this way. Looking at the Gainer and looking at the Menomonee Falls and these other cases that have attempted to define navigability, they don't seem to make a distinction as to water that lies above or below a high water mark.

The definition of navigable in fact is what determines whether water is navigable. So if you're able to put a canoe in a pond, in a wetland and navigate it, why isn't that navigable water? Just stop there. Why isn't that navigable water?

A It --
2 In a pond that is lower than the ordinary high water mark.

A It is navigable.
Q Okay. It is navigable?
A Uh-huh.
Q And you're familiar with the definition in 30.10 (2) that a navigable water can consist of a slough -- a slough?

A I'm not familiar with that one.
Q A slough a bayou and marsh outlet. Yeah, that was the one I was thinking of. Why wouldn't that definition apply to a pond that is lower than the high water mark in a wetland?

MS. CORRELL: Objection. I think you're mischaracterizing his testimony. I don't think he said it wouldn't apply.

MR. GLEISNER: Okay.
MS. CORRELL: Can you rephrase your
question?
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q No. If that's the case then --
MR. GLEISNER: Thank you, Counsel, Thank you for answering for him.

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q If that's the case, why wouldn't 30.12 apply to that pond?

A If that situation, it's in a navigable wetland.

So if you're placing fill in that area, we would regulate it under a water quality cert.

Q And what's your authority for that?
A NR 103.
Q So you're saying NR 103 trumps Section 30.12?
A That's not what I'm saying.
MS. CORRELL: Again, asking for a legal
opinion.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q Can 30.12 apply as well as a water cert?
A Under what fact situation?
Q Under the fact situation I just gave you, a pond lower than the ordinary high water mark located within a wetland that one is trying to fill.

A Is the wetland navigable?
Q In that area it might be navigable?
A In the area of the pond?
Q Yes.
A Okay. If it is in a wetland, our authority is water quality cert. If the Corps were to take jurisdiction on the placement of fill in that wetland, our authority is the water quality cert. Q And if the Corps declines -- strike that.

The Corps did decline to take
jurisdiction here, correct?
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MS. CORRELL: Objection. A, that's incorrect, and, B --

THE WITNESS: I don't believe so.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q Did the Corps take jurisdiction?
A Yes.
Q It did take jurisdiction --
A Yes.
Q -- over the entire of the Kraus site?
A It took jurisdiction over the wetlands on the Kraus site, to my knowledge.

Q It issued a permit for you to go ahead on July 30 of 2010, but it didn't take jurisdiction in the sense that it precluded you from acting; it authorized you to act, correct? It passed jurisdiction to you through this permit?

A I haven't seen the Corps authorization, so I don't know what it says. So to go beyond -- I guess I don't know what happened after the Corps gave its -- I can't even think of what they give. I'll call it a permit.

Q Okay.
A I don't know what happened after the Corps issued their permit for the wetland work.

Q Okay. Fair enough. Getting back to our pond.

Below the ordinary high water mark, that is going to be filled or that one would like to fill, why wouldn't you require, besides a water search, a 30.12 water permit?

A We authorized the fill through a water quality cert. We do not authorize it through a Chapter 30.

Q Because Chapter 30 does not apply?
A Because our water quality cert would authorize the fill in a wetland, that that pond would be deemed still a wetland, even though it's navigable.

Q And your authority for that?
A NR 103.
Q No other statute, just NR 103?
A That's the administrative rule in which we apply whatever the state statutes are that give us the authority.

MS. CORRELL: Continuing objection as to legal conclusion. I can certainly provide you the authority if you'd actually like to know what the answer to this is.

MR. GLEISNER: Your continuing objection
is noted; however, this gentleman is going to testify as to a jurisdictional issue.

MS. CORRELL: Correct.
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MR. GLEISNER: So we would hope that he would be able to give us some guidance.

MR. HARBECK: Do you want to take five minutes?

MR. GLEISNER: Yeah, I think we should take five minutes.
(A brief recess is taken.)
MR. GLEISNER: Back on the record.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q Now, I have a few more questions. Not too many. But I'd like to go back to Exhibit 1-002, which was marked thus at the hearing before Judge Boldt. And I'd like to reference your attention to the findings of fact, again, Mr. Wakeman, and especially paragraph 1 and 5.

Let me just understand here. The only place a lake bed permit was issued for -- or the only place that the lake bed is going to be affected is right adjacent to North Lake, is that correct?

A That's my understanding from the findings of fact here.

Q Now, there were also culvert permits issued? Is this, in effect, a culvert permit as well?

A This authorized grading on the bank, installation
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of boat ramp, two outfall structures, four culverts and wetland fill.

Q Do you know where the culverts are located?
A I'm guessing they're the four culverts under the driveway, east-west part of the road going into the launch site. I'm going to direct your attention up here to what was marked at the hearing as Exhibit 2-002 and RRNA Exhibit 2 before that at Mr. Hudak's deposition.

Do you recall that counsel entered into a stipulation concerning the two areas circled in green, to the south a large green circle, and to the north a smaller green circle? Do you remember that, Mr. Wakeman?

A About the stipulation?
$Q \quad$ Yes.
A That it's navigable?
Q Actually, I think the stipulation is that it's navigable wetlands?

MS. CORRELL: I think that's the best of my recollection is it all right -MR. HARBECK: It's navigable and it's wetland.

MS. CORRELL: Yes, I recall that now.
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THE WITNESS: That's fine.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q On Exhibit 2-002, were there permits issued for the culverts under the entirety of the roadway from Reddelien Road to the -- Reddelien Road being to the very far south --

A Could you point to the screen for me?
Q I'd be happy to do that. To the very far south of Exhibit 2-002 running up to the beginning -running north and then northeast to the beginning of the proposed parking lot circled in orange, were there permits issued for culverts under all of that roadway?

A The manual code just identifies four culverts crossing over the wetlands. So I believe those culverts are limited to the east-west section that separate the north from the south navigable wetland sections.

I don't know there were any proposed or authorized under the -- well, I could be wrong. I don't know about the north-south road.

Q In other words, looking at Exhibit 2-002, when you say the east-west road, you mean the road that is running slightly northwest from the north-south portion of the access road between the north green
or smaller green circle and the large green circle, is that correct, on Exhibit 2-002?

A Yes.
Q And, to your knowledge, we're, again, referencing 2-002. Besides the lake bed permit, which is referenced in Exhibit -- sorry, finding of fact one on page 2 of Exhibit 1-002, to your knowledge, there are no lake bed permits to the west of where the orange circle on 2-002 is located? There's no lake bed permits issued to the west of that, is that correct?

A That's my understanding.
Q And were there any grading permits issued in connection with the manual code approval?

A Yes. It identified grading of more than 10,000 square feet on the bank of North Lake in the findings of fact.

Thank you. Is there anything that talks about grading in the orange area on Exhibit 2-002, which, as I understand it from the testimony at the hearing and before, is where the proposed parking lot is going to be located? MR. GLEISNER: Can you see that all right?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Let me just --

MS. CORRELL: Yeah. My picture of the design -- obviously this is drawn by hand, correct?

MR. GLEISNER: Correct, correct.
MS. CORRELL: So it's sort of hard to see where the things are.

MR. GLEISNER: Just for the record, the testimony of Mr . Wood and Mr . Hudak was that the orange circle on Exhibit 2-002 is the approximate location --

MS. CORRELL: Okay, yeah, right. It's approximate.

MR. GLEISNER: -- of where the parking lot is going to be located?

THE WITNESS: That's my understanding of where the grading will be taking place.

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q In what portion of that orange circle will it be taking place?

A All $I$ know is that, generally, that's the area where the grading will take place. I don't have the specific grading plans in front of me. My understanding is that grading will take place within that orange or red circle.

Q Well, let me just call up these findings of fact
then for a moment, if $I$ may -- this is finding of fact one on the manual code.

It says, "The DNR has submitted materials under its internal approval process to obtain approval to grade more than 10,000 square feet on the bank of North Lake." So, in other words, it would be to the east of that orange circle on 2-002, is that correct?

A I don't think so.

Okay. Is there any -- okay. You think it encompasses what area of the orange circle?

A Some portion of the orange circle, if I'm reading that map correctly.

All right. That's fair. And what about -- and what about the access road, which I'm now pointing to is running to the west of the large green circle on Exhibit 2-002, and then northeast to the western portion of the orange circle?

Did the manual code, in effect, issue any grading permits for that area for the access road? And take your time and review as much of the manual code as you need.

MR. GLEISNER: Off the record.
(Discussion held off the record.)
BY MR. GLEISNER:
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Q Have you had a chance to review it, Mr. Wakeman?
A Yes, I have. It don't see anything specifically that identifies where the grading will take place other than on the bank of North Lake.

Now, could you pull out -- I think you have it over there, Mr. Wakeman, to your right, actually, what has been marked as Exhibit 1, which is the Chapter 70.

And take a look at page 8. Page 8, No. 2-D. It says that permits should require applicants to conform with established NR 116. Those are the flood plane permits.

Are you aware of whether or not any studies have been done about how or to what extent the work on the access road and the proposed parking lot will have in terms of flooding or in terms of its effect on neighbors?

A I'm not aware of any.
Q And I'm going to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 41-001, which is a water resource application for project permits.

MR. HARBECK: Bill, you said 40?
MR. GLEISNER: 41-001.
MR. HARBECK: Okay. I misheard.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
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Q Would it surprise you that lawyers have been required to file both a request for a water cert and a Chapter 30 with respect to fill in navigable wetlands under this permit?

A There may be some inconsistencies in how this is regulated. That's a possibility. Would I be surprised? I would be disappointed. Would I be surprised? Not necessarily.

Q Surprised, but not necessarily? I'm sorry?
A Disappointed.
$Q$ This does allow in the upper left-hand corner for work in public waters, Chapter 30 permits, as well as permits for wetland fill, is that correct?

A Yes.
Q So this application can be used both to solicit or seek a Chapter 30 permit and a wetland fill permit as to the same land, in other words?

A Yes.
Q Now, I have just a couple more questions, and then we'll be done. Mr. Wakeman, other than the testimony that you have provided here today, will you be offering any other testimony at the hearing on the 31st of October regarding jurisdiction?

A I hope not. Being at the mercy of my counselor -my counsel on this and counselor/counsel, I
believe what I have talked about today is the extent of what my testimony will be on the 31st.

When we resume?

On the 31st.
Can you think of anything that you've left out on the jurisdictional issues that you were asked to testify on?

MS. CORRELL: Am I allowed to consult with him or no?

MR. GLEISNER: Well --
MR. HARBECK: That's fine.
MR. GLEISNER: I think I would allow it --

MS. CORRELL: He's not going to be able to remember all the areas.

MR. GLEISNER: Off the record.
(Discussion held off the record.)
MR. GLEISNER: Back on the record.
MR. HARBECK: Go ahead. Megan, do you want to say it again in terms of what we're talking about?

MS. CORRELL: I believe Attorney Gleisner asked a question about grading, possibly in relation to Exhibit 40-003. And I'm not clear exactly what the question was based on my notes.

But I think you asked what jurisdiction we would have for grading on a site similar to this.

MR. GLEISNER: I think what I was trying to ask, Counsel, was, do you require a separate permit for grading in a wetland where you're putting in a road over a wetland?

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q Is that --
MS. CORRELL: Okay. So that's a different question than $I$ thought you were asking. MR. GLEISNER: I apologize.

MS. CORRELL: Which I think, is more -MR. GLEISNER: Exhibit --

MS. CORRELL: -- this situation.
MR. GLEISNER: -- 40-002.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q Do you understand the question, Mr. Wakeman?
A I believe the question was: What permit would be authorized to place the fill in the wetland for the drive?

Q No. Thank you for that, Mr. Wakeman. If there was grading that was required in the area where the roadway is going to be --

MS. CORRELL: Here's a hypothetical.
MR. GLEISNER: Yeah.
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MS. CORRELL: Let's say there's a cabin. You're trying to get there, but you don't have room for a full, like, parking pad here.

MR. GLEISNER: Right.
MS. CORRELL: So you want to give your guests an opportunity to park here.

MR. GLEISNER: Uh-huh.
MS. CORRELL: So you're going to grade this whole area.

MR. HARBECK: "This area" being the area in green?

MS. CORRELL: It would be pretty big. It would be a 10,000 square foot, which -- this is the best hypothetical I can come up with. But you're going to grade this whole area.

MR. GLEISNER: The area in green in Exhibit 40-002.

MS. CORRELL: And it's a wetland, and it's over 10,000 square feet.

THE WITNESS: It would be a water quality cert, because it's fill.

MS. CORRELL: But would we also issue a Chapter 30 permit for the grading?

THE WITNESS: Not if there's a -- not if there isn't a navigable waterway.

MS. CORRELL: Okay. As opposed to the situation on 40-003?

THE WITNESS: On 003 we have --
MS. CORRELL: Where there's brown indicated and green indicated.

THE WITNESS: We have grading indicated in brown. That's in excess of 10,000 square feet, and we would require grading. And for the, quote/unquote, fill in the wetland, that would be a water quality cert.

MS. CORRELL: Okay. I think that's just the clarification I wanted to make on that point.

MR. GLEISNER: Thank you, Counsel. I appreciate that very much.

MS. CORRELL: And the other area they thought was a little gray was Mr. Harbeck -- or Attorney Harbeck was asking you some questions about whether Chapter 30 ever allowed placing fill for the purpose of a roadway or a peninsula. That was the example you gave and whether or not there were any authorities for that.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. And if it is for a bulkhead line within a municipality, then we would. But if it's an individual property owner, they're -- they're not eligible for that bulkhead
line exception.
MR. GLEISNER: I'm sorry. Can I just follow up on that, Counsel?

MS. CORRELL: Please.
BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q Can you elaborate? In other words, we're in agreement that there's no bulkhead line possible on the Kraus property, is that correct?

A That's my understanding.
Q Okay. That being the case, would a property owner be eligible then to grade over 10,000 feet or get a permit for over 10,000 feet?

A Grading on the bank of North Lake?
Q Yes.
A Or any --
Q Any --
A Sure. They could apply for a permit for grading.
Q And would they get one?
A Without knowing the fact situation, I can't speculate.

Q Would they be eligible to grade the roadway, which
is now the access roadway from Reddelien Road to
the proposed parking lot?
A If they are -- are they adding fill to this?
Q They would be, yes.

A Is that --
Q Yes. They would be grading and adding fill.
A That would require a water quality cert through this portion and this portion and perhaps -depends on how much it is. If it exceeds 10,000 square feet, it may require that as well.

MR. HARBECK: When you say "this
portion," just for the record --
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
MR. HARBECK: -- can you describe --
THE WITNESS: The sections within the wetland would be water quality cert if they're adding fill material to the drive. Outside of the wetland area, depending upon if it's on the bank in excess of 10,000 square feet, they might need a Chapter 30.19 for grading.

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q They would never need a Chapter 30.19 in the wetland?

A If it's adding fill and it's in the wetland, it would be water quality cert.

Q And are there any documents besides what you've -MR. GLEISNER: That's the extent of the testimony, I assume?

MS. CORRELL: Right. So the only other
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piece that $I$ was just trying to clarify is that there are authorizations to place fill under other statutes that another agency is the main lead on. MR. GLEISNER: Like DOT?

MS. CORRELL: A lake bed -- no. DOT.
BCPL for a lake bed lease and, obviously, the legislature has the prerogative to have lake bed grants as well as there are many in the City of Milwaukee, for example.

MR. GLEISNER: There are none of those type permits --

MS. CORRELL: Correct.
MR. GLEISNER: -- here?
MS. CORRELL: I'm just covering all your bases.

MR. GLEISNER: I appreciate that.
MS. CORRELL: Because if we mention anything else about jurisdiction, $I$ don't want you to scream.

MR. GLEISNER: No, no. I don't scream. Some people scream, but I don't scream.

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q Now, is there any other things in terms of a document that you will be relying on when you testify about jurisdiction on the 31st, other than
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what we've talked about previously, which is the document which we requested and you will supply to us?

MS. CORRELL: Oh, right. I will look for that.

THE WITNESS: Not that $I$ can think of.
MR. HARBECK: Can I just ask a couple
follow-up questions based on clarification?
MS. CORRELL: Sure.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. HARBECK:
Q I just want to make sure I understand with respect to the manual code of approval, which is the subject of this matter, the Chapter 30 portions of that manual code approval pertain to the area right at the proposed boat launch where it goes into North Lake? That's one area, correct?

A Yes.
Q And the two culverts associated with that boat launch that are right on the shores of North Lake? MS. CORRELL: I think those are all
outfall structures.
MR. HARBECK: Outfall structures.
That's right.
MS. CORRELL: Yeah.
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BY MR. HARBECK :
Q And that's the other area where the Chapter 30 equivalent of manual code applies, is that correct?

A I just hesitate, because I haven't seen the final plans for the launch. I don't know exactly where those two culverts or outfall structures -MS. CORRELL: There are outfall structures. There are also culverts that are in a different area, right?

MR. HARBECK: That's right. I'm dealing with the two outfall structures.

BY MR. HARBECK :
Q Because I believe your testimony was that the Chapter 30 comes into play for both the boat launch itself, the structure that's going into North Lake and then the two outfall structures that are right on the banks of North Lake. Have I gotten that correctly?

A Barring any discrepancy in location, yes.
Q Okay.
MS. CORRELL: And the grading as well on the bank?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
BY MR. HARBECK :
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Q The grading in the area of the parking lot?
A Uh-huh.
MS. CORRELL: Right.
BY MR. HARBECK:
Q Third area. Are there any other areas where a Chapter 30 equivalent approval has been granted by the DNR in connection with this proposed boat launch?

A Under the four --
Q Under the manual code that we're dealing with.
A The four culverts that are identified -- let's go back to the findings of fact.

Q Right.
A You got grading on the bank in North Lake, boat ramp structure and two outfall structures on the bed of North Lake. And those, quite honestly, I'm not familiar with.

And four culverts crossing over the wetlands, which I assume are the four culverts placed under the access road that goes southwest to northeast. Those would be the Chapter 30 activities.

Q Okay. And with respect to any proposed fill that will be necessary in connection with the construction of the access road or in or around
those -- the four culverts we've just talked about, those have been -- those are being allowed or those are being authorized pursuant to the water quality certification process that you described earlier?

A To the Chapter 30 process.
Q Now I'm talking about the fill. Not the culverts, but the fill itself associated with constructing the access road. You understand there's going to be some additional fill that's going to be necessary, correct?

A I understand.
Q And that fill is going to go into the navigable wetlands to some extent, correct?

A Yes.

Q And at this point, there is no Chapter 30 approval under the manual code for placing that fill, correct?

A That's a water quality cert authorization.
Q It's only through water quality cert. There is no Chapter 30, correct?

A Correct.
Q The last question I had --

MR. HARBECK: Unless you have a follow-up on that.

MR. GLEISNER: I do, but go ahead.
MS. CORRELL: Just a clarification on your clarification.

MR. HARBECK: Go ahead.
MS. CORRELL: Just the plans, when you say "boat launch," there's, like, a turbidity barrier. When they say "boat launch," I think you're talking about the whole whatever needs to be placed for a safe launch.

MR. HARBECK: Right, at the lake.
MS. CORRELL: The ramp in the water --
MR. HARBECK: Right --
MS. CORRELL: -- in the water --
MR. HARBECK: -- right, right. That's what I was talking about.

MS. CORRELL: I don't know what all those things are, but they're there.

BY MR. HARBECK:
Q The purpose of my question was to confirm with respect to the activities where you're going to be filling in the navigable wetlands in connection with widening or creating this access road. There's no Chapter 30 equivalent permit; there's only a water quality certification?

A Correct.

Okay. When we deposed you earlier, the purpose was to find out what you're going to testify about at the hearing. We now talked about this jurisdictional issue. That was a new area, and we covered that.

Is there any other testimony that you anticipate giving at the hearing other than what we talked about at your deposition or other than what we've talked about in connection with jurisdictional issues? Anything new --

MS. CORRELL: I haven't reviewed the deposition, I have to admit. So what did you talk about? Your ordinary high?

MR. HARBECK: I mean, we talked about a lot of things. And we did ask him at the deposition, is there anything else that you anticipate testifying to, and he said no.

I want to make sure nothing else has come up since we deposed him now, and there's not another new topic or another area that he intends to go into, other than the jurisdictional issue, which I assume we've covered.

THE WITNESS: I don't -- I can't foresee any.

MS. CORRELL: And I don't know if they
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asked you about manual codes. I didn't -- like I said, $I$ didn't review that deposition, but that's already in our exhibits. You've seen it? And he's testified --

MR. HARBECK: Manual codes --
THE WITNESS: A manual code approval.
MR. HARBECK: You mean the document?
MS. CORRELL: Yeah, the little document
that gives us the authority --
MR. HARBECK: Right.
MS. CORRELL: -- manual codes
internally --
MR. HARBECK: -- right, right.
MS. CORRELL: It's a manual code -- I
don't know -- do we want to call it working document? There's no question about that. It's the only area --

MR. HARBECK: I think -- I mean, that's the document that sort of governs how the DNR treats and processes applications dealing with its own projects versus a private citizen project, is that right?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. GLEISNER:
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Q Hearing Mr. Harbeck's questions and sitting here, something occurs to me that, I think, we haven't really touched on, and I would like to touch on for just a moment.

And I'm going to start by just reading you -- and I'll be happy to give you a copy of this, but this is pretty straightforward. In your deposition on page 13 that you gave before --

MR. GLEISNER: And it's gonna relate to jurisdiction, Counsel. I just want to put this out here.

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q "QUESTION: How far would they be required to paddle before you would say this is navigable water?
"ANSWER: There is no standard requirement that I recall or percentage of the water body. If you're able to put your kayak in or your canoe in and go 10 feet and have to get out and walk five feet and get back in and navigate some more, that would be adequate to show its navigability."

I wanted to preface my questions with that, because of this: We've been talking here today about the fact that you might apply a wetland standard called water cert under NR 103 to
navigable water that's located within a wetland.
I'm not -- forget all the -- the
particulars that we went into, but that's basically what we've been talking about, that if the wetland is located in the -- sorry. Strike that.

If the navigable water is located in the wetland, NR 103 kicks in, and not Chapter 30? Is that a very broad, general scope of where we've been?

A Depending upon the activity, that's correct.
Q Okay. My question is this -- or questions, maybe. My question is this: Irrespective of whether water cert applies or Chapter 30 applies, irrespective of that, like Richard Stein would say, a rose is a rose, navigable water is navigable water, is it not?

A Yes.
Q So if there is navigable water on a plot of land and it happens to be in a wetland, it doesn't diminish its navigability, does it, by virtue of it being in a wetland?

A No.
Q Again, irrespective of the standards -- you saw that little girl in Exhibit $N$, 17 N from our
videos. I won't play it again, but you remember the one --

A Yes.
-- where she was paddling next to that sign?

A Yes.

Q She was clearly in navigable water, was she not?
A That has been identified as navigable wetland, yes.

Q I'm not disputing that. That's not my question. My question is: That was navigable water she was in?

A Yes.

MS. CORRELL: Can I -- just a foundational?

MR. GLEISNER: Sure, absolutely.
MS. CORRELI: Foundational question.
Which video are you talking about?
MR. GLEISNER: I can play it also.
MS. CORRELL: I don't need to know which one. Which area --

MR. GLEISNER: I think that that's a very fair question. I'm not going to play it.

MR. HARBECK: Off the record.
(Discussion held off the record.)
BY MR. GLEISNER:
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Q It's Exhibit 17 N. MS. CORRELL: Okay. MR. GLEISNER: That's all I'm -MS. CORRELL: Okay. Yep.

BY MR. GLEISNER:
Q My point is, my question that I'm trying to ask is: If there's navigable water in a wetland or if we're dealing with a navigable wetland, without regard to the code provision that may apply in terms of how you evaluate that navigable water, it's still navigable water, is it not?

A Yes.
Q Why would the impact on navigable water be different if NR 103 applies as opposed to Chapter 30?

A Say that one more time, please. I'm sorry.
Q Oh, sure. Why would the impact on navigable water be different if it is subject to NR 103 as opposed to Chapter 30?

A It may not be.
Q Okay. Thank you.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. HARBECK:
Q One follow up.
MS. CORRELL: Sure.
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BY MR. HARBECK :
Q Sort of related, but sort of not. The DNR agrees that it is subject to the substantive requirements of Chapter 30 in connection with dealing with its own projects in areas that might implicate Chapter 30 , is that -- is that correct?

A Yes.

MR. GLEISNER: Same standards?

MR. HARBECK: Yeah.

BY MR. HARBECK:
Q And it may not -- with respect to the procedural requirements, does DNR take issue with respect to whether it's subject to the procedural
requirements of Chapter 30?
MS. CORRELI: I think I'm going to object that it calls for a legal conclusion. BY MR. HARBECK:

Q Your understanding.
MS. CORRELI: I think the manual code documents speak for themselves.

MR. GLEISNER: Okay.

BY MR. HARBECK:
Q Are you familiar with the manual code? I think it's the document that talks about how the manual code applies.
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I just want to understand if the DNR says, "Well, substantive provisions are one thing and procedural provisions are another," in terms of the way that they treat themselves when they're applying for their own projects in what may be navigable water.

A I have been more familiar with those, with that -I'll call it guidance, than $I$ am now. I would have to review that. Sorry.

Q But in terms of the substantive applications, my question before was the DNR agrees that it's subject to the substantive applications?

A Yes.
Q And the reason I ask that is we heard some mention at the beginning from Mr . Meyer about the -whether or not DNR's even subject to the Chapter 30 requirements. I assume that DNR disagrees with an assertion that Chapter 30 couldn't substantively apply to DNR?

A And I don't know --
MS. CORRELL: I think it calls for
speculation as to what Mr. Meyer -- I'm not sure exactly what his position is, to be honest.

BY MR. HARBECK:
Q Right. If that was his position, DNR would not
agree with that position, assuming --
MS. CORRELL: If the position were what?
BY MR. HARBECK:
Q If the position of the Wisconsin Wildlife
Federation turns out to be that they think -- they
assert that DNR is not subject to the
substantive --
MS. CORRELL: Oh, oh.
BY MR. HARBECK:
Q -- requirements of Chapter 30 , DNR would take
issue with that assertion, is that correct?
A I believe so.
Q Okay. That's all.
MS. CORRELL: Okay.
(Proceedings concluded at 2:16 p.m.)
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